

Government of India Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Office of Development Commissioner (MSME), 7th Floor, A Wing, Nirman Bhawan, Maulana Azad Road, New Delhi-110108

Agenda

for

58th Meeting of National Level Steering Committee

of

Micro & Small Enterprises - Cluster Development Programme (MSE-CDP)

Date &Time : 15.03.2022 & 03:30 PM

INDEX

Agenda	Description	Page No.
Point.	Confirmation of Minutes	1 1
58.1 58.2	Confirmation of Minutes	1-1
58.2	Action taken report on previous meeting decisions	2-2
58.3	Proposals for Final Approval	3-81
Maharashti		
58.3.1	CFC in Auto Component Cluster, Waluj, Aurangabad, Maharashtra	3-8
58.3.2	CFC in Food Processing (Fruit) Cluster, Sillod, Aurangabad, Maharashtra	9-14
58.3.3	CFC in Textile & Garment digital Printing Cluster, Miraj, Sangli, Maharashtra	15-21
58.3.4	CFC in Precision Meta Tech Tools Cluster, Bhosari, Pune,	22-27
Tolongono	Maharashtra	
Telangana		20.22
58.3.5	Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenwalle, Ranga Reddy, Telangana	28-33
58.3.6	Flatted Factory Complex at Jadcherla, Mahbudnagar Telangana	34-39
58.3.7	Flatted Factory Complex at Madikonda, Warangal, Telangana	40-45
58.3.8	Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram, Siddipet, Telangana	46-51
58.3.9	Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri, Telangana	52-57
58.3.10(S)	Establishment of Marketing Hub (Women Entrepreneurs International Trade & Technology Centre)	58-62
Tamil Nadu		
58.3.11	CFC in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Chennai, Tamil Nadu	63-72
58.3.12(S)	CFC in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu	73-81
58.4	Proposals for Time Extension	82-130
Haryana		
58.4.1	CFC in Plastic Packaging Cluster, Karnal	82-82
58.4.2	CFC in Plywood Cluster, Yamunanagar	83-84
58.4.3	CFC in Engineering Cluster, Yamunanagar	85-86
58.4.4	CFC in Stainless Steel Utensils Cluster, Kundli, Sonepat	87-88
Jammu Kas	shmir	
58.4.5(S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Estate, Udhampur	89-90
58.4.6(S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Estate, Pulwama	91-92
Nagaland		
58.4.7 (S)	new Industrial Estate at Tuli, Mokokchung District, Nagaland	93-94
Punjab		
58.4.8	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point-IV, Ludhiana	95-95
58.4.9(S)	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point-IV, Pathankot	96-97
Tamil Nadu		
58.4.10	CFC in Gate & Grill Cluster, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu	98-99

Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at K. Pudur, Madurai, Tamil Nadu	100-101
New Industrial Estate at Marikundu theni, Tamil Nadu	102-103
Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Nanjaiuthukuli, Erode, Tamil Nadu	
Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Nanjaiuthukitli, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu	106-107
Industrial Estate at Ranipet	108-109
Industrial Estate at Dindigul	110-111
Industrial Estate at Hosur, Krishnagiri	112-113
CFC in Safety Match Cluster, O Mettupatty, Virudhnagar	114-115
CFC in Textile Knitting Cluster, Tirupur	116-117
CFC in Wooden Furniture Cluster, Salem	118-119
CFC in Lorry Body Building Cluster, Salem	120-121
CFC in Apparel Cluster, Tirupur	122-123
CFC in Print & Pack Product Cluster, Hosur, Krishnagiri	124-125
CFC in Fabrication Cluster, Perambalur	126-127
CFC in Weaving Cluster, Erode	128-128
New Industrial Estate at Uthangarai, Krishnagiri	129-130
Ratification of Decisions	131-132
Kashmir	
Up-gradation of existing infrastructure facilities at Electronic Complex Rangreth, Budgam	131-132
Any Other Points	133-151
CFC in Plastic Products Cluster, Surendernagar	133-133
CFC in Textile Cluster, Dahod	134-136
CFC in Lab Grown Diamond Cluster, Surat	137-138
1	
CFC in Home furnishing Cluster, Karur, Tamil Nadu	139-139
CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur	139-141
CFC in Cashew Nut Processing Cluster, Selsella, West Garo Hills	142-151
	New Industrial Estate at Marikundu theni, Tamil Nadu Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Nanjaiuthukuli, Erode, Tamil Nadu Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Nanjaiuthukitli, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu Industrial Estate at Ranipet Industrial Estate at Dindigul Industrial Estate at Hosur, Krishnagiri CFC in Safety Match Cluster, O Mettupatty, Virudhnagar CFC in Textile Knitting Cluster, Tirupur CFC in Wooden Furniture Cluster, Salem CFC in Lorry Body Building Cluster, Salem CFC in Apparel Cluster, Tirupur CFC in Print & Pack Product Cluster, Hosur, Krishnagiri CFC in Fabrication Cluster, Perambalur CFC in Weaving Cluster, Erode New Industrial Estate at Uthangarai, Krishnagiri Ratification of Decisions Xashmir Up-gradation of existing infrastructure facilities at Electronic Complex Rangreth, Budgam Any Other Points CFC in Plastic Products Cluster, Surendernagar CFC in Textile Cluster, Dahod CFC in Lab Grown Diamond Cluster, Surat CFC in Home furnishing Cluster, Karur, Tamil Nadu CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur

