



MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL & MEDIUM ENTERPRISES  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

**Government of India  
Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises  
Office of Development Commissioner (MSME),  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, A Wing,  
Nirman Bhawan, Maulana Azad Road,  
New Delhi-110108**

**Agenda**

**for**

**56<sup>th</sup> Meeting of National Level Steering Committee**

**of**

**Micro & Small Enterprises - Cluster Development Programme  
(MSE-CDP)**

**Date & Time : 10.11.2021 at 3:00 PM**

## INDEX

Agenda Points	Description	Page No.
<b>56.1</b>	Confirmation of Minutes	<b>1</b>
<b>56.2</b>	Action taken report on previous meeting decisions	<b>2</b>
<b>56.3</b>	Proposals for Final Approval	<b>3-46</b>
<b>Karnataka</b>		
<b>56.3.1</b>	Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Maize Processing Cluster, Bagalkot.	<b>3</b>
<b>Maharashtra</b>		
<b>56.3.2</b>	Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Engineering Cluster, Kupwad, Sangli	<b>9</b>
<b>Odisha</b>		
<b>56.3.3</b>	Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Engineering Cluster, Cuttack-Bhubaneswar.	<b>14</b>
<b>56.3.4</b>	Up-gradation of Infrastructure at Autonagar Industrial Estate, District Ganjam, Odisha	<b>21</b>
<b>Telangana</b>		
<b>56.3.5</b>	Upgradation of Industrial Park at Palvancha, Bhadradi Kothagudem.	<b>26</b>
<b>Uttar Pradesh</b>		
<b>56.3.6</b>	Flatted Factory Complex Phase-I at Foundry Nagar, Agra.	<b>32</b>
<b>56.3.7</b>	Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Talkatora, Lucknow.	<b>36</b>
<b>56.3.8</b>	Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Thandi Sadak Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad.	<b>41</b>
<b>56.4</b>	<b>Ratification of Decisions</b>	
<b>Kerala</b>		
<b>56.4.1</b>	Ratification of decision to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole in respect of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur.	<b>47</b>
<b>Maharashtra</b>		
<b>56.4.2</b>	Ratification of decision to issuance of final approval letter for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Hi-tech Engineering Cluster, Kolhapur, Maharashtra.	<b>48</b>
<b>56.5</b>	<b>Any Other Points</b>	
<b>Kerala</b>		
<b>56.5.1</b>	Approval for issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Pappad Cluster, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala	<b>49</b>
<b>Andhra Pradesh</b>		
<b>56.5.2</b>	Mismatch of names of SPV and Time extension for issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Hosiery & Readymade Garments Cluster, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh.	<b>50</b>

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No. 56.1 :** Confirmation of Minutes of 55th Meeting of National Level Steering Committee held on 09.06.2021. Minutes of 55th Meeting of Steering Committee held on 09.06.2021 were uploaded on the website and communicated to stakeholders. Minutes are annexed at Annexure-I. Since no comments have been received from any of the members, minutes may kindly be confirmed.

**Item No. 56.2 : Action Taken Report on the action points of 54th Meeting of Steering Committee**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Description</b>	<b>Decision Taken</b>	<b>Action Taken</b>
55.3.1 to 55.3.5	Final approval for various proposals.	Final approval accorded	Communicated to all concerned
54.4.1 to 53.4.20	Extension of Time and Ratification of decisions taken on file	Extension granted and Ratified the decision of time extension.	
55.5	Any Other Points		
54.5.1 to 55.5.3	Extension of Time and Suitability of SPV for receipt of GoI grant for setting up of Common Facility Center (CFC) in Glass Beads Cluster, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh	54th NLSC minutes attached.	
	CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala – Request for approval to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalation amount of individual equipments from savings.		
	Up-gradation of Industrial Estate (Rubber Park) at Irapuram, District - Ernakulam, Kerala– request from Managing Director, Kerala Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram for approval to undertake resurfacing the roads with bitumen as envisaged in the project report.		

**Agenda No. 56.3.1: Proposal for Final approval for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Maize Processing Cluster, Dist – Bagalkot, Karnataka.**

**Background**

- Proposal recommended during the 71<sup>st</sup> meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 06.03.20, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide letter dated 24.06.21, Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation, Bangalore has submitted the project appraisal report along with requisite documents for final approval.
- Vide letter dated 16.07.21, MD, KCTU, Bangalore has informed that SPV has given a clarification on specifications of Bucket Elevator Machinery proposed in DPR. As per the clarifications the Bucket Elevator mentioned at Sl. No. 1 & 3 are have different specifications.

<b>Documents required</b>		<b>Status</b>
(i)	Online application, as per new guidelines.	Concept note uploaded on the Cluster portal.
(ii)	Registered land document in the name of SPV for a minimum period of 30 years.	Provided.
(iii)	Details of SPV along with shareholding pattern of SPV members.	Provided.
(iv)	SIDBI Appraisal Report	Provided.
(v)	Commitment letter from SPV for their contribution.	Provided.
(vi)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board.	Consent to establish from KSPCB has been submitted.
(vii)	Commitment letter from SPV members to utilise at least 60 percent of installed capacity.	Provided.
(viii)	Commitment letter from State Government/SPV to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided.
(ix)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro/SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided.
(x)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.	Not provided.
(xi)	Details of Bank account of Implementing Agency and SPV	Not provided.
(xii)	Proposal to be uploaded on the portal for 2 weeks.	Concept note uploaded on the Cluster portal. Copy of paper advertisement submitted.

Details of the proposal are as under:

**1. Name of the Cluster:-** Maize Processing Cluster, Dist – Bagalkot, Karnataka.

**2. Sector:-** Agro Based Industries

**3. Nature of activity:-**

The cluster Members are involved in processing of Maize and producing food products.

**4. Product / Services provided:-**

Food grade flaking grits, Corn flakes and Corn Flour

**5. Number of units in cluster:-** 150 nos.

**6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST owned- 1, Women owned- 15

**7. No. of SPV member units:-** 31 nos.

**Name of the SPV** -M/s Dharani Maize Agro Federation  
660, 8th Main, 11th Cross, Sriganthadakaval D Group layout,  
Nagarabhavi, Bangalore, Karnataka – 560091  
SPV Registered under section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013

**8. Proposed project cost:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Land	94.00	94.00	94.00
(ii)	Building & other civil works	250.00	290.10	256.88*
(iii)	Plant & Machinery (including Misc. Fixed Assets)	1495.39	1594.99	1551.00*
(iv)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	15.00	15.00	15.00
(v)	Contingencies (2%- land & building and 5% - plant & machineries)	139.70	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1994.09</b>	<b>1994.09</b>	<b>1916.88</b>

\* 2%- land & building and 5% - plant & machineries have been included as contingencies. Transportation cost of Rs. 21.00 lakh excluded from plant & machinery.

**9. Proposed means of finance:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage (%)	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	70	1395.86	1395.86	1341.81*
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Karnataka	10	199.41	199.41	199.41
(iii)	SPV contribution	20	398.82	398.82	452.87
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1994.09</b>	<b>1994.09</b>	<b>1994.09</b>

\*70% of eligible project cost of Rs. 1916.88 lakh.

**10. Name of Implementing Agency:** Karnataka Council for Technological Upgradation (KCTU), Bangalore

**11. Main finding and critical gap identified**

The Diagnostic study found that the cluster SPV member units are using traditional methods for processing of Maize. The cluster members are facing lack of proper packaging facilities , cleaning and Quality Testing of products as per prescribed standards.

**12. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?**

The plant machinery proposed for setting up of CFC will caters to the need of cluster SPV like Advanced Cleaning facility, proper packaging facilities, Testing facility for testing quality of products produced as per FSSAI Act. The CFC will also helps the cluster members to produce value added high quality products.

**13. Activity/Process at UNIT/CFC level**

SN	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Maize Cleaning	De-germination
2	De-corning	Optical sortex
3	Searing	Corn flakes, Ready to eat Flavored flakes , Packaging and Testing

**14. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)**

Description	Before Intervention of CFC	After Intervention of CFC
No. of cluster units (Numbers)	150	More than 200 units
Cluster Turnover (Rs. in cr.)	60.00	90.00 within 3 years from implementation
Employment (Nos.)	2400	4500
Exports	Nil	Direct export through Common CFC Brand

**15. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?**

Yes

The Plant and machinery proposed to set up in Common Facility Center is as per the requirement of the cluster members and the capacity of the machinery is also adequate to fulfill the future needs. The proposed machinery will help for improving the product quality.

**16. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?**

Yes

The cluster SPV vide letter dated 10.03.2021 has informed that the capacity of the plant and

Machinery proposed in DPR is as per the requirement of members of the cluster and SPV also informed that SPV will utilize 60 of the capacity of the plant machinery in the first year of operations. The facilities of CFC will be used by cluster members and also Non SPV members.

### 17. Proposed faculties:

- Common Dry Milling with De-Germination.
- Common Flaking Units from Grits.
- Common Snacks from Flour and Finer Grits.
- Common Optical Sorter for Corn and Grits.
- Common Advance Testing Unit for Corn Testing.
- Common Packaging Unit for Flakes / Snacks / Grits / Flour.
- Common Training and Research Centre for Value Added Products.
- Common Branding and Marketing Centre.

