



MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL & MEDIUM ENTERPRISES
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

**Government of India
Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises
Office of Development Commissioner (MSME),
7th Floor, A Wing,
Nirman Bhawan, Maulana Azad Road,
New Delhi-110108**

Supplementary Agenda

for

52nd Meeting of Steering Committee

of

**Micro & Small Enterprises - Cluster Development Programme
(MSE-CDP)**

Date & Time : 22.01.20 at 10.30 AM

Venue : New Delhi

INDEX

Agenda Points	Description	Page No.
52.4	Proposals for Final Approval	
Gujarat		
52.4.21(S)	CFC in Textile Cluster, Dahod	1
52.4.22 (S)	CFC in Jewellery Cluster, Jamnagar	7
Himachal Pradesh		
52.4.23 (S)	CFC in General Engineering Cluster, Una	8
Karnataka		
52.4.24 (S)	CFC in Food Processing Cluster, Sirsi, Uttara Kannada	17
Punjab		
52.4.25 (S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point, Dera Bassi, District S.A.S Nagar	25
52.4.26 (S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point Khanna, District Ludhiana	29
52.4.27 (S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point at Moga	33
52.4.28 (S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point at Nabha (Old), District Patiala	36
52.4.29 (S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point at Sangrur	39
Telangana		
52.4.30 (S)	CFC in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Karimnagar	42
52.5	Ratification of Decisions	
Karnataka		
52.5.5(S)	Time extension for CFC in Utopia Cashew Cluster, Kumta, Uttara Kannada	50
Punjab		
52.5.6(S)	Time extension for up-gradation of Industrial Infrastructure in Focal Point, Phase-IV, Ludhiana, Punjab	51

Agenda No.52.4.21 (S): Proposal for final approval for setting up Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Textile Cluster, Dahod, Gujarat.

Background

- Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 51st SCM held on 12.09.19, subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide letter dated 18.01.20, The Centre for Entrepreneurship Development (CED), Gandhinagar submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	As agreed upon by State Government, letter regarding enhancement of State share to 20%.	Provided
(ii)	Registered land document in the name of SPV for a minimum period of 30 years.	Provided
(iii)	Details of shareholding pattern of SPV members.	Provided
(iv)	SIDBI Appraisal Report.	Provided
(v)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board.	Required
(vi)	Commitment letter from SPV for their contribution.	Provided
(vii)	Commitment letter from SPV members to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.	Required
(viii)	Commitment letter from State Government/SPV to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Required
(ix)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be	Required
(x)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/ CVC guidelines.	Required
(xi)	Details of SPV and MoA and AoA.	Required

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Basic Information of Cluster

Name of Cluster :	Textile Cluster												
District :	Dahod												
Location of Cluster :	Sahkar Nagar												
Lok Sabha Constituency :	Dahod (ST)												
Main Product :	Shirts, Pants, Jeans and other apparels.												
No. of Enterprises including break up (Micro, Small, Medium) :	Micro: 60 Small: 0 Medium: 0												
Turnover for the last five years	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Year</th> <th style="text-align: center;">(Rs. in crore)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2013-14</td> <td style="text-align: center;">: 8.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2014-15</td> <td style="text-align: center;">: 9.45</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2015-16</td> <td style="text-align: center;">: 10.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2016-17</td> <td style="text-align: center;">: 11.66</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2017-18</td> <td style="text-align: center;">: 12.96</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	(Rs. in crore)	2013-14	: 8.50	2014-15	: 9.45	2015-16	: 10.50	2016-17	: 11.66	2017-18	: 12.96
Year	(Rs. in crore)												
2013-14	: 8.50												
2014-15	: 9.45												
2015-16	: 10.50												
2016-17	: 11.66												
2017-18	: 12.96												
Exports for the last five years	Nil												
Employment in Cluster :	Total 540 Nos. (Direct: 240 Nos. Indirect: 300 Nos.)												

Technology Details :	Currently units in the cluster are using conventional technology thus upgraded technology is required.
Whether DS Conducted :	Yes
Main findings of DSR :	The diagnostic study recommended the establishment of a common facility centre
Main Problems of Cluster :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Non availability of Advanced Technology ➤ Lower Productivity in various segments. ➤ No R&D Facilities
Other Information :	NA

2. Information about Proposed CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks	
(a.) Justification for CFC	To have facilities like Advance Technology and R&D Facilities to improve the final product.	--	
(b.) Location of CFC	1147, Vidhya dham Society, Sahkar Nagar, Dahod	--	
% age of units in radius of 5km	70	--	
% age of units in radius of 5-10 km	30	--	
(c.) Land for CFC			
i. Whether land acquired	Yes	--	
ii. Title is in name of	Sarthak Charitable Trust		
iii. Valuation and its basis	NA		
iv. Land is sufficient	Yes		
v. Change of land use	No		
vi. If on lease, duration of lease	33 Year		
vii Whether lease is legally tenable	Yes		
(d.) Total Building area(sq ft)	1500 sq. mtr.	--	
(e.) Rate of construction of building	NA	--	
(f.) Main Facility Proposed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Leaser Fabric Cutting Machine ➤ Automatic Fabrics Designing Machine 	--	
(g.) Prod capacity of CFC	--	--	
(h.) Major Outputs/Deliverables of CFC, Projected performance of the cluster after proposed intervention (in terms of production, export/ domestic sales and direct/indirect employment, etc.)	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
	No. of Units	60	150
	Prod. (Mtr)	1,080,000	4,050,000
	Turnover (Rs. in cr)	12.96	44.55
	Employment (Nos)	240	900
	Profit (Rs. in cr)	1.50	6.75

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(i.) Pollution clearance required or not	Exempted for NOC from State Pollution Control Board.	Undertaking in regard to exemption is required from GPCB.
(j.) Man Power in CFC	19 Nos.	--
(k.) Revenue generation mechanism for sustainability of assets(service/user charges to be levied, any other-to be specified)	--	--

3. Information about SPV

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Name and Address	Sarthak Charitable Trust 1147, Vidhyadham Society, Sahkar nagar, Dahod	--
(b.) Nature of SPV(company or Society or Trust)	Trust	Required
(c.) Name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV	--	--
(d.) Date of formation of SPV	10.02.16	--
(e.) Number of Members	40 Nos	--
(f.) Bye Laws or MA and AOA submitted	--	Required
(g.) Authorized Share Capital	Provided	--
(h.) Paid up capital	Provided	--
(i.) Shareholding Pattern	Provided	--
(j.) Commitment letter for contribution	--	--
(k.) SPV specific A/c	Account No: 208210110023907 (Bank of India)	--
(l.) Trust Building of SPV, Previous track record of co-operative initiatives pursued by SPV members need to be highlighted with support documentation	Awareness Camp Organized	--
(m.) Technical Institution	Entrepreneurship Development Institute of India EDII, Gandhinagar	--
(n.) CFC may be utilised by SPV members as also others in a cluster. However, evidence should be furnished with regard to SPV member ability to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.	--	Required
(o.)		
(a) Power requirement for	20 KW	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
commercial/domestic purpose		
(b) Water	75000 Ltr. per year	--
(c) Gas/Oil/Other Utilities	--	--

4. Implement Arrangements

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Implementing Agency	Centre for Entrepreneurship Development CED, Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar	--
(b.) Fund receiving Agency	Centre for Entrepreneurship Development CED, Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar	--
(c.) Implementation Period	18 Months	24 months from date of issuance of final approval letter.
(d.) Appraisal of DPR and main Recommendations	DPR appraised by SLSC meeting held in Gandhi Nagar, Gujarat on 05.07.19 under chairmanship of Commissioner, MSME, Govt. of Gujarat	SIDBI Appraisal Report is received.
(e.) Comments of Technical Division	--	--
(f.) Approval of Technical Committee	--	TEAC recommended the proposal
(g.) Comments of Cluster Development Division:	--	--
(h.) Working capital(In-principle sanction of loan from a bank, if applicable arrangement made)	--	--

5. Financial Analysis of CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) BEP	38.03 %	--
(b.) IRR, Payback period	17.55%	--
(c.) DSCR	--	--
(d.) Return on Capital employed (ROCE)	30.62% over projection of 10 years. Complied with.	--
(e.) NPV	Rs.108.00 lakh (After tax) & Rs.222.02 lakh (before tax), which is positive.	--
(f.) DER	--	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)					Remarks
	Case	IRR(Post tax)	NPV (Rs. in lakh)	ROC E	BEP	
(g.) Sensitivity Analysis	Base case	17.55 %	108.51	30.62%	38.03%	--
	Decreasing Job work charges by 10%	13.23 %	44.08	25.16%	44.40%	
	Decreasing Production Capacity Utilization by 10%	12.12 %	29.28	24.18%	46.41%	
(h.) Status of CFCs approved in the State	Only one CFC operational in State and one accord Final Approval during 50 th SCM held on 02.07.19					--

6. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Amount	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
1.	Land and Building	35.00	38.00*	38.00
2.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	236.00	236.00	236.00
3.	Misc. fixed assets	29.00	29.00	29.00
4.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	5.80	5.80	5.80
5.	Contingencies (2% on building and 5% on plant and machinery)	11.80	11.80	11.80
6.	Margin money for working capital	10.00	10.00	10.00
	Total	327.60	330.60	330.60

7. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Amount	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
1	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	70	229.32	231.42	231.42
2	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Gujarat	20	65.52	66.12	66.12
3	SPV contribution	10	32.76	33.06	33.06
	Total	100	327.60	330.60	330.60

8. Plant and machinery (with Brief Specification)

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Name of Machinery	Qty.	Unit Basic Price	Rate of GST	GST	Total Cost
1	Automatic Fabric Laser Cutting Machine	1	90.00	18%	16.20	106.20
2	Automatic Fabrics Designing Machine	1	110.00	18%	19.80	129.80
Total						236.00

9. Observations:

Following documents are to be submitted prior to issue of final approval letter:

- (i) NOC from State Pollution Control Board.
- (ii) Commitment letter from SPV members to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.
- (iii) Commitment letter from State Government/SPV to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost.
- (iv) Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be
- (v) Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/ CVC guidelines.
- (vi) Details of SPV and MoA and AoA.

10. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Textile Cluster, Dahod, Gujarat at a total project cost of Rs.330.60 lakh with Gol assistance of Rs.231.42 lakh, State Govt. contribution of Rs.66.12 lakh and SPV contribution of Rs.33.06 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.22 (S): Proposal for final approval for setting up Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Jewellery Cluster, Jamnagar, Gujarat.

SIDBI Appraisal Report is not received.