Agenda No. 58.1.1: Confirmation of Minutes of 57th Meeting of National Level Steering Committee held on 20.12.2021. Minutes of 57th Meeting of Steering Committee held on 20.12.2021 were uploaded on the website and communicated to stakeholders. Minutes are annexed at Annexure-I. Since no comments have been received from any of the members, minutes may kindly be confirmed.

Item No. 58.2.2: Action Taken Report on the action points of 57th Meeting of Steering Committee.

Item No.	Item Description	Decision Taken	Action Taken
57.3.1 to 57.3.19	Proposals for time Extension.	Time Extension Granted	Communicated to all concerned
57.4.1 to 57.4.2	Ratification of Decisions	Decision Ratified	
57.5.1	Any Other Points	57th NLSC minutes attached.	
57.6.1(S)	Proposal for Approval in the specification (for higher version) of approved Plant & Machinery for setting up of Common Facility Centre in Engineering Cluster Varachha, Surat, Gujarat Proposals for Final	Approval accorded	
37.0.1(3)	Approval	Approvar accorded	
57.6.2 (S)	Proposals for time Extension.	Time Extension Granted	

Agenda No 58.3.1: Proposal for final approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Auto Component Cluster, Waluj, Dist. Aurangabad, Maharashtra.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 78th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 23.12.21 & 24.12.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 16.02.2022, Government of Maharashtra forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Not Provided
(x)	Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank should be submitted.	Not Provided
(xi)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal	Not Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- 1. Name of the Cluster & Location: Auto Component Cluster, Aurangabad, Maharashtra
- 2. Sector: Mechanical
- **3. Nature of activity:-** Cluster member manufacturing Component like Press Parts and its Assly, Precision machine component, Rubbers Parts, Plastic Moulding Parts, Al Die Casting Parts for the Auto component manufactures OEMs Tier 1 vendor, White goods manufacturer, Furniture manufacturer
- **4. Product / Services provided:-** 1 Auto Component Component 2 Rubber Parts 3 Press Parts Assly 4 Dies Molds Manufacturing 5 Repair of Dies Molds, Capital equipment parts, Dies, molds etc
- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 300 Nos. (180 Micro Units & 108 Small Units and 12 Medium Unit)

6. Category wise unit in cluster:- SC/ST units-50, Women Enterprises-15

7. No. of SPV units:- 25

8. Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV)

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
1.	Land	165.34	165.34
2.	Site Development	25.0	25.00
3.	Factory Building	320.00	320.00
4.	Plant & Machinery including electrification	1289.19	1245.68
5.	Electrification	-	43.50
6.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	83.84	83.84
7.	Miscellaneous Fixed Assets	26.10	26.10
8.	Margin money for Working Capital	55.33	55.33
9.	Contingency 2% Building + 5% Plant &	69.20	69.20
	Machinery		
	Total	2034.00	2034.00

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
(i)	Government of India grant	78.67%	1600.00	1600.00*
(ii)	Government of Maharashtra	7.38%	150.00	150.00
(iii)	SPV Contribution	9.83%	200.00	200.00
(iv)	Stake holders Deposit/Loan to be arranged by SPV	2.45%	50.00	50.00
(v)	Bank loan, others to be arranged by SPV	1.67%	34.00	34.00
	Total	100%	2034.00	2034.00

^{*80 %} of eligible project cost of Rs. 2000.00 lakh as per MSE-CDP guidelines.