### 18. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakh)

Sl. No	Name of the Machine	Qty/ System	Amount
1.	Machine Bucket Elevator 250 MM dia & 38 feet	4	18.88
2.	Machine Sieve Drum	1	3.675
3.	Machine Bucket Elevator 200 MM dia & 32 feet	15	47.25
4.	Rotary Separator	1	5.25
5.	Aspiration Channel	1	0.735
6.	Vibro Separator	1	5.25
7.	Aspiration channel	1	0.735
8.	Moisture measuring device	1	22.05
9.	Cyclone separators and airlocks	2	1.8408
10.	Machine Screw conveyer	8	16.992
11.	Online Weighter	1	9.44
12.	Machine gravity selector – 1200 (7000191200)	1	5.25
13.	Intensive Dampner 8'S.S	1	1.8375
14.	Liquids flow controller Mozh – 315 –N	1	0.413
15.	De- Germinator from buhler	1	101.73161
16.	Aspiration Channel	2	1.134
17.	Dehuller	2	8.142
18.	Aspiration channel for dehuller	2	1.2744
19.	Magnetic separator	2	16.284
20.	Gravity Table	1	8.40
21.	Machine Plansifter 4x14-Chasis, Box	1	4.83
22.	M.C.F. DIA – 200X 1 Mtr.	1	1.77
23.	Roller mill fully automatic 250x1000	4	92.40
24.	Machine plan sifter 82	1	18.88
25.	Bolting cloths	1	3.36
26.	Machine Vibro purifier with motor	3	23.625
27.	Belt Conveyer	4	17.2044
28.	Aspirator Re cyclone	6	21.24
29.	Blower High pressure	1	3.894
30.	Reverse air jet filter	1	6.962



31.	Magnets	10	9.44
32.	Aspiration system	6	18.054
33.	Bin Discharger	1	2.95
34.	Pipe and flanges	1	0.708
35.	Manual sliding gate	15	5.31
36.	Gravity Spouting	1	10.62
37.	Pneumatic Cyclone	20	21.24
38.	Pneumatic system	20	118.00
39.	Optical Colour Sorter - Buhler	1	80.53799
40.	Tank	15	70.80
41.	Steel Structure	1	119.77
	<b>Flakes from Grits Section</b>		
42.	Corn flakes plant – Hydraulic flaker machine – 20 HP	1	43.365
	<b>Cornflour Puffed Snacks Line</b>		0.00
43.	Mixer (BF – II)	1	1.475
44.	Screw Conveyor (SL-I)	1	2.36
45.	Twin – screw extruder (TSE65-III)	1	12.39
46.	Screws for breakfast cereal	1	0.885
47.	Cooling machine	2	8.26
48.	Air Conveyor (FSJ-I)	2	2.36
49.	Presser (YP – II)	1	14.75
50.	Multi-layer dryer (KX-3-5)	1	10.915
51.	Hoister (TS-I)	1	1.475
52.	Vibrating Feeder (ZS – II)	2	4.13
53.	High Temperature Dryer (KL)	1	10.62
54.	Hoister & Seasoning machine (ZTTW-I)	1	2.655
55.	Liquid – sprayer (PTJ-I)	1	2.95
56.	Single Roller with heating system (DGT – I)	1	1.77
57.	Dryer with slope (KX-5-5)	1	11.80
58.	Carton line for packaging	1	21.24
59.	<b>Packaging Section</b>		
	Vertical form fill seal machine – (HF – 400-SAF/1000)	1	27.6297
60.	Fully automatic pick fill system with bagging for maize powder	1	47.02005
61.	Fully automatic pick fill system with bagging for 10-50 KG Maize Grits	1	96.12162
62.	Vertical form fill seal machine – (HF – 250-SAF/2000)	1	23.2932
63.	Ink jet printer and printer conveyor	1	6.0829
64.	Electric Work	1	107.498
65.	Dust Sucking machine	1	45.076
66.	Installation Charges	-	2.75
	Lab equipments	-	6.2990
67.	<b>Office &amp; Training Setup</b>		
	Computers, Windows software	4	3.316
68.	Projector	1	0.77125
69.	Audio system amplifier, speakers with cordless and podium mic-set	1	0.6312
70.	Website and ERP	1	0.2036
71.	All in one laser colour printer scanner and copier	1	0.4864
72.	CCTV Camera and DVR and EPABX	2	0.75607

73.	125 KVA Greaves Silent Generator	1	6.785
74.	Hydraulic pellets	5	0.855
75.	Weigh bridge 100 tons	1	5.00
	<b>Total</b>	-	1462.13469
	<b>MFAs</b>	-	<b>15.00</b>
	<b>Contingencies (5% - plant &amp; machineries)</b>	-	<b>73.86</b>
	<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>1550.99469</b> <b>(rounded to</b> <b>1551.00</b> <b>lakh)</b>

Transportation cost of Rs. 21.00 lakh excluded from plant & machinery.

## 19. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) As stipulated in the SIDBI Appraisal following information / documents are required:
  - (a) Names of the State Govt. and MSME officials in SPV required.
  - (b) Undertaking required from SPV regarding the details of connected lending – whether the directors /promoters of SPV are having any directorship on any bank etc.
  - (c) Undertaking / declaration required from SPV regarding previous assistance availed by SPV from financial institutions / banks.
  - (d) Undertaking / declaration required from SPV regarding pending court cases initiated by other banks.
  - (e) Clause about Profits / surplus to be ploughed back to CFC included is not included in MoA and AoA of the company. Commitment letter required from SPV.
- (ii) Commitment letter required from state government regarding their upfront contribution.
- (iii) Certificate from State Government regarding compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.
- (iv) In the machinery list, it is seen that at Sl. No. 1, Machine Bucket Elevator - 4 nos., costing Rs. 18.88 lakh and at Sl. No. 3, Machine Bucket Elevator – 15 nos. costing Rs. 47.25 lakh are proposed. State Government may clarify the requirement of 19 Nos. of Bucket Elevators for CFC which is not a complete manufacturing set up. It is also seen in the machinery list at Sl. No. 5,7 & 16 that Aspiration Channel is proposed with same specification and different cost per unit. This may also be clarified.

## 20. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Maize Processing Cluster, Dist – Bagalkot, Karnataka at a total project cost of Rs. 1994.09 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1341.81 lakh, Government of Karnataka contribution of Rs. 199.41 lakh and SPV's contribution of Rs. 452.87 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No 56.3.2: Proposal for final approval for setting up of Common Facility Centre in Engineering Cluster, Kupwad, Sangli, Maharashtra.**

**Background**

- 76th meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) of MSE-CDP held on 15.06.21 recommend the proposal to place before National Level Steering Committee Meeting subject to submission of following documents.
- Vide letters dated 1.10.2021, Government of Maharashtra submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

<b>Documents required</b>		<b>Status</b>
(i)	Registered land document in the name of SPV.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application	Provided
(viii)	List of shares holding pattern of SPV members.	Not Provided
(ix)	Certificate from IA/ State Government for Compliance of all requirements /provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Not Provided
(x)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.	Not Provided
(xi)	Hard Copy of Detailed Project Report	Provided
(xii)	Copy of Focus report signed by director, MSME-DI, Mumbai	Not Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

**1. Name of the Cluster :-** Nuaxe Techno Engineering Council, Sangali, Maharashtra.

**2. Sector :-** Mechanical

**3. Nature of activity :-** Manufacturing of automotive, oil and gas industrial component.

**4. Product / Services provided :-** Piston, Gear Housing, LH Cover, Impeller, Crank shaft, Cam gear shifter, Fuel injector etc. and all type of component machining services.

**5. Number of units in cluster :-**

Unit	No of unit	Percentage
Total Units in cluster	302	100
No. of Micro units in cluster	296	98.01
No. of Small units in cluster	06	1.99
No. of Medium units in cluster	00	0.00

**6. Category wise unit in cluster :-**

Unit	No of unit	Percentage
No. of SC/ST owned units in cluster	13	4.30
No. of Women owned units in cluster	04	1.32

**7. No. of SPV member units :- 64 nos.**

**Name of the SPV** Nuaxe Techno Engineering Council, Gatt No. 2246/D, near Kupwad MIDC At. Village Malgaon, Tal. Miraj, Dist- Sangli

**8. Proposed project cost:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Bank of Maharashtra
(i)	Land and its Development	0.00	0.00
(ii)	Building and other Civil Constructions	168.00	168.00
(iii)	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	1635.51	1635.51
(iv)	Misc. fixed assets	150.01	150.01
(v)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	27.00	27.00
(vi)	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	36.07	36.07
(vii)	Margin money for Working Capital	4.33	4.33
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2020.92</b>	<b>2020.92</b>

**9. Proposed means of finance:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Bank of Maharashtra
--------	-------------	----------------	------------------------------------

(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1600.00	1600.00
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Maharashtra	150.00	150.00
(iii)	SPV contribution	220.92	220.92
(iv)	Bank Loan/others	50.00	50.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2020.92</b>	<b>2020.92</b>

**10. Name of Implementing Agency:** Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation(MIDC)

**11. Main finding and critical gap identified**

Yes

The identified cluster comprises Micro units engaged in general engineering activities using conventional and CNC machines, and catering to Tier 1 vendors (large scale foundries and Tier 1 machining units), in absence of Hi end technology machines, Micro units in the cluster have not enhanced in tierisation. Though having excellent workmanship, cluster units are deprived of getting into direct business opportunities with OEMs and in turn remain isolated from capturing domestic and global market.

**12. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?**

Yes

Hi end technology machines in CFC would bring an opportunity for MSEs to get the common machining at intermittent stage in respective value chain presently exist in the cluster and gain the advantage in terms of productivity, cost competitiveness, lesser lead time, reduced throughput and also provide an opportunity for new product development. In order to provide conducive environment for MSEs, Design and development centre equipped with testing and inspection facilities would invite more NPD.