Agenda No: 52.4.23(S): Proposal for Final approval for setting up of Common Facility Centre in General Engineering Cluster, Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 51st SCM held on 12.09.19, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 09.12.19, General Manager, District Industries Center, Una submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
A(i)	As agreed upon by State Government, letter regarding enhancement of State share to 10%.	Received. (not signed)
(ii)	SIDBI appraisal report.	Received. However, the appraisal is not sealed and signed by SIDBI.
(iii)	Commitment letter from State Government / SPV to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Received.
(iv)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board.	Awaited.
(v)	Details of shareholding pattern of SPV members.	Received.
(vi)	Registered land documents in the name of SPV (if land is on lease basis, registered lease deed for a minimum period of 30 years is required).	Not submitted. It is informed by State Govt. that the same is under process.
B.	As decided in the TEAC meeting, MSME-DI, Solan to:	
(i)	Facilitate SPV members to include 3D Printing / Reverse Engineering facilities in the list of machinery.	Received.
(ii)	Provide details of all 300 units of the cluster.	Received.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Basic Information of Cluster

Name of Cluster :	General Engineering Cluster	
District	Una	
Location of Cluster :	Una	
Lok Sabha Constituency :	Hamirpur	
Main Product :	Manufacturing of Auto Components, Aviation Parts, Machinery & Equipments, Fasteners, Forgings, Industrial Blades, Bearings, Hand Tools, Pipes, Steel Tubes, Welding Equipments, Fabrication products, Plastic & Rubber Products etc.	
No. of Enterprises including break up (Micro, Small, Medium)	Micro	148
	Small	122
	Medium	30
	Total	300

Turn over for the last five years : (Rs. in Crore)	Year	Amount
	2013-14	1575
	2014-15	1650
	2015-16	1825
	2016-17	1900
2017-18	2000	
Exports for the last five years : (Rs. in Crore)	Nil	
Employment in Cluster :	7000 Nos. (Direct & Indirect)	
Technology Details :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ At present the Cluster members are using traditional method. The engineering units of Tahliwal deploy conventional lathe machines rendering them incapable to manufacture high quality automotive products. ➤ The finishing on the products is rather ordinary and often hinders the capacity of small firms to approach large OEMs. Moreover, there is no tool room with modern machines where cluster units can their tools or dyes made. ➤ The outdated machineries that the cluster units deploy cannot match the quality and standard of modern age. These conventional machines are time and energy consuming. Presently, cluster units are dependent on private players located far off like in Ludhiana and Jalandhar for getting the job work done. ➤ The cluster units do not have the testing facility for raw material as well as finished products. ➤ The NABL or equivalent testing lab is not available in the region. The lack of physical & chemical testing facilities hampers the growth of the cluster units. For any kind of tests, the entrepreneurs need to go to either Ludhiana, Chandigarh & Delhi, which is not only time consuming but also results in higher travel costs. ➤ This is resulting in improper designing, time delays and higher costing. Some of the firms are also losing export market due to poor designing of the products. Thus, there is a need to establish a design development centre, which will also serves as training facility for skilled workers to learn Auto CAD. 	
Whether DS Conducted :	Yes.	
Main findings of DSR :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Obsolete level of technology. ➤ Production inefficiencies due to manual operations as there is no tool room facility available in the district / area. ➤ Substandard product quality hampering the market outreach. ➤ Prevalent of job work from advanced units in Delhi, resulting higher costs. ➤ No NABL Testing lab available. 	
Main Problems of Cluster :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Absence of Advanced Mini Tool Room. ➤ Absence of NABL Testing Lab. ➤ Non-availability of Common Design Development-cum-training centre. ➤ Lack of skilled workforce. ➤ Lack of availability of business development service providers (BDSP), 	
Other Information :	--	

2. Information about Proposed CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Justification for CFC	➤ Presently there is no tool room available in the region housing modern	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
	<p>machines for job work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Cluster units are mostly dependent on the private players located far off at places like Ludhiana, Delhi, Chennai for tooling job work. Moreover, the products are often sent faraway for obtaining better finishing on the products. ➤ The private player's high prices for the job work and often refuse to accept low work volume from small players. These firm also require jigs & fixtures for job work which are presently outsourced. ➤ In addition, there is an absence of repair & maintenance work machinery within the cluster which is badly required. ➤ At present, no certified testing lab available in the region. Cluster units are totally dependent of private player sitting in gar flung place like Mohali, Delhi, Mumabi and Faridabad for testing of their raw material as well final product. So, the NABL testing lab is the need of the hour. ➤ Also the cluster units do not have the design and development facility. 80% of the cluster units are using the manual designing or hiring the services from outside consultants in computer aided designing. This is resulting in improper designing, time delay & higher costing. ➤ The cluster badly needs a Rapid Prototype Machine to undertake new product development. 	
(b.) Location of CFC	Village Bhatu Tehsil Haroli District Una Himachal Pradesh.	--
% age of units in radius of 5km	60	
% age of units in radius of 10km	40	
(c.) Land for CFC		
i. Whether land acquired	The land in the possession of Directorate of Industries, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh and shall be provided on lease to SPV for a period of 30 years to set up the proposed CFC.	Registered land lease deed from Revenue Department of Government of HP for a period of 30 years or more is required.
ii. Title is in name of	-	
iii. Valuation and its basis	133.43 Lakhs, basis prevalent government industrial plot rates in Una.	
iv. Land is sufficient	Yes.	
v. Change of land use	No.	
vi. If on lease, duration of lease	Land on lease basis for 15 years.	

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks																								
Vii Whether lease is legally tenable	Yes.																									
(d.) Total Building area(sq ft)	82873.88 sq. ft.	--																								
(e.) Rate of construction of building	Rs. 557 per sq. ft.	--																								
(f.) Main Facility Proposed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Advanced Mini Tool Room with modern machines. ➤ NABL Testing Lab. ➤ Common Design Development & Training Centre. 	--																								
g.) Prod capacity of CFC	The CFC has been proposed for job work and will be operational on an eight (8) hours shift basis for 300 days in a year.																									
(h.) Major Outputs/Deliverables of CFC, Projected performance of the cluster after proposed intervention (in terms of production, export/domestic sales and direct/indirect employment, etc.)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Before intervention</th> <th>After Intervention</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Nos. Of cluster units</td> <td>300</td> <td>330</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Cluster Turnover (Rs. In crore)</td> <td>Appx. 2000 cr.</td> <td>Expected to increase Rs.100 crore in 1st year & subsequently will increase @ 10% per year.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Export (Rs. In crore)</td> <td>Current exports from micro and small unit are negligible, only large units are exporting.</td> <td>About 5 units shall start exporting within first two years of CFC operation.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Employment</td> <td>7000 nos.</td> <td>7500 nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Profit</td> <td>7-8%</td> <td>10-11%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Parameter	Before intervention	After Intervention	1.	Nos. Of cluster units	300	330	2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. In crore)	Appx. 2000 cr.	Expected to increase Rs.100 crore in 1 st year & subsequently will increase @ 10% per year.	3	Export (Rs. In crore)	Current exports from micro and small unit are negligible, only large units are exporting.	About 5 units shall start exporting within first two years of CFC operation.	4	Employment	7000 nos.	7500 nos.	5.	Profit	7-8%	10-11%	
	Sl. No.	Parameter	Before intervention	After Intervention																						
	1.	Nos. Of cluster units	300	330																						
	2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. In crore)	Appx. 2000 cr.	Expected to increase Rs.100 crore in 1 st year & subsequently will increase @ 10% per year.																						
	3	Export (Rs. In crore)	Current exports from micro and small unit are negligible, only large units are exporting.	About 5 units shall start exporting within first two years of CFC operation.																						
	4	Employment	7000 nos.	7500 nos.																						
5.	Profit	7-8%	10-11%																							
(i.) Pollution clearance required or not	Yes.	NOC from PCB is required.																								
(j.) Man Power in CFC	Total 44 person required for CFC	--																								
(k.) Revenue generation mechanism for sustainability of assets(service/user charges to be levied, any other-to be specified)	Revenue will generated through user fee basis.	--																								

3. Information about SPV

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Name and Address	Haroli Industrial Infrastructure Development Society. Village - Bathu, Tehsil – Haroli, District – Una, Himachal Pradesh.	--
(b.) Nature of SPV(company or Society or Trust)	Society registered under section 25 of H.P. Societies Act, 2006.	--
(c.) Name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV	General Manager, DIC, Una and MSME DI official.	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(d.) Date of formation of SPV	26 th July 2017	--
(e.) Number of Members	21 nos.	--
(f.) Bye Laws or MoA and AOA submitted	Submitted.	--
(g.) Authorized Share Capital	NA	
(h.) Paid up capital	NA	
(i.) Shareholding Pattern	None of the single units holds more than 10% contribution in the equity capital of the SPV.	--
(j.) Commitment letter for contribution	Yes.	--
(k.) SPV specific A/c	HDFC Bank Branch, Una	--
(l.) Trust Building of SPV, Previous track record of co-operative initiatives pursued by SPV members need to be highlighted with support documentation.	The SPV has conducted a series of stakeholder consultations (with various members, DIC, Una and EY experts) during finalization of project components, selection of technologies and development of DPR. The SPV has been instrumental in spreading awareness about the cluster development under MSE-CDP scheme in Una and has helped in validation of findings and recommendations. It has kept the state government and the DIC Una engaged during the entire period of development of DSR and DPR.	--
(m.) Technical Institution	Govt. ITI & Polytechnic Una, KC Engineering College, Indus University, NIT.	
(n.) CFC may be utilised by SPV members as also others in a cluster. However, evidence should be furnished with regard to SPV member ability to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.	The SPV members form a major chunk of engineering units in district Una. The projections have been made on the basis of their requirement, though the proof of the same will be submitted before Steering Committee.	--
(o)		
(a) Power requirement for commercial/domestic purpose	267.85 KW	--
(b) Water	500 lt, per for human consumption	--
(c) Gas/Oil/Other Utilities	Chemical, Lubricant, Grease, Machine Oil, Coolant etc.	--

4. Implement Arrangements

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Implementing Agency	Industrial Area Development Agency (IADA), Tahliwal, Una District.	--
(b.) Fund receiving Agency	Industrial Area Development Agency (IADA), Tahliwal, District Una..	--
(c.) Implementation	24 months	24 Months from

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
Period		date of final approval.
(d.) Appraisal of DPR and main Recommendations	--	
(e.) Comments of Technical Division	Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) in its 67 th meeting of held on 09.08.19 recommended the proposal to place before the Steering Committee for In-principle approval and advised MSME-DI, Solan to:	
(f.) Approval of Technical Committee		
(g.) Comments of Cluster Development Division:		
(h.) Working capital(In-principle sanction of loan from a bank, if applicable arrangement made)	--	

5. Financial Analysis of CFC

Description	Proposed by IA	Remarks				
(a.) BEP	53.24%	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.				
(b.) IRR, Payback period	Post Tax : 24.07%					
(c.) DSCR	N/A					
(d.) Return on Capital employed (ROCE)	28.37%	25% is desirable.				
(e.) NPV	Positive.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.				
(f.) DER	N/A					
(g.) Sensitivity Analysis	Particulars	BEP	ROCE	IRR-Post Tax	NPV	
	Base case	53.24%	28.37%	24.07%	1204.43	
	User Charges					
	Decline by 5% in user charges	56.70%	25.78%	21.94%	1003.23	
	Decline by 10% in user charges	60.64%	23.19%	19.75%	802.03	
Decline by 15% in user charges	65.16%	20.58%	17.47%	600.84		
(h.) Status of CFCs approved in the State	Nil.			--		

6. Proposed project cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
1.	Land its development	133.43	133.43	133.43
2.	Building and other civil construction	277.96	277.96	277.96
3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	1124.46	1174.10	1174.10
4.	Misc. fixed assets	8.00	8.00	8.00
5.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses (maximum 2% of project cost)	56.91	58.10	58.10

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
6.	Contingencies (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	52.77	52.54	52.54
7.	Margin money for working capital	17.79	27.92	27.92
	Total	1671.32	1732.05	1732.05

7. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1259.93 (75.39%)	1280.88 (73.95%)	1259.93*
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of HP	133.43 (7.98%)	173.21 (10%)	173.21
(iii)	SPV contribution	277.96 (16.63%)	277.96 (16.05%)	298.91
	Total	1671.32	1732.05	1732.05

* 84% of eligible project cost of Rs. 15.00 crore.