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name of IA:- MIDC					Email:- co@midcindia.org	
Name	of	State	Government:-	Goverment	of	Email:- dicluster@maharashtra.gov.in.
Mahara	shtra					_

Name of SPV & Address:- Massia Auto component	Email:- massia.cluster@gmail.com
cluster Pvt Ltd, P-15, MIDC, Waluj, Aurangabad	

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	Abhijay Auto Parts Pvt Ltd	3.75
2	Anup Kabra	0.10
3	Ashok Kale	0.10
4	Blue Star Engineers	2.50
5	Elegant Coating Pvt Ltd	7.50
6	Girnar Gears Pvt Ltd	2.50
7	Kirti Pressing Pvt Ltd	6.00
8	Kishore Industries Pvt ltd	3.50
9	Harhal Plastic Eng Pvt Ltd	2.00
10	Mahaveer Industries	1.50
11	Premier Engineers	3.75
12	Pruthviraj Shah	0.10
13	Rajesh Patni	0.10
14	Ranjit Singh Gulhati	0.10
15	S Expert	2.50
16	Savera Pres Component Pvt ltd	7.50
17	Shree Pressing	8.50
18	Shyam Subash Rathi	1.88
19	Keshardeep Pressing	1.50
20	Sunita Shyam Rathi	1.88
21	Supriem Elemech Pvt Ltd	3.75
22	Tanishq Electricals Pvt Ltd	1.50
23	Tool Tech Toolings	1.50
24	Vardhaman Precision Works	4.00
25	Abhijay Engineers Pvt Ltd	1.00

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium

180 108 12

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

New Product Development (NPD) involves high intellectual capital for conceptualizing as well as high risk in prototyping. It is essential that a CFC should provide services to the MSMEs in NPD. 2) Tool quality Testing certification Demand for tools and precision parts in India is met by the

imports from countries like China, Korea, Tiawan . These Products are expensive . A wide variety of customization is possible in the moulds and Die due to the application of high end machining.

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

1 CFC will provide high End machining facility by installing 5 Axis VMC machine, CNC lathe, wire cut, Precision surface grinding machine to cater the faster and cost effective new product development 2 Slitting and cut to length line to control the inventory cost and availability of all range sizes of raw material. 3. Skilled Manpower training centre improve the quality of products to achieve the exports .Precision Measurement and testing facility by installing CMM, Spectro machine.

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	No design Facility	Design Facilty
2	Dies from Conevntional Machines	Dies Mold manufacturing from High End Machines
3	Blanking, Forming, Welding, Platting	RM Coils will be slitted as per size and cut to length
4	Product Measurement Testing not available at unit level	RM testing by Spectro and component measurement on CMM machine
5	Repair maintenance of DIES Moulds No Facility at unit level	Repairs by using facility of Surface Grinder, 5 axis VMC machine, CNC lengtrh, Wire Cut

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

No

110

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	300	400
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in Crore)	1200.00	2000.00
3	Employment (Numbers)	6000	16000
4	Export (Rs. in Crore)	300.00	600.00

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

The facility available in CFC will be utilised on Pay and Use basis by the cluster members as well as non members in and around the region. Thus the CFC will be a self sustainable model after its establishment and full fledged commissioning. The facility utilization is expected to be more than 85 percentages as proposed facilities are presently not available in the region neither individual can afford it.