**13. Activity/Process at UNIT/CFC level**

SN	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Raw Material (Casting, Forging, Solid steel)	-
2	Conventional machining - turning, milling, and drilling, threading.	Multi axis Advance Machining Process by using CNC, VMC, HMC, HBM and VTL Machine
3	Finishing operations (grinding, honing, lapping and plating)	Inspection Testing of Components
4	In house product identification	New product development Re-engineering
5	Sub-Assembly and Packaging	-
6	Final Product	-
7	OEM's T1 International T1	-

**14. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)**

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
(i)	No. of units	302 Nos.	365 Nos.
(ii)	Turnover (Rs. in crore)	126.73	139.40
(iii)	Export (Rs. in crore)	Nil	2.00
(iv)	Direct Employment	995	1100
(v)	Indirect Employment	1152	1200

**15. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?**

Yes

The proposed Hi end technology based machines in CFC are adequate to fulfill present and future needs of the cluster in terms of accommodating MSEs in present value chain to cater to OEMs and international Tier 1s so as to explore possibilities to find out avenues abroad, thus increasing exports and import substitution with respect to the requirement of defence, railways, CPSU, CPSEs. Major thrust on new product development (import substitution) by way of re-engineering with the help of CFC.

**16. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?**

Yes

The SPV members would certainly make use of proposed CFC services to the tune 60-65 percent in the first year and determined to enhance to 85 percent in coming 5 years. It is to be assured on account of these facilities are not exist in the vicinity and proximity of the proposed CFC and incremental demand of 1st generation entrepreneurs rising in significant numbers in this highly enterprising culture geographical spirit. CFC in this area would generate employment and encourage self employment.

**17. Proposed facilities:**

- Design & New Product Development Centre
- Common Machining Centre
- Testing and Inspection Facility
- Skill Development Centre
- Export Facilitation Centre

**18. Plant and machinery (with brief specification)**

(Rs. in lakh)

S No.	Name of the Machinery	Qty.	Total Amount
<b>A)</b>	<b>Common Facility Centre</b>		1635.51
1)	Design & New Product Development Centre		56.27
2)	Horizontal Machining Centre	1	275.20
3)	Horizontal Boring and Milling Machine	1	340.20
4)	CNC Vertical Turnmill Centre	1	320.20
5)	CNC Horizontal Turnmill Centre	1	225.10
6)	VMC Double Column	1	172.10
7)	Tool Presetter	1	21.24
8)	Tooling & Instrument	1	40.00
9)	Testing Facility	1	40.00

10)	CMM	1	98.00
13)	Overhead Crane	1	47.20
<b>B)</b>	<b>Miscellaneous Fixed Assets</b>		<b>150.01</b>
1)	Furniture		12.10
2)	CIF		25.00
3)	Transformer		42.22
4)	Electrification		20.44
5)	Fire Fighting	1	2.80
6)	Stabilizer		17.70
7)	Generator	1	2.80
8)	Computer + CCTV + Projector + Printer		12.05
9)	AC		2.80
10)	Compressor		12.10
	<b>Total (A+B)</b>		<b>1785.52</b>

## 9. Observations:

**Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issue of final approval letter:**

- (i) Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- (ii) List of shares holding pattern of SPV members as per MSE-CDP.
- (iii) Certificate from IA/ State Government for Compliance of all requirements /provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- (iv) SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- (v) Copy of Focus report signed by director, MSME-DI, Mumbai

## 10. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre in Engineering Cluster, Kupwad, Sangli, Maharashtra at a total project cost of Rs. 2020.92 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1600.00 lakh, State Government contribution of Rs.150.00 lakh, SPV contribution of Rs. 220.92 lakh & Bank loan of Rs. 50.00 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No 56.3.3 : Proposal for final approval for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Engineering Cluster, Cuttack, Odisha.**

**Background:**

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 74<sup>th</sup> Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 14.07.21, MSME-DI, Cuttack forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

	<b>Documents required</b>	<b>Status</b>
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of SPV	6.0 acres of land has already been allotted on leasehold basis for a period of 84 years by IDCO in favor of SPV at Indrani patana, Choudwar, Cuttack. <b>The lease deed of land is under process.</b> <b>Required</b>
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report	Provided
(iii)	NOC from State Pollution Control Board	<b>Required</b>
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / SPV to meet the cost escalation, if any, over and above the approved project cost	Provided
(v)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro/SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be	Provided
(vi)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines	Provided
(vii)	Opening of Project specific bank account in Public Sector Bank	Provided
(viii)	Commitment letter from SPV for their contribution	Provided
(ix)	Commitment letter from SPV members to utilize at least 60% of installed capacity	Provided
(x)	Documentary proof from SPV for utilization of CFC facilities by at least 51% of the cluster members.	Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

**1. Name of the Cluster & Location:-** Engineering Cluster, Cuttack, Odisha.

**2. Sector:-** Mechanical

**3. Nature of activity:-** Engineering sector in this region of Odisha is having a major share in comparison to the whole state is nearly 70 percent . For example steel structural fabrication 65 percent, Steel Furniture 55 percent, mechanical equipments 80 percent, electrical equipments 85 percent. Steel Fabrication occupies a whole range of industries such as Electrical Machinery, Rolling Shutters, Transformers, Steel furniture, Fly Ash Brick making machine, pressure vessel, foundation bolts, steel tubular poles etc.



**4. Product / Services provided:-** Rice mill components, Structural fabrication, Metal fabrication, Dies & Molds, Electrical items, Machine parts, Control panels, Tool & press components, Agricultural implements, Hydraulic machine & Steel furniture.

**5. Number of units in cluster:-** 88 (Micro-87 & Small-1)

**6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST units-12, Women Enterprises-17

**7. No. of SPV units:-** 61 (Micro-60 & Small-01)

**8. Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):-** There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV) (61 (Micro-60 & Small-01)

**9. Proposed project cost:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/MSE-CDP
(i)	Land	160.00	160.00
(ii)	Building	507.76	507.76
(iii)	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	2362.48	2362.48
(iv)	Preliminary & Pre-Operative Expenses	20.00	20.00
(v)	Bank loan for working capital	130.00	0.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3180.24</b>	<b>3050.24</b>

**10. Proposed means of finance:-**

(Rs. in lakh)

Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/MSE-CDP
Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1800.00	1800.00*
Contribution from State Govt.	719.00	719.00
SPV contribution	531.24	531.24
Bank loan for working capital	130.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>3180.24</b>	<b>3050.24</b>

\*90% of 20.00 crore

**11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-**

<b>Name of IA:-</b> Odisha Small Industries Corporation Ltd. (OSIC), Cuttack	Email:- <a href="mailto:osicmd@gmail.com">osicmd@gmail.com</a>
<b>Name of State Government:-</b> Government of Odisha	Email:- <a href="mailto:secymsme.od@nic.in">secymsme.od@nic.in</a>

<b>Name of SPV &amp; Address:-</b> OASME Council for Engineering and Technology Satya Bhawan, Industrial Estate, Madhupatna, Cuttack- 753010. Ph:- 0671-2343579, 9438014109, 9437167981	Email:- <a href="mailto:acurate.cfc@gmail.com">acurate.cfc@gmail.com</a>
--	--

**12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}**

S. No.	Name	UDYAM Reg. No.	Share (%)
1.	Satwik Swain	UDYAM-OD-07-0000815	4.97%
2.	Surendra Mohapatra	UDYAM-OD-07-0000918	5.00%
3.	Mahesh Kumar Mohapatra	UDYAM-OD-07-0001022	0.50%
4.	Hemant Kumar Swain	UDYAM-OD-07-0000937	0.01%
5.	Sukanta Kumar Mullick	UDYAM-OD-07-0001025	4.97%
6.	Sibasis Mishra	UDYAM-OD-19-0002116	4.97%
7.	Ajit Kumar Mallick	UDYAM-OD-19-0002519	0.01%
8.	Tukuna Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0000869	0.25%
9.	Purna Chandra Sahoo	UDYAM-OD-07-0000914	4.97%
10.	Bipanna Narayan Mohanty	UDYAM-OD-07-0000971	0.50%
11.	Krushna Chandra Sasmal	UDYAM-OD-07-0000994	4.97%
12.	Upen Kumar Patel	UDYAM-OD-07-0001021	4.97%
13.	Manas Ranjan Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0000529	0.50%
14.	Amrita Thakur	UDYAM-OD-07-0001102	0.25%
15.	Deba Prasad Mishra	UDYAM-OD-19-0002093	4.97%
16.	Santosh Kumar Sarkar	UDYAM-OD-07-0000936	4.97%
17.	Satyajit Tarai	UDYAM-OD-07-0000956	0.25%
18.	Puspalata Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0000941	4.97%
19.	Jyoti Prakash Nayak	UDYAM-OD-07-0000972	0.50%
20.	Jayaprakash Biswal	UDYAM-OD-19-0002094	4.97%
21.	Kothakata Narin Kumandan	UDYAM-OD-27-0000194	4.97%
22.	Iswar Chandra Sahoo	UDYAM-OD-07-0001051	4.97%
23.	Banisethi Harinarayan	UDYAM-OD-07-0000947	4.97%
24.	Piyush Mohanty	UDYAM-OD-07-0001012	4.97%
25.	Sanghamitra Baral	UDYAM-OD-19-0002096	4.97%
26.	Mamata Bastia	UDYAM-OD-07-0000998	0.01%
27.	Pradeep Kumar Singh	UDYAM-OD-19-0002073	0.01%
28.	Swapnanjali Dash	UDYAM-OD-07-0001090	0.01%
29.	Sulagna Mohanty	UDYAM-OD-07-0001027	0.01%
30.	Arundhati Swain	UDYAM-OD-07-0001066	0.01%
31.	Sasikanta Sahoo	UDYAM-OD-07-0000567	4.97%
32.	Samir Kumar Mohanty	UDYAM-OD-07-0001069	4.97%
33.	Saroj Kumar Patro	UDYAM-OD-19-0001350	4.97%
34.	Rajendra Kumar Mohapatra	UDYAM-OD-07-0001023	0.01%
35.	Soubhagya Jena	UDYAM-OD-19-0002095	0.25%
36.	Kalandi Charan Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0001073	0.01%
37.	Sobhan Dash	UDYAN-OD-30-0000453	0.01%