8. Plant and machinery (with Brief Specification)

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Description	No.	Amount
	Primary Machines		
A	Proposed Machinery for Tool Room		
1.	Conventional Vertical Milling Machine	1	9.50
2.	Conventional Lathe Machine	1	12.00
3.	Universal Milling Machine	1	13.45
4.	Radial Drill Machine (25 mm)	1	18.75
5.	Surface Grinder (400 X 160)	1	18.20
6.	Cylindrical Grinder (Dia 200, Centre distance 400 mm)	1	11.58
7.	CNC Lathe	1	33.70
8.	CNC Vertical Machining Centre (2000 x 500)	1	115.03
9.	EDM Die Sinking	1	39.00
10.	EDM wire cut	1	39.00
11.	Mechanical Press (50 Ton) & (100 Ton)	1	21.25
12.	Hydraulic press (100 Ton)	1	23.67
13.	Gear Hobbing / Cutting Machine	1	9.95
14.	Salt Bath Chamber with size 600 x 600 mm	1	11.92
15.	Hydraulic Band Saw (450 mm)	1	15.25
16.	Surface Plate cast iron (1 M x M)	1	0.91
17.	Rapid Prototype shop machine	1	14.95
18.	CNC sheet cutting Machine (6mm x 4000 mm)	1	18.25

S. No.	Description	No.	Amount
19.	CNC sheet bending machine (10 mm, 4000 mm width).	1	24.55
20.	Horizontal Boring Machine	1	46.40
21.	Centerless Grinding Machine	1	12.00
22.	EDM Spark Drill	1	16.00
23.	Tool End Cutter	1	11.00
24.	Arc Welding Machine	2	2.95
25.	Mig Welding Machine	2	4.72
	Sub Total		543.98
B	Proposed Equipment for Testing Lab		
26	Hardness Tester - Rockwell	1	5.80
27	Hardness Tester –Vickers	1	6.30
28.	Spectroscope (12 elements)	1	25.22
29.	Optical Profile projector Screen 300 mm	1	3.38
30.	Electronic Height Gauge	1	4.52
31.	Surface Plate Granite 1000 x 1000	1	1.04
32.	Quality Control Equipment	1	44.50
33.	Partial Discharge Test	1	118.00
34.	AC dielectric Testing Machine	1	23.40
35.	High current load cycle test	1	24.70
36.	Impulse voltage testing equipment	1	70.86
37.	Ten Delta Bridge	1	22.75
38.	Coordinate Measuring Machine	1	45.00
39.	3D Prototyping Machine (build up volume size min. 320 x 290x255)	1	39.95
	Sub Total		435.42
C	Machinery for Training Centre		
39	Conventional Milling Machine	1	9.78
40	Conventional Lathe Machine (6 ft.)	1	5.30
41	Conventional Lathe Machine (8 ft.)	1	8.22
42	Surface Grinder (500 x 500)	1	13.38
43	Welding Lab	1	4.52
44	Cylindrical Grinder (200 mm)	1	14.04
45	Drilling Machine (20 mm)	1	9.45
46	CNC Lathe	1	18.80
47	Computers	10	4.34

S. No.	Description	No.	Amount
48	CAD / CAM software	10	5.00
	Sub Total		92.83
	Secondary Machines		
D	Proposed secondary machinery		
49	Air Compressor (350 CFM) (100 CFM)	2	16.50
50	DG Set (150 KVA & 66 KVA)	2	17.50
51	Assemble Bench	5	2.50
	Sub Total		36.50
	Add: installation charges		10.40
	Total		1119.13
	Packing & forwarding (2%), transit insurance(1%), freight excluding of CAD/CAM software & computer installation charges.		54.97
	Grand Total		1174.10

9. Observations:

Following documents are to be submitted prior to issue of final approval letter:

- (i) SIDBI Appraisal Report received, however, it is not signed and sealed.
- (ii) NOC from Pollution Control Board.
- (iii) Registered land documents in the name of SPV (if land is on lease basis, registered lease deed for a minimum period of 30 years is required).
- (iv) Plant & Machinery list vetted by MSME-DI, Solan.
- (v) SPV and its Directors shall furnish an affidavit affirming that they are not the same persons as appearing in the defaulter list (issued by RBI/CIBIL/Central Fraud Registry) against the 5 office bearers.

10. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in General Engineering Cluster, Una, Himachal Pradesh at a total project cost of Rs.1732.05 lakh with Gol assistance of Rs. 1259.93 lakh, State Govt. contribution of Rs.173.21 lakh and SPV contribution of Rs. 298.91 lakh.

Agenda No.:52.4.24(S) Proposal for final approval for setting up Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Food Processing Cluster, Sirsi, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 50th SCM held on 02.07.19, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 12.12.19, Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation (KCTU), Bangalore, submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	SIDBI Appraisal report.	Received.
(ii)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Received.
(iii)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board.	Received.
(iv)	Bye Laws or MoA and AoA.	SPV has submitted bye-laws of the society in regional language and a translated version in English, which is not certified by Board of Directors in MoA. Certified copy of MoA and AoA are required.
(v)	Details of Revenue generation mechanism.	Received. Certified copy not submitted.
(vi)	Certificate from State Government regarding compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.	Received.
(vii)	Documentary proof from SPV for utilization of CFC facilities by at least 51% of the cluster members.	Received. (Certified copy not submitted).
(viii)	No. of beneficiary units (non SPV members) should be enhanced and list of members to be provided.	List of 31 nos. non-SPV members submitted.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Basic Information of Cluster

Name of Cluster	Food Processing Cluster								
District	Uttara Kannada								
Location of Cluster	Sirsi								
Lok Sabha Constituency	Uttara Kannada								
Main Product	Frozen Fruits and Vegetables, Vacuum Fried Chips, Freeze Dried Fruit and Vegetables, Virgin Coconut Oil, Garcinia Indica and Garcinia Cambogia Butter, Canned Fruits and Vegetables, Fruit pulp, Multi Grain Powder, Dehydrated fruits and veget.								
No. of Enterprises including break up (Micro, Small, Medium)	<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>Units</td> <td>Nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Micro</td> <td>: 33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small</td> <td>: 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>: 37</td> </tr> </table>	Units	Nos.	Micro	: 33	Small	: 4	Total	: 37
Units	Nos.								
Micro	: 33								
Small	: 4								
Total	: 37								
Turnover for the last five years (Rs. in crore p.a.)	5.48								
Exports for the last five years	Nil								
Employment in Cluster	1853 nos. (Direct – 246 & Indirect – 1607)								
Technology Details	Sterilizing Equipment Advanced Mechanized Dryers								

	like Freeze Drying & Controlled atmosphere Drying Vacuum Frying Equipment Cold Chain Solutions like IQF, Cold Storage Canning Facilities Oil Extraction Facility Powder Making Grinders: Nitrogen Packaging Machines
Whether DS Conducted	Yes
Main findings of DSR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Even though the Raw materials are available, new value additions are not taking place. ➤ There are many problems in cluster to scale up. ➤ Key technology is missing in processing. ➤ Common solutions can be made available to address major issues. ➤ There will be more opportunities if scientific approach is adapted in Packing, Storing, Branding, Marketing and R&D
Main Problems of Cluster	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ No value additions ➤ No advance methods ➤ Storage problem of seasonally available raw materials and final products ➤ Branding and marketing ➤ Short of standard packing
Other Information	The cluster members have good local and regional market linkage but the urban market linkage is very weak, due to age old methodologies and inadequate infrastructure for advertisement of their products in urban, National and International markets. The processing methodology that the cluster members are following is very labor intensive and time consuming. The fact that they are using open sun drying method is major drawback as this region is a hilly region with frequent rainfalls.

2. Information about Proposed CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Justification for CFC	The Food cluster at Sirsi produces valuable and non chemical preservative value added fruit/ vegetable products. The style of production is very old fashioned, informal and primitive. They are not able to imply modern equipment even though they know about new technologies due to financial constraints. The CFC that is being proposed at the cluster will bring about holistic changes. It will increase the cluster turnover to 300%-400%. The machineries proposed will increase the productivity, reduces the processing time and will increase the hygienic level and quality of their products.	--
(b.) Location of CFC	Plot No: SPL 25, Industrial Area, Banvasi Road, Sirsi, Uttar Kannada District, Karnataka 581401	--
% age of units in radius of 5km	4	--
% age of units in radius of 10km	33	--
(c.) Land for CFC		
i. Whether land acquired	Yes	Lease deed for a period of 30 years
ii. Title is in name of	Padmanabha V. Bhat	

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks																								
iii. Valuation and its basis	NA	received.																								
iv. Land is sufficient	Yes																									
v. Change of land use	NA																									
vi. If on lease, duration of lease	30 Years																									
vii Whether lease is legally tenable	Yes																									
(d.) Total Building area(sq ft)	10763 Sqft																									
(e.) Rate of construction of building	NA		--																							
(f.) Main Facility Proposed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Raw Material Bank ➤ Dehydration Facility. ➤ Powderring Facility. ➤ Individual Quick Freezing Facility. ➤ Vacuum Frying Facility. ➤ Freeze Drying Facility. ➤ Virgin Coconut Oil Extraction Facility. ➤ Oil Extraction Facility. ➤ Canning Facility. ➤ Packaging Facility. ➤ Cold Storage Facility. ➤ Testing Laboratory. 																									
(g.) Prod capacity of CFC	30000Kg per Day	--																								
(h.)Major Outputs/Deliverables of CFC, Projected performance of the cluster after proposed intervention (in terms of production, export/ domestic sales and direct/indirect employment, etc.)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Before intervention</th> <th>After Intervention</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Nos. of units (nos.)</td> <td>37</td> <td>47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Turnover (Rs. in cr. p.a.)</td> <td>5.48 crore</td> <td>Rs.34.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Export (Rs. in crore)</td> <td>Nil</td> <td>At least 5 units in the cluster will be able to export.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Employment (nos.)</td> <td>Direct – 246 Indirect-1607</td> <td>Direct – 700 Indirect-7500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Profit margin</td> <td>Around 20%</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Parameter	Before intervention	After Intervention	1.	Nos. of units (nos.)	37	47	2	Turnover (Rs. in cr. p.a.)	5.48 crore	Rs.34.00	3	Export (Rs. in crore)	Nil	At least 5 units in the cluster will be able to export.	4	Employment (nos.)	Direct – 246 Indirect-1607	Direct – 700 Indirect-7500	5	Profit margin	Around 20%	30%	
Sl. No.	Parameter	Before intervention	After Intervention																							
1.	Nos. of units (nos.)	37	47																							
2	Turnover (Rs. in cr. p.a.)	5.48 crore	Rs.34.00																							
3	Export (Rs. in crore)	Nil	At least 5 units in the cluster will be able to export.																							
4	Employment (nos.)	Direct – 246 Indirect-1607	Direct – 700 Indirect-7500																							
5	Profit margin	Around 20%	30%																							
(i.) Pollution clearance required or not	Required. Consent for Establishment of CFC is obtained from KSPCB on 19.07.2018.	Received.																								
(j.) Man Power in CFC	Processing section -46 Administration section - 12	--																								
(k.) Revenue generation mechanism for sustainability of assets(service/user charges to be levied, any other-to be specified)	Revenue from A : Raw Material Bank – Rs. 74,08,500/- Revenue from B : Processing Lines – Rs. 3,46,75,200/- Revenue from C : Cold Storage Section – Rs. 12,77,500/-	--																								