38.	Basanta Kumar Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0001070	0.01%
39.	Satyabrat Mohanty	UDYAM-OD-07-0000970	0.01%
40.	Dipti Minar Behera	UDYAM-OD-07-0000928	0.01%
41.	Binodini Sahoo	UDYAM-OD-07-0001072	0.01%
42.	Smita Debata	UDYAM-OD-07-0001068	0.01%
43.	Sandeep Pal	UDYAM-OD-30-0000376	0.01%
44.	Subhendu Kumar Patra	UDYAM-OD-07-0000887	0.25%
45.	B. Annapurna	UDYAM-OD-07-0001026	0.01%
46.	Debankar Biswal	UDYAM-OD-07-0000670	0.25%
47.	Chandana Das	UDYAM-WB-10-0004777	0.01%
48.	Bijay Kumar Singh	UDYAM-OD-19-0002098	0.01%
49.	Suhail Sajjad Shariff	UDYAM-OD-07-0001092	0.25%
50.	Mukesh Maharshi	UDYAM-OD-30-0000713	0.01%
51.	Trilok Kumar Tripathy	UDYAM-OD-30-0000640	0.01%
52.	Borigi Manmadha Rao	UDYAM-OD-10-0000040	0.01%
53.	Borigi Avinash	UDYAM-OD-10-0000041	0.01%
54.	Sushil Thakur	UDYAM-OD-07-0001104	0.01%
55.	Babita Sahoo	UDYAM-OD-07-0001238	0.01%
56.	Sandeep Swain	UDYAM-OD-07-0001316	0.01%
57.	Tapas Das	UDYAM-OD-19-0002484	0.01%
58.	Pradipta Kumar Nayak	UDYAM-OD-07-0001084	0.01%
59.	Manish Prasad	UDYAM-OD-07-0001116	0.01%
60.	Annapurna Jena	UDYAM-OD-07-0001952	0.50%
61.	Satyagopal Mohapatra	UDYAM-OD-03-0000760	4.97%

**13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.**

**(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium**

42            1            0

**14. Main finding and critical gap identified**

Yes

The Cluster firms largely have traditional production technologies and no in-house CAD, CAM, CAE facilities; for development of newer products, systems, assemblies, production technologies, tools, training of resources, Research, to compete with already established clusters of auto components; development of complete machine tools (including CNC, VMC Machine developments); development of process plant equipment; to have inter operability of data with international markets and domestic vendors

**15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?**

Yes

Formation of consortia, Benchmark study, Internal corrective mechanism, formation of raw material, technology mapping, common production centre, marketing linkage, developing marketing strategies, scope to enhance quality productivity ,identification of brand, product system development strategies, skilled labour identification, up gradation of technology , networking and cross marketing among the cluster firms.

## 16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Receiving orders, purchase of raw material, designing, allotting job to designated person, define timeline schedule, quality check, painting, finishing, packaging , delivery	Receiving semi furnished product ,defining and measuring of the job, define timeline schedule, measuring the job, allotment of the job ,quality check , delivery of semi furnished product

**17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).**

No

**18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)**

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
(i)	No. of units	88	150
(ii)	Turnover (Rs. in cr.)	Rs. 209.00	Rs. 450.00
(iii)	Export (Rs. in cr.)	Rs. 1.00	Rs. 20.00
(iv)	Employment generation	Direct- 687/ Indirect- 2500	Direct- 1400/ Indirect- 4000
(v)	Production Capacity	Around 5000 tones	Around 15000 tones
(vi)	Investment (Rs. in cr.)	100.00	300.00

**19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)?**

No

**20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extent?**

No

**21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.**

Yes

Backward linkages means, resource supplies, is the key followed with technology vendors&#8217; partnerships for solutions and technology assistance and other suppliers of hardware. End consumers, are accessible mostly by Govt. purchasers and large companies, otherwise for micro and small firms are largely dependent on big players and the linkages may be created at cluster level. There is ample scope existing to integrate directly with the big players both within the country and abroad.

**22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?**

Yes

High value high technology components as well as low value low technology products are manufactured in the cluster. With regard to the core, machining segment, machinery and equipment employed in the cluster varies from basic conventional general-purpose machine tools like lathes, drilling machines and milling machines etc. to advanced machine tools like CNC lathes and machining centers etc. The latter is incorporating high-end technology which will match all the future needs for next ten years.

**23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?**

Yes

The machineries which are selected are as per suggestions of SPV members these machines will help in quality manufacturing , value addition lowering the cost of production which will lead to compete with the existing markets leaders like Godrej, Mapple ( for steel furniture segment) some members are also going to kolkata for process and use of technology to sell their products ( hot deep galvanizing, powder coating , precision component manufacturing ). The SPV members will use 100 percent.

**24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?**

No

**25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?**

Yes

As engineering cluster is having different machines so taking an example of powder coating machine the market price is Rs 30 per kg where as in CFC the price would be Rs 20 per kg for SPV members, Rs 22 for Cluster members and Rs 25 for others considering the depreciation of machinery, operating expenses, maintenance .cost of machinery is ascertained by inviting closed bid open tender from suppliers as per state procurement act.

**26. Proposed faculties:**

- Common Storage Facilities
- Skill Development and Training Facilities
- Common Manufacturing Facilities

**27. List of Plant & Machinery**

S. No.	Proposed machinery	Quantity in Nos.	Price per machine	Total
				(Rs. in lakh)
1.	MIG Welding	5	1.30	69.97
	TIG Welding	2	1.06	
	Submerged Arc Welding	1	61.35	
2.	Radial Drill	1	22.50	22.50
3.	Laser Cutting	1	100.00	100.00
4.	Tool and Cutter Grinder with Drill Point Grinding attachment	1	9.95	9.95

5.	Turret and beam type milling machine conventional	1	13.94	13.94
6.	CNC Lathe	2	26.46	52.92
7.	CNC Wire Cut	1	45.00	45.00
8.	CNC Surface Grinder	1	30.00	30.00
9.	Cylindrical Grinder	1	20.00	20.00
10.	CNC EDM	1	21.00	21.00
11.	Tube Bending CNC	1	123.30	123.30
12.	Plate rolling machine	1	100.00	100.00
13.	Hot dip galvanizing	1	159.62	159.62
14.	Powder coating	1	63.23	63.23
15.	CNC Air press brake	1	36.00	36.00
16.	UV Printer	1	30.00	30.00
17.	Turret Punching Press	1	150.00	150.00
18.	CNC Horizontal machining center	1	880.00	880.00
19.	Laser marking	1	2.00	2.00
20.	Double column band saw machine	1	14.00	14.00
21.	Iron worker	1	13.00	13.00
GST				352.16
Transportation & Installation				53.89
<b>Total</b>				<b>2362.48</b>

## 28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Registered lease deed in the name of SPV (6.0 acres of land has already been allotted on leasehold basis for a period of 84 years by IDCO in favor of SPV at Indrani patana, Choudwar, Cuttack. The lease deed of land is under process).
- (ii) NOC from State Pollution Control Board.
- (iii) Commitment letter from State Government regarding contribution of its share.
- (iv) The MoA of the SPV shall be modified so that the profits/ surplus are ploughed back to the CFC. SPV will not be eligible for drawing any profit from operation of the CFC and profit generated during operation shall be ploughed back for further development of the CFC.

## 29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Engineering Cluster, Cuttack-Bhubaneswar, Odisha at a total project cost of Rs. 3050.24 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1800.00 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 719.00 lakh and SPV's contribution of Rs. 531.24 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No 56.3.4: Proposal for up-gradation of Infrastructure at Autonagar Industrial Estate, District Ganjam, Odisha.**

**Background**

- Proposal was recommended in the 72<sup>nd</sup> Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 16.06.2020 subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide letter dated 27.09.21, MSME-DI, Cuttack has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal in the ensuing NLSC.

	<b>Documents required</b>	<b>Status</b>
(i)	Project Appraisal Report	Provided
(ii)	English version of registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency.	<b>Required</b>
(iii)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the Industrial Estate are Micro/ SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided
(v)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.	<b>Required</b>
(vi)	Implementing Agency should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/ Implementing Agency.	Provided
(vii)	Mandate form of Implementing Agency regarding project specific bank account in Schedule A Bank.	Provided
(viii)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of estimates of component costs as per format provided.	Provided
(ix)	Cost of land filling / levelling including boundary wall / fencing shall be excluded from project cost. If needed, State Govt. can take up at their end separately.	In the appraisal report, the cost i.e. Rs. 103.63 lakh of said component has been removed from the total project cost. Now, there is no cost has been shown against the said component.

Details of the proposal are as under:

**1. Pre-registration Information**

Name of Organization	Odisha Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation(IDCO)
State	Odisha

District	Ganjam
Industrial Estate	Industrial Area, Autonagar

## 2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	74.117
Area to be developed (acre)	15.00
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	411 units
Implementing Period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up, etc.	No other ID projects sanctioned in the same district.
Performance of ID projects in State	Total 07 ID projects approved in the State, out of which, 03 are completed and 04 are under implementation stage.

## 3. Details about Proposal:

Particulars	Proposed by IA	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Odisha Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (IDCO).	As per guidelines
Track Record of the IA	<p>Experience in development of Industrial Infrastructure</p> <p>a. 107 Industrial Estates/ areas set-up in different strategic locations of the State.</p> <p>b. 1545 sheds constructed in the above Industrial Estates/ Areas.</p> <p>c. 9613 acres of land developed</p> <p>d. 4265 Industrial Units have been set up in these estates/ areas.</p> <p>e. Development of Industrial Clusters and Industrial Regions across a gamut of sectors in the State under various Central Government Schemes.</p> <p>f. Development of Seafood Park, Aluminum park, Plastic Park in various schemes</p>	



	of Govt. of India.	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Submitted
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Yes. All the basic facilities are available at project site. Details are provided below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ganjam Railway station is around 3.3 km from IA, Autonagar.</li> <li>• IA, Autonagar is 2.4 km away from NH-59.</li> <li>• Sufficient quantity of water is available and is provided to existing industrial units at IA, Autonagar.</li> </ul>	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Land is in possession and in the name of IDCO with clear title.	<b>Required</b>
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes	Existing Industrial Estate.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	State level committee has been constituted.	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, the contribution will be made by IDCO as the mean of finance presented in the project.</li> <li>• Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by IDCO out of its own sources.</li> </ul>	Received
Basis of elements of project cost	The estimates were done according to Odisha Schedule Rate (OSR)- 2014. Signed by Executive Engineer.	Received
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Upgradation of Industrial area will provide support to industries present in the industrial area in terms of better connectivity, minimum wear and tear which will lead to economic gains, better transport will lead to more connectivity, proper drainage system will lead to no water logging during rains, connectivity from industry to main drainage system will lead to better system.	--

Justification of the Proposal	This DPR proposes upgradation of the IA, Autonagar with components such as roads, drainage system, power, administrative and other services complex etc. This industrial estate was last developed in the year 1990. But with time infrastructure has deteriorated. Industries are bearing wear and tear cost. Transport companies are charging higher tariffs in return which are increasing the overall production cost. Drains constructed, are also completely damaged, which is causing water stagnation within the industrial estate.	--
-------------------------------	---	----

#### 4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing:	103.63	0.00
(ii)	Laying roads	229.60	229.60
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.22	10.31
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	42.55	42.55
(v)	Water harvesting	3.99	3.99
(vi)	Drainage	74.08	74.08
(vii)	Power distribution, Street light arrangements, etc.	97.75	97.75
(viii)	Administrative & Other Services Complex	22.07	22.07
(ix)	Telecom/Cyber/Documentation centre	21.97	21.97
(x)	Conference Hall/Exhibition centre	30.64	30.64
(xi)	Bank/Post office	19.24	19.24
(xii)	Raw material storage facility, Marketing outlets	30.53	30.53
(xiii)	First aid centre, Creche Canteen	28.37	28.37
(xiv)	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses :	20.00	20.00
(xv)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	17.84	17.84
	<b>Total Project Cost</b>	<b>752.48</b>	<b>648.94</b>

#### 5. Proposed Means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
i.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	547.25	467.23*

ii.	State Government's contribution	205.23	181.71
	<b>Total</b>	<b>752.48</b>	<b>648.94</b>

\*72% of eligible project cost of Rs. 648.94 lakh.

## 6. Observations:

Following document is required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval:

- (i) English version of registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency.
- (ii) Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines.
- (iii) Commitment letter from State Government regarding contribution of its share.

## 7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for Final Approval for up-gradation of Infrastructure at Autonagar Industrial Estate, District Ganjam, Odisha at a total project cost of Rs. 648.94 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 467.23 lakh and State Government's contribution of Rs. 181.71 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No. 56.3.5: Proposal for Final approval for upgradation of Industrial Park at Palvancha, Bhadradri Kothagudem District, Telangana.**

**Background**

- (i) Proposal was recommended during 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 17.08.20, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 25.06.21, MSME-DI, Hyderabad submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

<b>Documents required</b>		<b>Status</b>
(i)	Project Appraisal Report.	Received.
(ii)	Online Application, as per new guidelines.	Received.
(iii)	Economic Viability Report on the proposal from MSME-DI.	Received.
(iv)	Copy of approved layout plan duly authenticated.	Received copy of layout plan is on the name of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Clear copy of approved layout plan duly authenticated in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad is required as pointed out by SIDBI.
(v)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC, Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc., if the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	Received. However, IA has submitted the land document in possession of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad instead of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad is required.
(vi)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Received.
(vii)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana / TSIIC.	Received.
(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	Received.
(ix)	Mandate form of Implementing Agency.	Not received.
(x)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.	Not received.
(xi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency	Received.

	regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost as per provided format.	
(xii)	Number of units to be upgraded and area of each unit along with their names/sector.	Received.
(xiii)	Notification / valid documents of Government of India declaring the Bhadradi Kothagudem District as Aspirational district.	MSME-DI, Hyderabad in its letter dated 25.06.21 informed that SIDBI in its Techno-Economic Appraisal of ID project indicated that the project site falls under the category of Aspirational District as per the list of NITI Aayog. However, notification etc., not submitted.

Details of the proposal are as under:

### 1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited.
State	:	Telangana
District	:	Bhadradi Kothagudem
Industrial Estate	:	Upgradation of Industrial Park at Palvancha, Bhadradi Kothagudem District (aspirational District).

### 2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description												
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes.												
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	950 acres.												
Area to be developed (acre)	950 acres.												
Number and sizes of plots developed	Total no. of plots – 45  <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Plot Size (acre.)</td> <td>No. of plots</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.00 - 2.00</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.01 – 4.00</td> <td>07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.01 – 6.00</td> <td>09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;6.01</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total No. of Plots</b></td> <td><b>45</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>As per the approved DPR and information furnished by TSIIC, plots have been allotted to 35 different units which comprises 30 MSME units.</p>	Plot Size (acre.)	No. of plots	0.00 - 2.00	11	2.01 – 4.00	07	4.01 – 6.00	09	>6.01	18	<b>Total No. of Plots</b>	<b>45</b>
Plot Size (acre.)	No. of plots												
0.00 - 2.00	11												
2.01 – 4.00	07												
4.01 – 6.00	09												
>6.01	18												
<b>Total No. of Plots</b>	<b>45</b>												
Implementing Period	24 months												
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up, etc.	Nil												
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 2												

	Ongoing Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 10
--	---

### 3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	As per MSEC DP guidelines
Track Record of the IA	Implementing Agency has vast experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record of the IA is reported to be satisfactory.	--
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Received.
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<p>Yes, The project site i.e. industrial park, palvancha is located in Bhadradi Kothagudem District about 2 km west of palvancha town along the Suryapet – Bhadrachalam road. The site abuts the road connecting Kothagudem town on the west and Bhadrachalam on the east.</p> <p>The project site is well connected by road network and is near to National Highway i.e. NH 30 (Ibrahimpatnam, AP).</p> <p>Gajulagudem railway station is the nearest railway station which is 8 km from Industrial Park Bhadrachalam road railway station is at 15 km west of industrial park. The nearest airport is Vijayawada Airport which is 155 km from Industrial Park. Water for the units is currently drawn from bore wells and tankers supply.</p>	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes, Implementing Agency (TSIIC) is already in possession of land required for the development of all infrastructure facilities with clear title.	Received. However, IA has submitted the land document is in possession of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad instead of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad is
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes.	

Description	Proposed by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks												
		required.												
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been Constituted	Yes, State level committee to coordinate and monitor the progress of implementation of the project has been constituted.	Constituted												
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes.	Received												
Basis of elements of project Cost	Yes, the estimates are based on Telangana State Standard Scheduled of Rates (SSR) – 2019-20.	Received.												
Tangible Outcomes of the project	<p><b>As per SIDBI Report:</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Description</th> <th>Before implementation of ID project</th> <th>After implementation of ID project</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No. of enterprises</td> <td>22</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Employment (Direct &amp; Indirect)</td> <td>2063</td> <td>405</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aggregate Investment (Rs. in crore)</td> <td>532.49</td> <td>5.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Description	Before implementation of ID project	After implementation of ID project	No. of enterprises	22	15	Employment (Direct & Indirect)	2063	405	Aggregate Investment (Rs. in crore)	532.49	5.5
Description	Before implementation of ID project	After implementation of ID project												
No. of enterprises	22	15												
Employment (Direct & Indirect)	2063	405												
Aggregate Investment (Rs. in crore)	532.49	5.5												
Justification of the Proposal	<p>The upgradation / construction of infrastructure in the IP Palvancha (which comprises more than 50% MSME units) will facilitate improved functioning of the existing units. The IP is expected to generate both direct and indirect employment. The total direct employment is estimates to be about 135. The indirect employment expected to be created by the cluster would be about 270 by the year 2025. Thus the estimated total employment potential would be about 405.</p>													

#### 4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed Cost by IA	As per MSE-CDP / Recommended by SIDBI
1	Land Development and Other Overhead Infrastructure		

(i)	Cost of laying roads	311.00	311.00
(ii)	Storm Water Drainage	285.00	285.00
(iii)	Power supply system - Street Lights	118.56	118.56
(iv)	Water Supply System	116.65	116.65
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>831.21</b>	<b>831.21</b>
2	<b>Administrative &amp; Other Services Complex</b>		
(i)	Administrative and Service Centre	21.00	21.00
(ii)	Telecommunication / Cyber Centre / Document Centre	21.00	21.00
(iii)	Conference Hall & Exhibition Centre	35.00	35.00
(iv)	Post Office / Bank	21.00	21.00
(v)	Raw Material Storage Facility, Marketing outlets	35.00	35.00
(vi)	First aid centre, crèche, canteen facilities	21.00	21.00
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>154.00</b>	<b>154.00</b>
3.	Contingencies & Pre-operative expenses	29.55	29.55
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1014.76</b>	<b>1014.76</b>

## 5. Proposed means of finance

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage (%)	As per MSE-CDP / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	80	800.00*
(ii)	State Government contribution	20	214.76
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1014.76</b>

\*80% of eligible project cost of Rs. 10.00 crore.