3. Information about SPV

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Name and Address	Simha Shakti Okkuta Plot No. SPL 25, KSSIDC Industrial Estate, Banavasi Road, Sirsi, Uttar	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
	Kannada District, Karnataka.	
(b.) Nature of SPV(company or Society or Trust)	Registered as Society under Karnataka Society Registration act 1960.	--
(c.) Name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV	Managing Director KCTU and Director, MSME-DI, Hubli	--
(d.) Date of formation of SPV	04.07.12	Certified copy of registration of SPV formation is required.
(e.) Number of Members	37	-
(f.) Bye Laws or MoA and AoA submitted	Yes	Required
(g.) Authorized Share Capital	No individual shareholder has more than 10% equity stake in capital of the SPV.	--
(h.) Paid up capital as on.....	-	--
(i.) Shareholding Pattern	Submitted	Received
(j.) Commitment letter for contribution	Submitted	Received
(k.) SPV specific A/c	Bank Name: Central Bank of India Branch: Sirsi Type of Account: Current A/c No: 3316572980 IFSC: CBIN0283676	--
(l.) Trust Building of SPV, Previous track record of co-operative initiatives pursued by SPV members need to be highlighted with support documentation	1. Training, Workshop and Programme conducted under SPV initiative at Sirsi 2. Undergone 2 days intensive training in CFTRI, Mysore and got Certificate in this regard	--
(m.) Technical Institution	CFTRI, Mysore and Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation (KCTU), Bangalore	--
(n.) CFC may be utilised by SPV members as also others in a cluster. However, evidence should be furnished with regard to SPV member ability to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.	Yes, Commitment Letter Enclosed	Received
(o.)		
(a) Power requirement for commercial/domestic purpose	200 KV	--
(b) Water	The CFC requires 5000 Litre of water per day for processing of Food.	--
(c) Gas/Oil/Other Utilities	Diesel for Generator, Fire wood for Boilers, Solar Energy	--

4. Implementation Arrangements

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Implementing Agency	Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation (KCTU), Bangalore.	As per guidelines
(b.) Fund receiving Agency		
(c.) Implementation Period	2 Years	--
(d.) Appraisal of DPR and main Recommendations	Submitted.	Received.
(e.) Comments of Technical		

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
Division	TEAC recommended the proposal.	
(f.) Approval of Technical Committee		
(g.) Comments of Cluster Development Division:	Recommended the proposal	--
(h.) Working capital(In-principle sanction of loan from a bank, if applicable arrangement made)	Margin money for working capital of Rs. 4.10 lakh is considered in the project.	--

5. Financial Analysis of CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks																									
(a.) BEP	38.76% (Cash BEP 25.30%)	--																									
(b.) IRR, Payback period	16.08%	--																									
(c.) DSCR	-	--																									
(d.) Return on Capital employed (ROCE)	30.28 % (Optimum year)	25% is desirable.																									
(e.) NPV	Rs. 527.60 lakh (before tax) Rs. 259.16 lakh (post tax)	--																									
(f.) DER	-	--																									
(g.) Sensitivity Analysis	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Case</th> <th>IRR (Post tax)</th> <th>NPV (post tax)</th> <th>ROCE</th> <th>BEP</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Base value</td> <td>16.08%</td> <td>259.16%</td> <td>30.28%</td> <td>38.76%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Decreasing Sales & Job income by 10%</td> <td>12.59%</td> <td>104.58%</td> <td>23.44%</td> <td>44.60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Increasing Raw Material Cost by 10%</td> <td>16.08%</td> <td>259.16%</td> <td>30.28%</td> <td>38.76%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Decreasing Production Cap. Utilization by 10%</td> <td>12.94%</td> <td>120.29%</td> <td>24.50%</td> <td>38.76%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Case	IRR (Post tax)	NPV (post tax)	ROCE	BEP	Base value	16.08%	259.16%	30.28%	38.76%	Decreasing Sales & Job income by 10%	12.59%	104.58%	23.44%	44.60%	Increasing Raw Material Cost by 10%	16.08%	259.16%	30.28%	38.76%	Decreasing Production Cap. Utilization by 10%	12.94%	120.29%	24.50%	38.76%	
Case	IRR (Post tax)	NPV (post tax)	ROCE	BEP																							
Base value	16.08%	259.16%	30.28%	38.76%																							
Decreasing Sales & Job income by 10%	12.59%	104.58%	23.44%	44.60%																							
Increasing Raw Material Cost by 10%	16.08%	259.16%	30.28%	38.76%																							
Decreasing Production Cap. Utilization by 10%	12.94%	120.29%	24.50%	38.76%																							
(h.) Status of CFCs approved in the State	<p>a) Cluster Implemented:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Auto Cluster, Hubli 2. Readymade garments cluster, Hubli. 3. Food Cluster, Gulbarga 4. Auto cluster, Bidar 5. Raisin Processing Cluster, Bijapur 6. Heat Treatment & Engg Cluster, Hubli 7. Athani Raisin Processing Cluster, Belagavi. 8. ELCIA Cluster, Bangalore. 9. Printing Cluster, Bangalore. <p>b) Clusters Under implementation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Uthopia Cashew Cluster, Kumta, Uttara Kannada. 2. Global Puffed Rice Cluster, Holalkere Road, Chitradurga 																										

6. Proposed Project Cost:

		(Rs. in lakh)	
S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	As per MSE-CDP/Recommended by SIDBI
1.	Land	0.00	0.00
2.	Building	0.00	0.00
3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	671.50	671.50
4.	Misc. fixed assets	5.00	5.00
5.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	5.00	5.00
6.	Contingencies (2% on building and 5% on plant and machinery)	20.00	20.00
7.	Margin money for working capital	4.18	4.10
	Total	705.68	705.60

7. Proposed means of finance:

		(Rs. in lakh)	
S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	As per MSE-CDP/Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	564.54	564.00 (79.93%)
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Karnataka	70.57	70.60(10.01%)
(iii)	SPV contribution	70.57	71.00 (10.06%)
	Total	705.68	705.60

8. Plant and machinery (with Brief Specification)

		(Rs. in lakh)	
S. No.	Name of the Machine	Qty	Total Cost
A	Raw Material Bank		
1.	Cutting and cubing machine (for vegetables)	1	0.4674
2.	Fruit and vegetable blanching machine	1	0.8856
3.	Washer & Blanching machine (for fruits)	1	3.5055
4.	Fruit washing machine (Manually operating, for soft fruits)	1	0.8487
5.	Automatic vegetable cutting machine	1	2.7306
6.	Cleaning and peeling machine (for root vegetables)	1	2.2755
7.	Slicing machine (vegetables)	1	4.4895
8.	Stainless steel working table	6	2.1033
9.	Four head jack fruit peeling machine	1	3.444
10.	Dehydrate	1	6.4575
11.	Dryer	1	3.69
12.	Special Flour Mill	1	1.2054
13.	Commercial flour mill	1	1.2054
14.	Ribbon blender (for mixing grains etc.)	1	1.476
15.	Roster	1	3.075
16.	Vibro sifter	1	0.7134
17.	Fruit & Vegetable dicing machine (blade size 5x5 & 10x10)	1	4.0221
18.	Fruit & vegetable dicing machine (blade size 8x8 & 16x16)	1	4.0221
19.	Fruit milling machine (250 mm, DIA, Crushing)	1	1.353
20.	Fully automatic (sizing, peeling, coring machine)	1	40.59
21.	Horizontal Peeling Coring machine	2	12.7305
22.	Horizontal Slicing machine (one dia fruit 75-85)	1	4.92

S. No.	Name of the Machine	Qty	Total Cost
	MM)		
23.	Horizontal slicing machine (one dia fruit 87-95 MM)	1	4.8585
24.	Horizontal TIT-BIT Cutting machine (80-95 MM)	1	2.2755
25.	Pulping machine (for extraction of fruit pulp)	1	1.3284
26.	Horizontal TIT-BIT Cutting machine (65-75 MM)	1	2.337
27.	Fruit Slicer	1	1.9065
28.	Cold Storage – 1	1	4.655
B	Value Addition Machineries		
1.	IQF Machine	1	55.86
2.	Vacuum Frying Machine	1	73.80
3.	Deep Freezer (Blast Freezer)	1	7.98
4.	Freez Dryer	1	123.69
5.	Agitator Tank	1	2.829
6.	Clarifier (for oil)	1	3.69
7.	LF DC Discharge conveyor	1	1.4883
8.	Micro Filter (for virgin coconut oil)	1	3.1119
9.	Milk extractor machine (for coconut milk)	1	8.5239
10.	Self priming pump	1	0.6765
11.	Tabular Centrifuge (for oil)	1	9.7416
12.	Virgin Oil Collection Tank	1	1.0824
13.	Coconut Deshelling machine	2	2.46
14.	Coconut Grating Machine	1	4.92
15.	Micro filter (for seed oil)	1	3.1119
16.	Oil Expeller	1	4.182
17.	Milk collection tank (for coconut milk)	1	0.74415
18.	Can Handpressure Tester – Foot Operated Pump	1	0.05904
19.	Can Holing basket	4	0.738
20.	Canning retort	2	1.1808
21.	Double seamer – DS 24 (CAN SEAMER)	2	12.177
22.	Inspection Conveyor (for peeled and cored fruit)	1	5.51655
23.	Inspection cum filling conveyor	1	5.535
24.	Machine flange rectifier	1	0.16974
25.	Material Handling Trolley	6	0.57564
26.	Material Handling Trolley Heavy Duty	4	0.4428
27.	Refractometer 0"- 32" (2 nos.), 28" – 62" (1 no.)	3	0.13284
28.	Retort Basket	12	0.75276
29.	Steam checking scale guage	2	0.01845
30.	Spear point guage SS made vaccum tester	1	0.02952
31.	Stainless Steel Centrifugal Transfer	1	0.7749
32.	Steam generating boiler	1	22.3245
33.	Steam jacketed kettle fixed type	1	2.5461
34.	Steam jacketed kettle tilting type	1	1.353
35.	Storing cum feeding table	6	2.1033
36.	Straight line exhaust box (for canning)	1	7.011
37.	Sugar syrup preparation cum holding tank	1	5.0922
38.	Syrup filling cum storing table	1	2.337
39.	Weighing cum storing table	2	0.5166
40.	Basket lifting electrically operated chain hoist	1	1.968
41.	Tubular Centrifuge (for juice extraction)	1	9.7416
C	Packing Facilities		
42.	FFS Machine (for semi finished raw material)	1	8.61
43.	Single chamber vacuum packing machine (alles pack – DZ -500/2E)	1	0.7503
44.	Band Sealer	2	0.5043
45.	FFS Multy (for raw vegetables & fruits)	1	5.289
46.	Bag Sealerr	1	0.0615