## 6. Observations:

Following documents are to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval.

- (i) IA has submitted the land document in possession of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad instead of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad is required.
- (ii) Layout plan is on the name of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Clear copy of approved layout plan duly authenticated in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad is required as pointed out by SIDBI.
- (iii) Copy of order for constitution of State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.
- (iv) The Implementing Agency should open the project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks/Regional Rural Banks.
- (v) Commitment letter from State Government for their contribution.



- (vi) Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.
- (vii) Notification / valid documents of Government of India declaring the Bhadradri Kothagudem District as Aspirational district.

**7. Proposal for Steering Committee:**

Committee may consider the proposal subject to the observations above for **Final Approval** for upgradation of Industrial Park at Palvancha, Bhadradri Kothagudem District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1014.76 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 800.00 lakh & State Government contribution of Rs. 214.76 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No 56.3.6: Proposal for setting up of Flatted Factory Complex Phase-I at Foundry Nagar, Agra District, Uttar Pradesh.**

**Background**

- Proposal was recommended in the 74<sup>th</sup> Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide email dated 25.07.21, O/o Directorate of Industries, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal in the ensuing NLSC.

	<b>Documents required</b>	<b>Status</b>
(i)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(ii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency.	<b>Required</b>
(iii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress	Provided
(iv)	IA should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/IA.	Provided
(v)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost as per provided format.	Provided
(vi)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.	Provided

**Details of the proposal are as under:**

**1. Pre-registration Information**

Name of Organization	:	Uttar Pradesh Small Industries Corporation Limited
State	:	Uttar Pradesh
District	:	Agra
Industrial Estate	:	UPSIDA Industrial area, Foundry Nagar, Agra

**2. Basic Information about proposal**

<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Description</b>
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Appraised DPR received. <i>However, layout plan of building approved by Competent Authority is pending.</i>
Total area of Industrial Estate/Area (acre)	21079.50 Sq. m (Around 5.20 acre)
Area to be developed (acre)	In first phase FFC will be developed in area of 8812.30 Sq. Mt (Flatted Factory Complex- Ground + 4 floor with built-up area of 1516.75Sq. mt. of each floor and 35 Sq. m of Mumty& lift Machine room (Total 7618.75 Sq. m))

	Admin Block details: Ground Floor + 4 floor with built-up area of 231.71 sq.mt of each floor and 35.00 Sq Mt (mumty& Lift machine room)
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Around 40 industrial units will be operating</li> <li>• Size of each hall is 160 Sq. mt. (8.0 M*20.0 M) and there are 40 halls proposed in the FFC</li> </ul>
Implementing period	24 months
Other FFC sanctioned in the same district	No
Performance of FFC in the state	No other FFC in the state

### 3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Uttar Pradesh Small Industries Corporation Limited (UPSIC) (A Govt. of Uttar Pradesh undertaking)	As per MSE-CDP guidelines
Track record of the IA	UPSIC is the autonomous body of the Government of Uttar Pradesh. Shri Navneet Sehgal (IAS), Additional Chief Secretary, Department of MSME & Export Promotion is the Chairman of UPSIC. UPSIC, was established in the year 1958 as Government owned enterprise. Till date, UPSIC has successfully developed more than 100 industrial estates in Uttar Pradesh.	
Project Appraisal Report (Observation and recommendation)	Submitted	Submitted
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	<p>Yes.</p> <p><b>Railway Station:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agra Cantt railway Station is around 12 km</li> <li>• Approx. 3 Km from Yamuna Bridge Rly. Station</li> </ul> <p><b>State Highway:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approx. 10 Km from Yamuna Express Way Khandauli Interchange and from Kuberpur</li> </ul>	--
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with clear title	Implementing agency (UPSIC) is already in possession of land required for the development of all infrastructure facilities with clear title. The land possession documents shall be submitted by UPSIC, at the time of project appraisal by SIDBI.	A letter dated 31.12.20 has been provided by Sh. Ram Yagya Mishra, MD, UPSIC stating that the industrial area land situated at Foundry Nagar, Agar on which FFC is proposed, is owned by UPSIC, Kanpur
Whether zoning regulations and non-agriculture conversion etc. complied with	The plot is inside the UPSIDA Industrial area, Foundry Nagar. This industrial area was developed in 1970s. But the plot for transferred in the name of UPSIC for the lease period of 99 years. Plot is in the name of UPSIC.	<b>Registered lease deed for land in the name of Implementing Agency is</b>

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	Constituted	required Received
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Submitted	Received
Basis of elements of project cost	The basis of Infrastructure components such as cost of construction of Flatted factory, laying roads, water supply, drainage, power, administrative and other service complex is as per Govt. norms followed by UPSIC	Received
Tangible outcomes of the project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Around 40 industrial units will be operating</li> <li>• The FFC will generate overall employment of about 1000 persons (Direct-500 &amp; Indirect-500). The FFC is estimated to attract investment of approx. of Rs. 80.00 crore including investment by individual unit on setting up their units, Plant &amp; Machinery and Government investment on land, infrastructure and common facilities etc.</li> </ul>	--
Justification of the proposal	<p>The Uttar Pradesh Government is keen to attract investment in sectors like textile, electronics items manufacturing, consumer goods and Information Technology (IT). The domestic market for goods and services are growing rapidly and so are export opportunities. Internet has simplified the business of business. All this, naturally, must lead to an explosion in the startup scene across the country. This project will provide support to drive entrepreneurship and startups through technology and innovation. This Flatted Factory Complex would be the first of its kind in the Uttar Pradesh, complying with international standards.</p>	--

#### 4. Proposed Project Cost

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
<b>1.</b>	<b>Land development and other overhead infrastructure</b>			
i.	Cost of Construction of Flatted Factory including land filling/leveling including boundary ball / fencing	1263.96	700.00	700.00
ii.	Cost of laying roads	116.57	100.00	100.00
iii.	Roadside greenery and social forestry	10.00	10.00	10.00
iv.	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	125.06	110.00	110.00
v.	Water harvesting	11.38	10.00	10.00
vi.	Drainage	60.00	60.00	60.00
vii.	Power (Sub-Station and Distribution	310.80	250.00	250.00

	Network, including Street Lighting etc, Generation of Non-Conventional Energy			
viii.	Other Sanitary Services	10.00	10.00	10.00
<b>2.</b>	<b>Administrative and other service complex</b>			
i.	Administrative office building	60.76	20.00	20.00
ii.	Telecom/Cyber/Documentation Centre	60.76	20.00	20.00
iii.	Conference Hall/Exhibition Centre	60.76	30.00	30.00
iv.	Bank/Post Office	30.38	20.00	20.00
v.	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	60.76	40.00	40.00
vi.	First aid Centre, creche canteen	30.38	20.00	20.00
<b>3.</b>	<b>Sewage Treatment Plant</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>80.00</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Contingencies &amp; pre-operative expenses (2%)</b>	<b>45.83</b>	<b>20.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>
	<b>GST (12%)</b>	<b>280.48</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Labor cess (1%)</b>	<b>23.37</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Total project cost</b>	<b>2641.25</b>	<b>1500.00</b>	<b>1500.00</b>

### 5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
i.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1200.00	1200.00*
ii.	State Govt. contribution	1441.25	1441.25
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2641.25</b>	<b>2641.25</b>

\*80% of eligible project cost of Rs. 1500.00 lakh.

### 6. Observations:

Following document is required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval:

- (i) Registered lease deed for land in the name of Implementing Agency (a letter dated 31.12.20 has been provided by Sh. Ram Yagya Mishra, MD, UPSIC stating that the industrial area land situated at Foundry Nagar, Agra on which FFC is proposed, is owned by UPSIC, Kanpur)
- (ii) Layout plan of building, approved by Competent Authority.
- (iii) Commitment letter from State Government regarding contribution of its share.
- (iv) Details of project specific bank account in Public Sector Bank or Regional Rural bank by IA.

### 7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for Final Approval for setting up of Flatted Factory Complex Phase-I at Foundry Nagar, Agra District, Uttar Pradesh at a total project cost of Rs. 2641.25 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1200.00 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 1441.25 lakh.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Agenda No. 56.3.7: Proposal for up-gradation of Industrial Estate Talkatora, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.

### Background

- Proposal was recommended in the 75<sup>th</sup> Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 28.04.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide email dated 22.07.21, O/o Directorate of Industries, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal in the ensuing NLSC.

	<b>Documents required</b>	<b>Status</b>
(vii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency.	<b>Required</b>
(viii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(ix)	Commitment letter from State Government /IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided
(x)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines	Provided
(xi)	Implementing Agency should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/Implementing Agency.	Provided
(xii)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of estimates of component cost as per provided format.	Provided
(xiii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.	Provided
(xiv)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Project Steering Committee.	Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

### 1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion
State	Uttar Pradesh
District	Lucknow
Industrial Estate	Industrial Estate Talkatora

## 2. Basic Information about proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan	Yes, <i>However, copy of approved layout plan is pending.</i>
Total Area of industrial estate/ area	50.81 acres
Area to be developed	50.81 acres
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	There are around 161 industrial plots in the industrial area out of which 161 plots are allotted, No of units in Industrial area 125, No of operational unit 121.
Implementing period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up etc.	Nil
Performance of ID projects in State	03 ID projects under Implementation stage namely (i) Partapur, Meerut; (ii) Nunhai, Agra & (iii) Sikhohabad, Firozabad.