S. No.	Name of the Machine	Qty	Total Cost
47.	FFS Machine (for crispy products)	1	17.22
48.	FFS Horizontal (for fruit bar)	1	6.4575
49.	Centre seal machine (for powder)	1	17.22
50.	Double Chamber vacuum packing machine (model: ALLES Pack – DZ 500/2SB)	1	1.6605
D	Other supportive Machines and accessories		
1.	Air conditioner	3	1.995
2.	Effluent Treatment plant	1	9.84
3.	Micro – Biology Laboratory set	1	11.439
4.	Office equipments	1	7.38
5.	RO Water purification unit	1	2.46
6.	Solar energy system (for office equipments, lighting and CC TV)	1	6.15
7.	Diesel Generator Set (for power back up)	1	17.22
8.	Bore well	1	2.46
9.	Pressure washer (for floor cleaning)	2	0.246
10.	Solar Water Heater	1	1.23
11.	Water pump	2	0.615
12.	Bin and containers	1	2.46
13.	CC TV System	1	1.845
14.	Fire Extenguisher	4	0.492
15.	Linen (for 60 employees, 2 each)	60	0.738
16.	Plant tools and equipments	1	6.15
17.	Website	1	1.23
18.	Weighing Scale (150KG X 1 No., 50 KG X 2 Nos., 20 KG X 2 Nos.)	5	1.23
E	Cold storage – 2	1	4.305
	Electrification	1	19.68
	Total		671.49749

9. Observations:

Following documents are to be submitted prior to issue of final approval letter:

- (i) Clear copy of registration of SPV formation.
- (ii) Duly signed copy of MoA and AoA.
- (iii) Certified copy of Revenue generation mechanism.
- (iv) Certified copy of documentary proof from SPV for utilization of CFC facilities by at least 51% of the cluster members (on the letter head).

10. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Food Processing Cluster, Sirsi, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka at a total project cost of Rs. 705.60 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 564.00 lakh, State Government contribution of Rs. 70.60 lakh and SPV contribution of Rs. 71.00 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.25(S): Proposal for Final approval for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point, Dera Bassi, District S.A.S Nagar, Punjab.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended by 70th meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) of MSE-CDP held on 20.12.19 subject to submission of the requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 15.01.2020, Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Ltd. submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency (IA).	Undertaking from PSIEC that land is in possession of PSIEC, Notification of land from Government of Punjab has been received.
(ii)	SIDBI Appraisal Report.	Received
(iii)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Received
(iv)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.	Received
(v)	Undertaking from IA regarding basis of estimates of component costs as per format provided.	Received
(vi)	Undertaking that cost of land filing & leveling should be met from State share.	Received
(vii)	Details of operating units of the Industrial Focal Point.	Received

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Ltd.
State	:	Punjab
District	:	S.A.S Nagar
Industrial Estate	:	Industrial Focal Point Dera Bassi

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes
Total Area of Industrial Estate/ Area	135.63 acres

Particulars	Description
Area to be developed	95.77 acres
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	201 plots with 67 units are operating
Implementing Period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up etc.	Yes, up-gradation of Industrial Focal point Chanalon, District SAS Nagar was accorded Final Approval in the 51 st SCM. There are 152 operational units in Industrial Estate. The project is under implementation under MSE-CDP.
Performance of ID projects in State	Satisfactory

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Limited.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Received
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dera Bassi is located on the Chandigarh and Delhi National Highway, 8 km from Chandigarh. It is located within 10 km from Chandigarh to Mohali and Panchkula. It is strategically located near the boundary of Haryana, Punjab and Union territory of Chandigarh. 	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	The proposed project is for the up-gradation of the existing focal point. Implementation agency i.e. Punjab Small Scale Industries & Export Corporation Ltd. (PSIEC) was the original owner of the land.	Undertaking from PSIEC that land is in possession of PSIEC, Notification of land from Government of Punjab has been received.
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes, State Level Project Steering Committee has been constituted.	Constituted.
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, PSIEC will bear the excess project cost in case of any escalation	Received
Basis of elements of project Cost	Cost estimates have been made as per the Common Schedule of Rates (CSR-2010) of Govt. of Punjab.	--
Tangible Outcomes of the project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better roads will reduce congestion With the creation of infrastructure, employment opportunities (direct and 	--

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	<p>indirect) will increase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Availability of good roads, water, power infrastructure will increase the competitiveness of industry in the region. • The increase in manufacturing activity in the region will further result in ignition of support services, thereby leading to the overall development of the region. • Increased investment due to development of the infrastructure will also improve the occupancy of Focal Point. 	
Justification of the Proposal	Industrial Point Dera Bassi was developed in year 1991. With time infrastructure has deteriorated. Industries are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost. The up-gradation of the focal point will cater to the need of basic infrastructure such as roads, drainage system, power, administrative and other services complex etc.	--

4. Proposed Project Cost:

S. No.	Particulars	(Rs. in lakh)		
		Earlier Project Cost	Revised Project Cost	
		Proposed by IA	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Cost of land filling/ leveling including boundary wall/ fencing	37.47	37.47	37.47
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	654.97	717.66	717.84
(iii)	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	86.98	86.98	86.98
(iv)	Water Harvesting	6.48	6.48	6.48
(v)	Drainage	11.03	8.11	8.11
(vi)	Power (Sub-station and distribution network including street lighting etc., Generation of non-conventional energy	109.71	109.71	109.71
(vii)	Others (Sanitary conveniences etc.)	8.00	13.81	13.81
(viii)	Effluent Treatment Facilities	70.00	0.00	0.00
(ix)	Contingencies & Pre-operative expenses	9.84	9.80	9.80
	Total	994.48	990.02	990.20

5. Proposed means of finance:

S. No.	Particulars	(Rs. in lakh)	
		Earlier Project Cost	Revised Project Cost
		Proposed by IA	As per MSE-CDP/ Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	GoI Grant under MSE-CDP	596.68	594.12*
(ii)	State Government	397.80	396.08
	Total	994.48	990.20

*60% of total eligible project cost of Rs. 990.20 lakh.

6. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point, Derabassi, District S.A.S Nagar, Punjab at a total project cost of Rs. 990.20 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 594.12 lakh and contribution from PSIEC of Rs. 396.08 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.26 (S): Proposal for Final approval for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point Khanna, District Ludhiana, Punjab.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended by 70th meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) of MSE-CDP held on 20.12.19 subject to submission of the requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 15.01.2020, Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Ltd. submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency (IA).	Undertaking from PSIEC that land is in possession of PSIEC, Notification of land from Government of Punjab has been received.
(ii)	SIDBI Appraisal Report.	Received
(iii)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Received
(iv)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.	Received
(v)	Undertaking from IA regarding basis of estimates of component costs as per format provided.	Received
(vi)	Undertaking that cost of land filing & levelling should be met from State share.	Received
(vii)	Details of operating units of the Industrial Focal Point.	Received
(viii)	State Government /IA should consider enhancement of their contribution.	Government of Punjab/IA has enhanced its contribution from 20% to 24.95% of the total project cost. However, the total project cost has also been increased.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation
State	:	Punjab
District	:	Ludhiana
Industrial Estate :	:	Industrial Focal Point Khanna

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes

Particulars	Description
Total Area of industrial estate/ area	102.40 acres
Area to be developed	102.40 acres
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	77 units (42 no. of units are micro)
Implementing period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up etc.	Yes, up-gradation of Focal Point, Phase-IV, Ludhiana was approved in 43 rd meeting of the Steering Committee of MSE-CDP held on 09.03.17. There are 182 plots in the said focal point and all plots are allotted. The project is under implementation under MSE-CDP.
Performance of ID projects in State	Satisfactory

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Limited (PSIEC), Chandigarh	--
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report	Submitted	Received
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Focal Point, Khanna is located near NH-I. The water and power supply is adequate. Nearest railway station is 3 km away from Khanna Railway station. Amritsar (International) Airport and Chandigarh (International) Airport is 194 km and 68 km respectively away from the said Focal Point. 	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Undertaking from PSIEC received that the land for the common infrastructure is in possession of PSIEC and PSIEC is also responsible for infrastructure development for the Focal Point.	Undertaking from PSIEC that land is in possession of PSIEC, Notification of land from Government of Punjab has been received.
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	There are around 77 industrial units operating within the Focal Point, Khanna out of which more than 50% units i.e. 42 units are micro. The land is already into industrial use. Certificate from GM DIC, Ludhiana has also been received.	
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been	Yes, State Level Committee is constituted.	Constituted

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
constituted		
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, PSIEC will meet any cost in excess of approved project cost.	Received
Basis of elements of project cost	Cost estimates have been made as per the Common Schedule of Rates (CSR-2010) of Govt. of Punjab.	--
Tangible Outcomes of the project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better roads will reduce congestion. • With the creation of infrastructure, employment opportunities (direct and indirect) will increase. • Availability of good roads, water, power infrastructure will increase the competitiveness of industry in the region. • The increase in manufacturing activity in the region will further result in ignition of support services, thereby leading to the overall development of the region. • Increased investment due to development of the infrastructure will also improve the occupancy of Focal Point. 	--
Justification of the Proposal	Focal Point Khanna, Ludhiana was developed in 1977. With time infrastructure has deteriorated. Industries are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost. Due to bad condition of Industrial Focal Point investors are also not visiting the industrial area due to which there is economical loss to the State.	--

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Earlier Project Cost	Revised Project Cost	
		Proposed by IA	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Cost of land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing	30.00	30.00	30.00
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	733.69	916.32	916.32
(iii)	Water harvesting	20.00	19.44	19.44
(iv)	Power (Sub-station and distribution network including Street lighting etc.,	49.50	49.50	49.50

S. No.	Particulars	Earlier Project Cost	Revised Project Cost	
		Proposed by IA	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI
	Generation of non-conventional energy)			
(v)	Administrative Office Building	20.00	0.00	0.00
(vi)	Conference Hall/Exhibition centre	10.00	27.20	29.83
(vii)	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses	17.26	20.84	20.90
	Total	880.45	1063.30	1065.99

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Earlier Project Cost	Revised Project Cost
		Proposed by IA	As per MSE-CDP/ Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	GoI Grant under MSE-CDP	704.36	800.00*
(ii)	State Government	176.09	265.99
	Total	880.45	1065.99

*80% of total eligible project cost i.e. Rs. 1000.00 lakh.

Observation:

Based on the direction of TEAC to enhance State Government/ IA contribution, Government of Punjab/IA has enhanced its contribution from 20% to 24.95% of the total project cost. However, the total project cost has also been increased.

6. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point Khanna, District Ludhiana, Punjab at a total project cost of Rs. 1065.99 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 800.00 lakh and contribution from PSIEC of Rs. 265.99 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.27(S): Proposal for Final approval for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point, Moga, Punjab.

Background

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 50th SCM held on 02.07.19, subject to submission of the requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 05.09.19, Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Ltd. submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency	Extract from the Punjab Government Gazette Notification as land document has been received.
(ii)	SIDBI Appraisal Report	Received
(iii)	Status of completed ID projects in the State as per format provided and details of operating units in present project	Received
(iv)	PSIEC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Punjab / PSIEC.	As per revised DPR, cement concrete roads will be constructed.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information:

Name of Organization	:	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation
State	:	Punjab
District	:	Moga
Industrial Estate	:	Focal Point Moga

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	108.29 acres
Area to be developed (acre)	73.43 acres
Number and sizes of plots developed	213 plots with 120 units (out of which more than 50% units are micro)
Implementing Period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up, etc.	Nil
Performance of ID projects in State	Satisfactory

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Limited	As per MSECDP guidelines
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory.	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Received
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Industrial Area is located close to NH-71. ➤ Nearest Airport- Amritsar Airport is 121 kms away. ➤ There is presence of adequate power supply. ➤ There is good connectivity of roadway and railway. 	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Punjab Small Scale Industries & Export Corporation Ltd. (PSIEC) was the original owner of the land. When the focal point Moga was developed the entire land was allotted to the unit holders present in the focal point. PSIEC is still responsible for operation and maintenance of focal point.	Extract from the Punjab Government Gazette Notification as land document has been received.
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	State Government i.e. PSIEC has procured the land before the industrial area was developed.	
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been Constituted	Yes, the Committee has been formed which includes officials from PSIEC, Industry Department, MSME-DI, Ludhiana.	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	The contribution will be made by PSIEC as per the means of finance mentioned in the report. Escalation in the project cost shall be met by PSIEC out of its own sources.	Received
Basis of elements of project Cost	The cost estimates have been made as per Common Schedule Rates – 2010 of Government of Punjab and in some cases, market rates are used for reference.	--
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Up-gradation of Industrial area will provide support to industries present in the industrial area in terms of better connectivity, minimum wear and tear, better transport facilities, proper drainage system that will further lead to development of Industrial Estate.	--
Justification of the Proposal	The focal point was developed in early 1990s with all infrastructure facilities required for upgradation. However, with time basic infrastructures in the focal point has been deteriorated and require upgradation. The proposal will cater to the basic need of maintenance of road, drainage system, power, administrative and other services	--

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	complex etc. Further, due to deteriorated infrastructure, industries in the focal point are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are also charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)			
S. No.	Items	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Cost of land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing	67.26	52.03
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	192.35	731.89
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	13.54	0.00
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	20.23	10.65
(v)	Water harvesting	6.48	0.00
(vi)	Drainage	10.75	10.69
(vii)	Power (Sub-station and distribution network including Street light etc.), Generation of non-conventional energy	245.71	146.99
(viii)	Administrative Office Building	15.79	0.00
(ix)	Telecommunication/ Cyber / Documentation centre	15.79	0.00
(x)	Conference Hall/Exhibition centre	23.68	0.00
(xi)	Bank/Post office	15.79	0.00
(xii)	Raw material storage facility, Marketing outlets	31.58	0.00
(xiii)	First aid centre, Creche Canteen facilities	15.79	0.00
(xiv)	Contingencies & Pre-operative expenses	13.69	19.04
(xv)	Others (Sanitary conveniences)	10.00	0.00
	Total	698.43	971.29

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)			
S. No.	Particulars	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	GoI grant under MSE-CDP	555.91*	777.03**
(ii)	Contribution from PSIEC	142.52	194.26
	Total	698.43	971.29

* 79.60% of total project cost i.e. Rs. 698.43 lakh.

**80% of total project cost i.e. Rs.971.29 lakh.

6. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for upgradation of Industrial Focal Point, Moga, Punjab at a total project cost of Rs. 971.29 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 777.03 lakh and contribution from PSIEC of Rs. 194.26 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.28(S): Proposal for Final approval for up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point, Nabha (Old), District Patiala, Punjab.

Background

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 50th SCM held on 02.07.19, subject to submission of the requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 05.09.19, Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Ltd. submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency	Jamabandi for the year 2014-15 as land document has been received.
(ii)	SIDBI Appraisal Report	Received
(iii)	Status of completed ID projects in the State as per format provided and details of operating units in present project	Received
(iv)	PSIEC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Punjab / PSIEC.	As per revised DPR, up-gradation of roads will be done with Cement Concrete.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation
State	:	Punjab
District	:	Patiala
Industrial Estate	:	Focal Point Nabha (Old)

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	No
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	50.57 acres
Area to be developed (acre)	31.57 acres
Number and sizes of plots developed	128 plots with 52 units (out of which more than 50% units are micro)
Implementing Period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up, etc.	Nil
Performance of ID projects in State	Satisfactory

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Punjab Small Industries & Export	--

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	Corporation (PSIEC) Limited	
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory.	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Received
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Industrial area is located close to NH-7 & 12A. Nabha railway station is around 5 km. Nearest Airport- Chandigarh International Airport is 125kms away. There is presence of adequate power supply in the Industrial Focal Point.	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Punjab Small Scale Industries & Export Corporation Ltd. (PSIEC) was the original owner of the land. When the focal point Nabha was developed, the entire land was allotted to the unit holders present in the focal point. PSIEC is still responsible for operation and maintenance of focal point.	Jamabandi for the year 2014-15 as land document has been received.
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	State Government i.e. PSIEC has procured the land before the Industrial area was developed.	
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been Constituted	Yes, the Committee has been formed which includes officials from PSIEC, Industry Department, MSME-DI, Ludhiana	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	The contribution will be made as per the means of finance mentioned in the report. State Govt. along with the other stakeholders will take care for any escalation in the project cost. Confirmation from PSIEC is mentioned in the covering letter.	Received
Basis of elements of project Cost	The cost estimates have been made as per Common Schedule Rates – 2010 of Government of Punjab and in some cases, market rates are used for reference.	--
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Up-gradation of Industrial area will provide support to industries present in the industrial area in terms of better connectivity, minimum wear and tear, better transport facilities, proper drainage system that will further lead to development of Industrial Estate.	--
Justification of the Proposal	The focal point was developed in early 1990s with all infrastructure facilities required for upgradation. However, with time, basic infrastructure in the focal point has been deteriorated and requires upgradation. The proposal will cater to the basic need of maintenance of road, drainage system, power, administrative and other services complex etc. Further, due to deteriorated infrastructure, industries in the focal	--

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	point are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are also charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Items	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Cost of land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing:	58.14	8.53
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	134.92	428.62
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00	0.00
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	20.23	0.00
(v)	Water harvesting	6.48	6.23
(vi)	Drainage	10.75	0.00
(vii)	Power (Sub-station, Distribution network including street light etc.), Generation of non-conventional energy	32.81	234.49
(viii)	Administrative Office Building	15.79	0.00
(ix)	Telecom/Cyber/Documentation centre	15.79	0.00
(x)	Conference Hall/Exhibition centre	23.68	0.00
(xi)	Bank/Post office	15.79	0.00
(xii)	Raw material storage facility, Marketing outlets	31.58	0.00
(xiii)	First aid centre, Creche Canteen	15.79	0.00
(xiv)	Effluent Treatment Facilities	80.00	0.00
(xv)	Contingencies & Pre-operative expenses	9.63	13.57
(xvi)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences)	10.00	0.00
	Total	491.38	691.44

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	GoI grant under MSE-CDP	393.10*	553.15**
(ii)	Contribution from PSIEC	98.28	138.29
	Total	491.38	691.44

* 80% of total project cost i.e. Rs.491.38 lakh.

**80% of total project cost i.e. Rs.691.44 lakh.

6. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for upgradation of Industrial Focal Point, Nabha (Old), District Patiala, Punjab at a total project cost of Rs. 691.44 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 553.15 lakh and contribution from PSIEC of Rs. 138.29 lakh.

Agenda No.52.4.29(S): Proposal for Final approval for upgradation of Industrial Focal Point, Sangrur, Punjab.

Background

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 50th SCM held on 02.07.19, subject to submission of the requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 05.09.19, Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Ltd. submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency	Extract from the Punjab Government Gazette Notification and Jamabandi for the year 2014-15 as land documents have been received.
(ii)	SIDBI Appraisal Report	Received
(iii)	Status of completed ID projects in the State as per format provided and details of operating units in present project	Received
(iv)	PSIEC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Punjab / PSIEC.	As per revised DPR, the road will be re-developed & repaired with cement concrete.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation
State	:	Punjab
District	:	Sangrur
Industrial Estate	:	Focal Point Sangrur

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	58.65 acres
Area to be developed (acre)	43.00 acres
Number and sizes of plots developed	125 plots with 97 units (out of which more than 50% units are micro)
Implementing Period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up, etc.	Nil
Performance of ID projects in State	Satisfactory

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC) Limited	--
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Received
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Industrial area is located close to NH-11. • Sangrur railway station is around 2-3 km. • Nearest Airport- Chandigarh International Airport is 125kms away. • There is presence of adequate power supply in the Industrial Focal Point. 	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Punjab Small Scale Industries & Export Corporation Ltd. (PSIEC) was the original owner of the land. When the focal point Sangrur was developed, the entire land was allotted to the unit holders present in the focal point. PSIEC is still responsible for operation and maintenance of focal point.	Extract from the Punjab Government Gazette Notification and Jamabandi for the year 2014-15 as land documents have been received.
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	State Government i.e. PSIEC has procured the land before the industrial area was developed.	
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been Constituted	Yes, the Committee has been formed which includes officials from PSIEC, Industry Department, MSME-DI, Ludhiana.	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	The contribution will be made by PSIEC as per the means of finance mentioned in the report. Escalation in the project cost shall be met by PSIEC out of its own sources.	Received
Basis of elements of project Cost	The cost estimates have been made as per Common Schedule Rates – 2010 of Government of Punjab and in some cases, market rates are used for reference.	--
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Up-gradation of Industrial area will provide support to industries present in the industrial area in terms of better connectivity, minimum wear and tear, better transport facilities, proper drainage system that will further lead to development of Industrial Estate.	--
Justification of the Proposal	The focal point was developed in 1980s with all infrastructure facilities required for upgradation. However, with time basic infrastructures in the focal point has been deteriorated and require upgradation. The proposal will cater to the basic need of maintenance of road, drainage system, power, administrative	--