## 3. Details about proposal:

Particulars	Proposed by IA	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA):	Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion, Uttar Pradesh	<b>As per extant guidelines of MSE-CDP, the ID project is implemented by State/ UT Governments through an appropriate State Government / UT Agency with a good track record in implementing such Projects.</b>
Track Record of the IA	Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion is the executive arm of Industries Department, engaged in implementation of Government Policies for all round development of industries in the State and to make Uttar Pradesh a front runner state in terms of entrepreneur development, providing a conducive environment for industrial production and investment	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Submitted
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state	Yes, sufficient facilities are available in the industrial areas	--

highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)		
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes, Project is for Upgradation of existing Industrial estates. The plots were allotted to unit holder for long term lease but the common infrastructure such as roads, drainage, park is in possession of Directorate of Industries and Enterprise Promotion.	An undertaking has been submitted by Dy. Commissioner Industries stating that land for the common infrastructure is in possession of Directorate of Industries and Enterprise Promotion and DIEP is also responsible for infrastructure development.  <b>Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency is required.</b>
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	There are around 161 industrial plots in the industrial area out of which 161 plots are allotted, No of units in Industrial area 125, No of operational unit 121. The Land is already into industrial use.	Existing Industrial Estate.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	Constituted	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, In the minutes of SLSC, it is mentioned that cost escalation will be borne by IA.	Received
Basis of elements of project Cost	Development of Park, Laying of roads, upgradation of Water Supply lines, Rain Water Harvesting, Upgradation of drainage system, Contingency Provisions	Received
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Apart from the value addition the proposed up-gradation will provide a support to industry. The project will	--



	provide significant socio-economic benefit to the region in terms of the employment generation, improvement in quality of life of workers, reducing congestion, market competitiveness as more buyers will be able to reach the manufacturing setups and upgradation of infrastructure. It will also provide the employment to migrant labourers.	
Justification of the proposal	<p>(i) The Industrial Estate Talkatora was developed in year 1965. Since last 55 years, many times maintenance work is conducted by Directorate of Industries. But now there is a requirement of upgradation of Industrial infrastructure. The infrastructure of Industrial Estate is deteriorated over the years.</p> <p>(ii) Industrial production capacity of units is increased but the physical infrastructure has remained the same.</p> <p>(iii) Lucknow have Export Oriented Units. There is major export of Chikankari &amp; Furniture from industrial area.</p> <p>(iv) Movement of heavy vehicles is increased by many folds due to increase in production and demand of the products in the market. Transport companies are charging higher tariffs due to the bad road condition. Increases transportation cost is added into the final product cost which makes it uncompetitive.</p>	--

#### 4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
--------	-------------	----------------	--------------------------------------

(i)	Cost of land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing	151.32	151.32
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	299.60	299.60
(iii)	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	149.58	149.58
(iv)	Water harvesting	26.50	26.50
(v)	Drainage	111.54	111.54
(vi)	Other (sanitary conveniences)	24.52	24.52
(vii)	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses	15.26	15.26
	GST@12%	91.57	--
	Quality Control@1.5%	11.45	--
	Establishment charges@6.87%	52.46	--
	Labour cess@1%	7.63	--
	<b>Total</b>	<b>941.42</b>	<b>778.32</b>

### 5. Proposed Means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
i.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	622.66	622.65
ii.	State Govt. contribution	318.76	318.77
	<b>Total</b>	<b>941.42</b>	<b>941.42</b>

\*80% of eligible project cost of Rs. 778.32 lakh.

### 6. Observations:

Following document is required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval:

- (i) Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency (*an undertaking has been submitted by Dy. Commissioner Industries stating that land for the common infrastructure is in possession of Directorate of Industries and Enterprise Promotion and DIEP is also responsible for infrastructure development*).
- (ii) Copy of approved layout plan.
- (iii) Commitment letter from State Government regarding contribution of its share.
- (iv) Details of project specific bank account in Public Sector Bank or Regional Rural bank by IA.
- (v) Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion, Uttar Pradesh is an implementing agency in this case (*As per extant guidelines of MSE-CDP, the ID project is implemented by State/ UT Governments through an appropriate State Government / UT Agency with a good track record in implementing such Projects.*)

### 7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for Final Approval for up-gradation of Industrial Estate Talkatora, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh at a total project cost of Rs. 941.42 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 622.65 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 318.77 lakh and direction on State Govt. as project implementation agency.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No 56.3.8 : Proposal for up-gradation of Industrial Estate Thandi Sadak Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad District, Uttar Pradesh.**

**Background**

- Proposal was recommended in the 75<sup>th</sup> Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 28.04.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to Final approval.
- Vide email dated 28.07.21, O/o Directorate of Industries, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal in the ensuing NLSC.

	<b>Documents required</b>	<b>Status</b>
(i)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency.	<b>Required</b>
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report	Provided
(iii)	Commitment letter from State Government /IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines	Provided
(v)	Implementing Agency should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/Implementing Agency	Provided
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of estimates of component cost as per provided format	Provided
(vii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share	Provided
(viii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Project Steering Committee.	Provided

Details of the proposal are as under

**1. Pre-registration Information**

Name of Organization	Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion
State	Uttar Pradesh
District	Farrukhabad
Industrial Estate	Industrial Estate Thandi Sadak Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad

**2. Basic Information about Proposal:**

<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Description</b>
Whether appraised DPR	Yes, <i>However, copy of approved layout plan is pending.</i>

with approved layout plan	
Total Area of industrial estate/ area (acre)	9.8 acres
Area to be developed (acre)	9.8 acres
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	There are around 31 industrial plots in the industrial area and all are allotted, No of operational unit - 24
Implementing period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district: year of sanction, number of plots allotted, units set up etc.	No other IID projects is sanctioned in same district
Performance of ID projects in State	03 ID projects under Implementation stage namely (i) Partapur, Meerut; (ii) Nunhai, Agra & (iii) Sikhohabad, Firozabad.

### 3. Details about Proposal:

Particulars	Proposed by IA	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion, Uttar Pradesh	<b>As per extant guidelines of MSE-CDP, the ID project is implemented by State/UT Governments through an appropriate State Government / UT Agency with a good track record in implementing such Projects.</b>
Track Record of the IA	Satisfactory, Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion is the executive arm of Industries Department, engaged in implementation of Government Policies for all round development of industries in the State.	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Submitted
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	The project is located in Farrukhabad district <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DIC to Farrukhabad Railway Station: 2 km and Bus Stand: 6 km</li> <li>• Fatehgarh: Railway station (12 km) from industrial estate, Bus Stand: 8 Km</li> </ul>	--

Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Project is for up-gradation of Industrial Infrastructure	<p><i>An undertaking has been submitted by Dy. Commissioner Industries stating that land for the common infrastructure is in possession of Directorate of Industries and Enterprise Promotion and DIEP is also responsible for infrastructure development.</i></p> <p><b>Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency is required.</b></p>
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	<p>1. Under DIEPC Farrukhabad, Khemseypur Industrial estate : There are around 31 industrial plots in the industrial area out of which all 31 plots are allotted to 24 units under production.</p> <p>2. The land is already into Industrial use</p>	Existing Industrial Estate.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	Constituted	Constituted
Whether confirmation received from IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, In the minutes of SLSC, it is mentioned that cost escalation will be borne by IA.	Received
Basis of elements of project cost	Basic Elements are - Cost of CC roads, road side greenery, water harvesting, upgradation of drainage	Received
Tangible Outcomes of the project	Apart from the value addition the proposed up-gradation will provide a support to industry. The project will provide significant socio-economic benefit to the region in terms of the employment generation, improvement in quality of life of workers, reducing	--

	<p>congestion, market competitiveness as more buyers will be able to reach the manufacturing setups and upgradation of infrastructure. It will also provide the employment to migrant labourers.</p>	
<p>Justification of the proposal</p>	<p>This DPR proposes the upgradation of Industrial Estate Thandi Sadak Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The Industrial Estate was developed in year 1965 and in last 55 years the infrastructure of Industrial Estate is deteriorated.</li> <li>(ii) Industrial production capacity of units is increased but the physical infrastructure has remained the same</li> <li>(iii) Movement of heavy vehicles is increased by many folds due to increase in production and demand of the products in the market.</li> <li>(iv) Transport companies are charging higher tariffs due to the bad road condition. Increases transportation cost is added into the final product cost which make is uncompetitive.</li> <li>(v) Due to bad condition of Industrial Estate buyers are not visiting the manufacturing setup of the units in industrial area. Which is causing the economic loss to the State.</li> <li>(vi) Individual Industries are bearing wear and tear cost during the transportation of final goods.</li> </ul>	<p>--</p>

#### 4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Cost of laying roads	335.41	335.41
(ii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	8.75	8.75
(iii)	Water harvesting	14.00	14.00
(iv)	Drainage	110.42	110.42
(v)	Power distribution, Street light arrangements etc.	7.87	7.87
(vi)	Other	5.27	5.27
(vii)	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses	9.63	9.63
	Cenetage Charges @10%	48.17	0.00
	Add GST @12%	63.59	63.59
	Labour Cess@1%	5.93	0.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>609.04</b>	<b>554.94</b>

#### 5. Proposed Means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
i.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	393.08	393.08
ii.	State Government's contribution	215.96	215.96
	<b>Total</b>	<b>609.04</b>	<b>609.04</b>

\*70.83% of eligible project cost of Rs.554.94 lakh.