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	and other services complex etc. Further, due to deteriorated infrastructure, industries in the focal point are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are also charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)			
S. No.	Items	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Cost of land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing	42.03	5.42
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	135.12	461.13
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00	5.03
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	85.20	71.94
(v)	Water harvesting	6.48	0.00
(vi)	Drainage	5.00	10.69
(vii)	Power (Sub-station and distribution network including Street light etc.), Generation of non-conventional energy	46.31	247.89
(viii)	Administrative Office Building	15.79	0.00
(ix)	Telecommunication/ Cyber / Documentation centre	15.79	0.00
(x)	Conference Hall/Exhibition centre	23.68	0.00
(xi)	Bank/Post office	15.79	0.00
(xii)	Raw material storage facility, Marketing outlets	31.58	0.00
(xiii)	First aid centre, Creche Canteen facilities	15.79	0.00
(xiv)	Contingencies & Pre-operative expenses	9.17	16.05
(xv)	Others (Sanitary conveniences)	10.00	0.00
	Total	467.73	818.15

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)			
S. No.	Particulars	Earlier cost proposed by PSIEC	Revised cost proposed by PSIEC / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	GoI grant under MSE-CDP	374.18*	654.52**
(ii)	Contribution from PSIEC	93.55	163.63
	Total	467.73	818.15

* 80% of total project cost i.e. Rs. 467.73 lakh.

**80% of total project cost i.e. Rs.818.15 lakh.

6. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for upgradation of Industrial Focal Point, Sangrur, Punjab at a total project cost of Rs. 818.15 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 654.52 lakh and contribution from PSIEC of Rs. 163.63 lakh.

Agenda No.:52.4.30(S) Proposal for Final approval for setting up of Common Facility Centre in Gold Ornament Cluster, Karimnagar, Telangana.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was accorded In-principle approval during the 50th SCM held on 02.07.19, subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 23.12.19, Government of Telangana has submitted the documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

Documents required		Status
(i)	Registered lease deed for the premises for a period of 15 years on the name of SPV.	Received.
(ii)	SIDBI appraisal report.	Received.
(iii)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board	Received.
(iv)	Certificate from State Government regarding compliance of GFR/ CVC guidelines	Received
(v)	Certificate from State Government stating that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro.	Awaited .
(vi)	Letter from Government of Telangana regarding their contribution (minimum 10% of project cost) towards the project, as agreed by them.	Received.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Basic Information of Cluster

Name of Cluster :	Gold Ornament Cluster,		
District	Karimnagar		
Location of Cluster :	Karimnagar		
Lok Sabha Constituency :	Karimnagar		
Main Product :	Manufacturing of Gold Ornaments like chains, Rings, Bangles, Bracelets, Long Chains, Necklace, Black Beat Chains, Studs, Matties, Ear Chains, Tikkas, Locketts, Belts, Baju Bandies, Nosepins etc.		
No. of Enterprises including break up (Micro, Small, Medium)	Micro	232	
	Small	61	
	Medium	7	
Turn over for the last five years : (Rs. in Crore)	Year	Amount	
	2013-14	7.60	
	2014-15	7.80	
	2015-16	8.00	
	2016-17	8.40	
	2017-18	8.93	
Exports for the last five years : (Rs. in Crore)	Nil		
Employment in Cluster :	4000 Nos. (Direct – 2500 and 1500).		
Technology Details :	➤ Traditionally skilled goldsmiths employing craft skills and simple hand tools have made Jewellery and even nowadays, worldwide, much is still made in basic workshops using manual metalworking skills and only limited use of machines.		
Whether DS Conducted :	Yes.		
Main findings of DSR :	➤ BIS has made it mandatory for Jewellery Industry to follow hall mark norms and maintain the specified purity, majority of the cluster firms are finding it tough to know purity of the ornament they make.		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Artisans (Organized and Unorganized) lack basic infrastructure facilities and proper equipment in the cluster and are depending on part manufacturing firms for making of various types of gold ornaments. Hence there is a need for establishment of Common Processing plant in the cluster. ➤ The estimated cost of the proposed Common Processing Plant is Rs. 3.4 crore. This is resulting in higher rejection rates and dent in profit margins. Further non availability of forces the artisan to send jewellery far off distance to comply with the norms, which is costing them higher than the standard industry norms. ➤ Thus there is definite need to establish a common testing cum 3D laser Hall Mark Facility in the cluster to overcome the gap. The estimated cost of the proposed Common Processing Plant is Rs. 1.5 crores.
Main Problems of Cluster :	<p>After a careful diagnosis of the cluster, the following key issues have been identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Inability to keep abreast of modern trends in product design, fashion and technological development. ➤ Closed marketing behavior. ➤ Household type of venture. ➤ Dominance of few leading traders and wholesalers. ➤ Lack of in-house testing-cum-hallmarking facilities. ➤ Large scale production of identical and homogenous products. ➤ Poor R&D back up. ➤ Low product diversification. ➤ Poor backward and forward integration with market. ➤ Poor marketing tie-ups.
Other Information :	DSR recommended for establishment of CFC for over all development of the Cluster with facilities of common process com center and testing cum hall marking center

2. Information about Proposed CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Justification for CFC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There is a need to improve the quality of the finished products through establishment of a testing lab to know the purity of the gold and gold alloy used. ➤ To expand cluster market and make it export driven. High Technology machines like CNC, Faceting & Milling, Bangle Design, Fly Press, Gold Melting & Refinery, Chain making, Hydraulic coin press are required to establish a common model processing centre in order to combat with branded outlets and to make goldsmiths and part manufacturing firms self-sustainable. ➤ The individual entrepreneurs cannot afford to buy the above said machines due to financial constraints and lack of enough space. To capture organized market like retail outlets the cluster needs to establish a common hall mark facility centre. 	--
(b.) Location of CFC	Karimnagar Town, Karimnagar District, Telangana.	--
% age of units in radius of 5km	70	

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks		
% age of units in radius of 10km	30			
(c.) Land for CFC				
i. Whether land acquired	Yes. Land and building taken on lease.	Received.		
ii. Title is in name of	In the name of SPV i.e. Somnath Vishwakarma Karimnagar Goldsmith Association			
iii. Valuation and its basis	Premises taken on lease on monthly with a lease rent of 20,000/- per month.			
iv. Land is sufficient	Yes.			
v. Change of land use	In commercial use.			
vi. If on lease, duration of lease	Land on lease basis for 18 years.			
vii Whether lease is legally tenable	Land and building has been identified and SPV has under taken the Leased agreement with owner.			
(d.) Total Building area(sq ft)	2000 sq ft	--		
(e.) Rate of construction of building	NA	--		
(f.) Main Facility Proposed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Common Processing Centre. ➤ Common Testing cum Hallmark facilities 	--		
g.) Prod capacity of CFC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The processing center will have an installed capacity of 16500 Jobs per annum. ➤ The hall marking facility can take up 54000 jobs per annum. ➤ Testing center can take up 36000 jobs per annum. 	--		
(h.) Major Outputs/Deliverables of CFC, Projected performance of the cluster after proposed intervention (in terms of production, export/domestic sales and direct/indirect employment, etc.)	Sl. No.	Parameter	Before intervention	After Intervention
	1.	Nos. of units	300	--
	2	Turnover (crore)	Rs. 180 crore	Rs. 250 crore
	3	Investment (Crore)	7.80	14.00 (including CFC)
	4	Employment	4000	5000
	5	Profitability(%)	7%	12% to 15%
	5	Capacity utilization (%)	50-60%	60-70%
(i.) Pollution clearance required or not	Submitted.	Received.		
(j.) Man Power in CFC	17 members per Shift	--		
(k.) Revenue generation mechanism for sustainability of assets(service/user charges to be levied, any other-to be specified)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All the SPV members and other principle cluster firms are expected to utilise the services of various facilities proposed to be established at CFC on user charge basis for sustainability of its operations. ➤ The facility wise and activity wise charges are given in the following: ➤ Common Processing Centre use of processing machinery – Rs. 1200 per job work. ➤ Hall Marking – Rs. 35.00 cr. and Testing Hall Marking Rs. 45.00 cr. 			

3. Information about SPV

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Name and Address	M/s Somanath Vishwakarma Karimnagar Goldsmiths Association H.No: 3-27, Bejjanki Mandal, Gunkulkondapur, Karimnagar, Telangana, 505530	--
(b.) Nature of SPV(company or Society or Trust)	Special Purpose Vehicle (Section 8, Indian Companies Act 2013) Not for Profit Company.	--
(c.) Name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV	State Govt. and MSME DI will appoint the their officials after final approval.	Commitment letter regarding name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV required.
(d.) Date of formation of SPV	25.04.2018	--
(e.) Number of Members	108 nos.	Received.
(f.) Bye Laws or MoA and AOA submitted	Submitted.	
(g.) Authorized Share Capital	Rs. 10.00 lakh	
(h.) Paid up capital	Rs. 06.00 lakh.	
(i.) Shareholding Pattern	108 Nos. (@ 54,658)	--
(j.) Commitment letter for contribution	Submitted	--
(k.) SPV specific A/c	Bank of Maharashtra, Karimnagar Branch A/c No. 60323283019	Required
(l.) Trust Building of SPV, Previous track record of co-operative initiatives pursued by SPV members need to be highlighted with support documentation.	SPV have already organized trust building programs like awareness workshop of CDP, Exposure visit to Vijayawada Gold Cluster, visited Jewellery Expo in Chennai and New Delhi, and conducted Skill development program. Approach and SPV formation with the help of MSME-DI Hyderabad and DIC, Karim Nagar.	--
(m.) Technical Institution	The SPV is taking support from Gold Ornament Clusters at Vijayawada, for setting of the CFC. There are several institution that are supporting SPV viz. Commissioner of Industries, MSME DI, Hyderabad, DIC etc.	--
(n.) CFC may be utilised by SPV members as also others in a cluster. However, evidence should be furnished with regard to SPV member ability to utilise at least 60	Undertaking submitted by the SPV assuring that 60% capacity of plant and machinery will be utilised by the Cluster Firms.	--

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
percent of installed capacity.		
(o) Utilities		
(a) Power requirement for commercial/domestic purpose	24.50 HP	--
(b) Water	500 ltr per day	--
(c) Gas/Oil/Other Utilities	NA	--

4. Implement Arrangements

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)	Remarks
(a.) Implementing Agency	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC), Hyderabad	--
(b.) Fund receiving Agency	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC), Hyderabad	--
(c.) Implementation Period	18 months	24 Months from date of final approval.
(d.) Appraisal of DPR and main Recommendations	DPR Appraised by SLSC meeting held on 28.12.18 under chairmanship of Principal Secretary, MSME, Industries & Commerce Department, Govt. of Telangana.	--
(e.) Comments of Technical Division	The proposal was deliberated during 64 th & 65 th meetings of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 05.03.19 & 13.06.19 respectively and committee recommended the proposal to place before steering committee for In-principle approval.	
(f.) Approval of Technical Committee		
(g.) Comments of Cluster Development Division:		
(h.) Working capital (In-principle sanction of loan from a bank, if applicable arrangement made)	NA.	--

5. Financial Analysis of CFC

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)			Remarks		
(a.) BEP	33.57%			As per MSE-CDP guidelines.		
(b.) IRR, Payback period	17.01%					
(c.) DSCR	NA					
(d.) Return on Capital employed (ROCE)	26.23%					
(e.) NPV	Rs. 388.12 lakh (before tax) Rs.227.61 lakh (post tax) for FY 2022.					
(f.) DER	NA					
(g.) Sensitivity Analysis	Case	IRR (Post Tax)	NPV (Post Tax)	ROCE	BEP	Cash BEP
	Base Value	17.01%	227.61%	26.23%	33.57%	16.28%
	Decrease in job income by	15.46%	174.12%	23.71%	35.80%	17.37%

Description	Proposed by Implementation Agency (IA)			Remarks		
	5% Increase in raw-material cost by 5%	17.01%	227.61%	26.23%	33.57%	16.28%
	Decrease in capacity utilization by 10%	13.91%	123.62%	21.77%	33.57%	16.28%

6. Proposed project cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S.No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	As per SIDBI/MSE-CDP
1.	Land and its Development	0.00	0.00
2.	Building and other Civil constructions	21.31	21.31
3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	495.53	495.53
4.	Misc. fixed assets	9.15	12.96
5.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	23.25	21.50
6.	Contingencies (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	25.20	25.20
7.	Margin money for working capital	5.00	3.50
	Total	579.44	580.00

7. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	As per SIDBI/ MSE-CDP
1	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	520.41	463.00 (79.82%)
2	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Telangana	0.00	58.00 (10.00%)
3	SPV contribution	59.03	59.00 (10.18%)
	Total	579.44	580.00

8. Plant and machinery (with Brief Specification)

(Rs. in lakh)

S.No.	Description	No.	Power Requirement (HP/KW)	Cost
1.	Faceting & Milling Machine (CNC Machine) for bangles & wedding bands Double Head with variable speed control, computerized 6 axis system	1	0.50	35.00
2.	Bangle & Ring turning Machine 1.5â€	1	1	2.87
3.	Bangle & Ring Profiling Machine 2â€ No 17 to 49	1	1	0.75
4.	Lazer Engraving Machine Speed 12000mm/s, Cooling system	1	0.50	38.00
5.	CNC Router Machine Jewelers Engraving 3-4 Axis	1	5	18.50
6.	Digital Weighing Machine	1 set of 10 machin	0.50	6.90

S.No.	Description	No.	Power Requirement (HP/KW)	Cost
		es		
7.	Ball Making Machine with Full Setup of Sheet Drawing of Pipe Making, Soldering, Sheet Striping Machine, Hammering with All Standard Accessories	1	3	34.00
8.	Welding Machine 130 Joule capacity	1	1	15.00
9.	Gold & Silver Dyes sizes starting from 15 x 1 to 15.3/4X1	1200	0	48.00
10.	Digital Vacuum Pressure Casting Gold Melting Machine	1	2	15.50
11.	Gold Melting Machine with Digital display and cooling system	1	1	2.75
12.	Gold Refinery Machine 2 KG charge up to 6 KG per day	1	1	9.75
13.	Hydraulic Dai Cutting Machine 150 MM stock cylinders, 20 MM/ Sec Fast forward Speed -25 Tons	1	1.5	3.80
14.	MESH CHAIN MACHINE with standard accessories	1	2	17.00
15.	Box Chain Making Machine with standard accessories	1	1	12.00
16.	V Chain Making Machine with standard accessories	1	1	13.50
17.	Wire Drawing Machine with standard accessories	1	2	8.50
18.	Sheet and Wire Drawing Machine with standard accessories	1	1	4.60
19.	Laser Marking Machine Karat Mark	1	129	23.25
20.	XRF Machine	1	2	59.00
21.	Assay Balance 0.001 Mg accuracy	1	0.50	9.66
22.	Sartorius Analytical Balance Machine	1	0.50	1.25
23.	Sartorius Precision Balance Machine	2	0.50	1.70
24.	50 Gm, 100 Gm, 200 Gm, E2 Class Wire Weigh with display attachment	1 set	0.50	0.30
25.	Tools & Equipment for sampling Balling Pliers, couples, scrapping tools, tongs, forceps, rolling mill etc	1 set	0	7.00
26.	Furnaces, Scrubber, Parting Tray etc.	1 set	0	7.50
27.	Safety Equipment CCTV system, Air Conditioning Unit, Computer & UPS system, Generator etc.	1 set	0	8.50
	Total			404.58
	GST@18%			72.81

9. Observations:

(A) Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issue of final approval:

- (i) SIDBI Report of the said project mentions that there are 1200 Nos. of existing units in the cluster, however, DPR of the project on page No. 5 mentioned that there are 800 Nos. of part manufacturers (300 Nos. organized and 500 Nos. unorganized).
- (ii) Commitment letter from State Government regarding name of the state Govt. and MSME officials in SPV.
- (iii) Submitted certificate regarding 50% units of the cluster are micro is not mentioning the name of project and also it is not clear how many numbers of units are micro (600 Nos. as per SIDBI Report or 400 Nos. as per DRP)..
- (iv) 65th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 13.06.19 recommended the proposal to be placed before the Steering Committee for in-principle approval, subject to deletion of one each of Mesh Chain Machine and Wire Drawing Machine (which were 2 Nos. each as per the DPR), however, SIDBI Appraisal Report (at page No. 33) recommended Mesh Chain Machine and Wire Drawing Machine as 2 Nos. (same as it was proposed in DPR).

10. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of CFC in Gold Ornament Cluster, Karimnagar, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 580.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 463.00 lakh, State Government contribution of Rs.58.00 lakh and SPV contribution of Rs. 59.00 lakh.

Agenda No.:52.5.5(S) Ratification of Time Extension granted for setting up of CFC in Utopia Cashew Cluster, Kumta, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka.

S. No.	Description	Status																								
1.	Name of the proposal and location	Setting up of CFC in Utopia Cashew Cluster, Kumta, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka.																								
2.	Approval accorded	43 rd SCM held on 09.03.17.																								
3.	Final approval order issued on	31.03.17.																								
4.	Name of the IA	Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation (KCTU), Bangalore.																								
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh) Govt. of India Grant : 1079.05 Govt. of Karnataka Grant : 147.00 SPV contribution : 235.00 Total : 1461.05																								
6.	Project Costs and component wise details	(Rs. in lakh) <table border="1"> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Land and its Development</td> <td>75.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Building and other Civil Constructions</td> <td>305.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>Plant & Machinery (including electrification).</td> <td>995.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iv)</td> <td>Misc. fixed assets</td> <td>10.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(v)</td> <td>Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost</td> <td>5.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vi)</td> <td>Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)</td> <td>55.85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vii)</td> <td>Margin money for Working Capital</td> <td>15.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>1461.05</td> </tr> </table>	(i)	Land and its Development	75.20	(ii)	Building and other Civil Constructions	305.00	(iii)	Plant & Machinery (including electrification).	995.00	(iv)	Misc. fixed assets	10.00	(v)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	5.00	(vi)	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	55.85	(vii)	Margin money for Working Capital	15.00		Total	1461.05
(i)	Land and its Development	75.20																								
(ii)	Building and other Civil Constructions	305.00																								
(iii)	Plant & Machinery (including electrification).	995.00																								
(iv)	Misc. fixed assets	10.00																								
(v)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	5.00																								
(vi)	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	55.85																								
(vii)	Margin money for Working Capital	15.00																								
	Total	1461.05																								
7.	Total GoI grant released	(Rs. in lakh) 1 st installment on 29.06.18 : 431.62 2 nd installment on 08.01.19 : 323.00 3 rd installment on 10.12.19 : 216.00																								
8.	Validity upto	31.10.19																								
9.	Time extension accorded on file up to	31.03.20																								
10.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Steering Committee may kindly ratify the time extension accorded on file.																								

Agenda No.52.5.6(S): Ratification of Time Extension granted for up-gradation of Industrial Infrastructure in Focal Point, Phase-IV, Ludhiana, Punjab.

S. No.	Description	Status																														
1.	Name of the proposal and location	Up-gradation of Industrial Infrastructure in Focal Point, Phase-IV, Ludhiana, Punjab																														
2.	Approval accorded	43 rd SCM held on 09.03.17																														
3.	Final approval order issued on	10.11.17																														
4.	Name of the IA	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation (PSIEC), Chandigarh																														
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh) Govt. of India Grant : 376.20 PSIEC contribution : 293.94 Total : 670.14																														
6.	Project Costs and component wise details	(Rs. in lakh) <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Land Development (including levelling / fencing etc.)</td> <td>90.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Cost of laying roads</td> <td>200.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>Road side greenery & social forestry</td> <td>10.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iv)</td> <td>Water supply (including overhead tanks and pumps houses)</td> <td>115.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(v)</td> <td>Water Harvesting</td> <td>10.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vi)</td> <td>Drainage</td> <td>62.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(vii)</td> <td>Other (sanitary conveniences)</td> <td>10.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(viii)</td> <td>Administrative and other services complex (including raw material storage, creche, office building et.)</td> <td>160.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ix)</td> <td>Contingencies and pre-operative expenses</td> <td>13.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total</td> <td>670.14</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	(i)	Land Development (including levelling / fencing etc.)	90.00	(ii)	Cost of laying roads	200.00	(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00	(iv)	Water supply (including overhead tanks and pumps houses)	115.00	(v)	Water Harvesting	10.00	(vi)	Drainage	62.00	(vii)	Other (sanitary conveniences)	10.00	(viii)	Administrative and other services complex (including raw material storage, creche, office building et.)	160.00	(ix)	Contingencies and pre-operative expenses	13.14	Total		670.14
(i)	Land Development (including levelling / fencing etc.)	90.00																														
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	200.00																														
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00																														
(iv)	Water supply (including overhead tanks and pumps houses)	115.00																														
(v)	Water Harvesting	10.00																														
(vi)	Drainage	62.00																														
(vii)	Other (sanitary conveniences)	10.00																														
(viii)	Administrative and other services complex (including raw material storage, creche, office building et.)	160.00																														
(ix)	Contingencies and pre-operative expenses	13.14																														
Total		670.14																														
7.	Total GoI grant released	Installment & Date (Rs. in lakh) 1 st on 23.07.18 : 89.58																														
8.	Validity upto	09.11.2019																														
9.	Time extension accorded on file up to	15.11.2020																														
10.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Steering Committee may kindly ratify the time extension accorded on file.																														