#### 6. Observations:

Following document is required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval:

- (iv) Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency (*an undertaking has been submitted by Dy. Commissioner Industries stating that land for the common infrastructure is in possession of Directorate of Industries and Enterprise Promotion and DIEP is also responsible for infrastructure development*).
- (v) Copy of approved layout plan.
- (vi) Commitment letter from State Government regarding contribution of its share.
- (vii) Details of project specific bank account in Public Sector Bank or Regional Rural bank by IA.
- (viii) Directorate of Industries & Enterprise Promotion, Uttar Pradesh is an implementing agency in this case (*As per extant guidelines of MSE-CDP, the ID*

*project is implemented by State/ UT Governments through an appropriate State Government / UT Agency with a good track record in implementing such Projects.)*

#### **7. Proposal for Steering Committee:**

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for up-gradation of Industrial Estate Thandi Sadak Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad District, Uttar Pradesh at a total project cost of Rs. 609.04 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 393.08 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 215.96 lakh and direction on State Govt. as project implementing agency.

\*\*\*\*\*



**Agenda No.56.4.1 : Ratification of decision to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole in respect of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala.**

- The request of the State Government to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalated amount from the saving amount of individual machine for setting up of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, was deferred during the 55<sup>th</sup> Meeting of National Level Steering Committee of MSE-CDP held on 09.06.21 and State Government was requested to give justification in deviating the cost or those items where there is more than 50% variation.
- Vide letter dated 01.07.21, Government of Kerala has given the justification with a request for approval to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalated amount from the saving amount of individual machine for setting up of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur. As per the justification, DPR was prepared in 2013, project sanctioned in 2015 and tender proceedings started in 2018. Due to these delays, machinery specifications got outdated and became unavailable in market and some machinery prices also increased. Machineries with updated versions were purchased against the outdated machinery, which caused a price increase. The required plant & machinery for the CFC were procured as per the latest specification.
- **Secretary, MSME has approved the request of the State Government to consider the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalated amount from the saving amount of individual machine for setting up of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur on file based on the justification given by Govt. of Kerala.**

**Proposal for Steering Committee:**

The Committee may ratify the decision considering the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalated amount from the saving amount of individual machine for setting up of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No.56.4.2 : Ratification of decision to issuance of final approval letter for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Hi-tech Engineering Cluster, Kolhapur, Maharashtra.**

- Proposal for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Hi-tech Engineering cluster, Kolhapur, Maharashtra accorded final approval during the 55th National Level Steering Committee meeting held on 09.06.2021 with the following means of finance subject to submission of the below mentioned documents prior to issuance of final approval letter.

**(Rs. in lakh)**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Approved Cost</b>
i.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1400.00
ii.	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Maharashtra	150.00
iii.	SPV contribution	261.42
iv	Unsecured Loan	302.79
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2114.21</b>

The Implementing Agency should open the project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks.

- During 55<sup>th</sup> NLSC Committee directed IA/State Govt to forward the documents required for issuance of final approval letter to O/o DC (MSME) within a month's time from the date NLSC. On the request of State Government one month time extension for submission of documents may be given by AS&DC (MSME). Thereafter, the proposal will be required to be placed before NLSC again.
- Vide letter dated 01.10.2021, Joint Director (Industries.), Government of Maharashtra, forwarded requisite documents and requested therewith for issuance of final approval letter for subject proposal:
- File is under submission seeking approval of AS&DC(MSME) and concurrence of IF Wing to issue final approval letter for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Hi-tech Engineering Cluster, Kolhapur, Maharashtra at total project cost of Rs. 2114.21 Lakh having Government of India Contribution of Rs 1400.00 Lakh, Government of Maharashtra Contribution of Rs 150.00 Lakh, SPV Contribution of Rs. 261.42 Lakh and Bank Loan of Rs 302.79 Lakh.

**Proposal for Steering Committee:**

The Committee may ratify the decision to issuance of final approval letter for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Hi-tech Engineering Cluster, Kolhapur, Maharashtra.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No.56.5.1: Approval for issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Pappad Cluster, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala.**

**Background:**

- Final approval accorded during 55th meeting of National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) held on 09.06.21, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to issuance of final approval letter. As per the minutes, the documents required for issuance of final approval letter must be submitted to O/o DC(MSME) within a month's time from the date of issuance of the minutes of the meeting. On the request of State Government, additional one month time extension for submission of documents may be given by AS&DC(MSME). **Thereafter, no time extension will be granted for submission of documents and the proposal will be required to be placed before NLSC again. However, Govt. of Kerala could not submit the documents within the stipulated time.**
- Vide letter dated 25.10.21, Joint Director & HOD, MSME-DI, Thrissur has submitted the following documents / information in response to minutes of meeting of 55<sup>th</sup> meeting of NLSC with the request to issue the final approval letter.
  - (i) Copy of Land documents.
  - (ii) Revised share holding pattern of the SPV.
  - (iii) Details of project specific bank account of Implementing Agency in Canara Bank, Thiruvananthapuram.
- Vide email dated 25.10.21, Government of Kerala also requested for issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Pappad Cluster, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala.
- **Now, the requisite documents have been submitted after more than 4 months of the date of issuance of minutes of 55th meeting of NLSC.**

The Implementing Agency for the project is Kerala Bureau of Industrial Promotion (KBIP), Thiruvananthapuram and SPV for the project is M/s Ananthapuram Pappad Cluster Association, Thiruvananthapuram.

**Approved Means of Finance:**

		(Rs. in lakh)
S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
(i)	Government of India grant	382.41
(ii)	State Government contribution	111.04
(iii)	SPV contribution	61.77
Total		<b>555.22</b>

**Component wise approved project cost:**

		(Rs. in lakh)
S.No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
1.	Land	1.00
2.	Building and civil works	125.50
3.	Plant & Machinery including MFA and contingencies (transformer, electrification etc.)	392.36
4.	Preliminary & Pre-operative Expenses	11.10
5.	Margin money for working capital	25.26
<b>Total</b>		<b>555.22</b>

**Proposal for Steering Committee:**The Committee may consider for approval of issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Pappad Cluster, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda No.56.5.2: Mismatch of names of SPV and Time extension for issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Hosiery & Readymade Garments Cluster, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh.**

**Background:**

- Final approval accorded during 55th meeting of National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) held on 09.06.21, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to issuance of final approval letter. As per the minutes, the documents required for issuance of final approval letter must be submitted to O/o DC(MSME) within a month's time from the date of issuance of the minutes of the meeting. On the request of State Government, additional one month time extension for submission of documents may be given by AS&DC(MSME). **Thereafter, no time extension will be granted for submission of documents and the proposal will be required to be placed before NLSC again. However, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh could not submit the documents within the stipulated time.**
- Vide letter dated 06.10.21, Joint Director, MSME-DI, Visakhapatnam has submitted the following documents / information in response to minutes of meeting of 55<sup>th</sup> meeting of NLSC with the request to issue the final approval letter:
  - (i) Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/ CVC guidelines.
  - (ii) Certificate of SPV formation in Section 8 of Company Act along with copy of Article of Association and Memorandum of Association.
  - (iii) Commitment letter from State Government to increase their contribution from 10% to 20%.
  - (iv) The Implementing Agency should open the project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks/Regional Rural Banks.

The SPV is formed in section 8 Company and the name of SPV in the registration certificate is **WEA India Hosiery and Readymade Garments Cluster Foundation, Nellore**, whereas, at the time of final approval, the name of the SPV was **Women Entrepreneurs Association, Nellore registered under societies act**. Vide letter dated 06.09.21, MSME-DI, Visakhapatnam had submitted the lease agreement in the name of WEA India Hosiery and Readymade Garments Cluster Foundation, Nellore. Commitment letter from SPV, list of SPV members along with shareholding pattern etc are issued in the name of Women Entrepreneurs Association, Nellore.

- Vide letter dated 03.11.21, MSME-DI, Visakhapatnam has forwarded an affidavit submitted by SPV wrt **mismatch of names** on section 8 company registration certificate to affirm that **“Women Entrepreneurs Association, Nellore and WEA India Hosiery and Readymade Garments Cluster Foundation, Nellore” are same**. The affidavit is attested by Notary and it is mentioned in the affidavit that they incorporated the section 8 company in the name of **WEA India Hosiery and Readymade Garments Cluster Foundation, Nellore** instead of **Women Entrepreneurs Association, Nellore** due to non-availability of name with the Ministry of Corporate affairs. It is also mentioned in the affidavit that the founders, members and their shareholding pattern of **Women Entrepreneurs Association, Nellore** are same in the **WEA India Hosiery and Readymade Garments Cluster Foundation, Nellore**.

- Now, the requisite documents have been submitted after more than 4 months of the date of issuance of minutes of 55<sup>th</sup> meeting of NLSC.

The Implementing Agency for the project is Andhra Pradesh Trade Promotion Corporation (APTPC), Vijayawada.

**Approved Means of Finance:**

		(Rs. in lakh)
S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
(i)	Government of India grant	576.08
(ii)	State Government contribution	164.60
(iii)	SPV contribution	82.30
	<b>Total</b>	<b>822.98</b>

**Component wise approved project cost:**

		(Rs. in lakh)
S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
(i)	Land and its Development	60.00
(ii)	Building and other Civil constructions	104.39
(iii)	Plant and Machineries (including electrification)	579.52
(iv)	Misc. Fixed Assets	16.00
(v)	Pre-operative & Preliminary expenses	16.00
(vi)	Provision for Contingencies (2% building and 5% on plant & machinery)	31.07
(vii)	Margin money for working capital	16.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>822.98</b>

**Proposal for Steering Committee:**

The Committee may consider for approval of issuance of final approval letter for setting up of CFC in Hosiery & Readymade Garments Cluster, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh.

\*\*\*\*\*