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

Proposed CFC is installing high end precision machines like 5 axis VMC, Precision grinding machine, wire cut, CNC lathe (ABC 2 mtr) as per the requirement of present and future auto component manufacturing industry. Also for raw material testing Spectro machine and for measurement CMM.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

Yes. Assurance given by the Stake holders and cluster members for more than 80 percentage utilization of machines

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

Yes CFC will be utilized on Pay and USE basis by the cluster members. The rates for Pay and USE of the CFC facilities to the SPV stake holders will be discounted at 10 percent.

26. Proposed faculties:

Common Facility of Machining. Slitting, CTL line, Plant & Machinery

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Description	No.	Cost
1.	5 Axis VMC machine	1	161.25
2.	Precision Surface Grinding Machine	1	50.91
3.	CNC Wire Cut M/c	1	145.35
4.	CNC Lathe M/c	1	90.00
5.	Slitting & Cut to length M/c	1	526.87
6.	Shearing Machine	1	9.61
7.	1" Drill Machine	1	1.00
8.	Bench Grinder	1	0.10
9.	EOT Crane 15T, 15 T, 30 T	3	60.00
10.	Fire System	1	30.00
11.	Weigh Bridge	1	10.00
12.	Tooling & Other Accessories	1	20.00
	Testing & Metrology Centre		
	Cordinate Measuring Machine	1	60.00
14.	Hardness Tester	1	2.34
15.	Spectro Meter	1	52.81
16.	Micrometer & Other Measuring Instruments	1	5.00
17.	Carbide Slip Gauge	1	2.50
18.	Height Master	1	6.74
19.	Surface Plate	1	0.75
20.	Pin Gauge	1	0.60
21.	Bench Centre	1	1.30
22.	Cutting Machine & Polising Machine	1	0.80
	Design Centre		
23.	Design Software	1	5.00
24.	Computers	1	1.50
25.	AO Ploteer/ Printer	1	1.25
	Total	1	1245.68

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Clarification on duration of registered land sale/lease deed as per MSE-CDP guidelines.
- (ii) Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- (iii) Certificate for Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- (iv) Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- (v) Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Auto Component Cluster, Waluj, Dist. Aurangabad, Maharashtra, at a total project cost of Rs. 2034.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1600.00 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 150.00 lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 250.00 lakh and loan 34.00 lakh.

Agenda No 58.3.2: Proposal for final approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Food Processing (Fruit) Cluster, Sillod, Aurangabad, Maharashtra.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 78th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 23.12.2021 & 24.12.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 16.02.2022, Government of Maharashtra forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Not Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Not Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Not Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Not Provided
(x)	Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank should be submitted.	Not Provided
(xi)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal	Not Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- **1. Name of the Cluster & Location:-** Food Processing (Fruit) Cluster, Sillod, , Aurangabad, Maharashtra.
- 2. Sector: Agro Based Industries
- **3. Nature of activity:-** By influencing the structures, systems and relationships that define the value chain, the aim is to evolve the eco system of post harvesting innovative off farm activities which could enhance the market capabilities in order to strengthen the base of rural technology based intervention in the form creation of CFC comprising components as follows Common processing centre Common packaging centre Marketing hub E commerce platform Export facilitation centre Agro Entrepreneurs.
- **4. Product / Services provided:-** The interventions may target several or all the following Backward Integration Aggregation facilities Forward Integration Sorting, grading, packaging Storage (ambient and controlled temperature) Value addition and market intelligence Distribution facilities including logistics Cool chain E market platform and digital connectivity Certification Facility.

- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 98 Nos. (91 Micro & 7 Small)
- **6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST units-03, Women Enterprises-03
- 7. No. of SPV units:- 28 (24 Micro & 4 Small)
- **8.** Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV).

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
1.	Land	114.00	90.00
2.	Land Development		24.00
3.	Building	388.00	388.00
4.	Plant & Machinery including electrification	1371.39	1371.39
5.	Utilities	141.01	141.01
6.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	28.27	28.27
7.	Contingency	76.33	76.33
8.	Margin money for working capital	45.00	45.00
	Total Project Cost	2164.00	2164.00

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
(i)	Government of India grant	73.94%	1600.00	1600.00*
(ii)	Government of Maharashtra	6.94%	150.00	150.00
(iii)	SPV Contribution	13.95%	302.00	366.00
(iv)	Bank loan to be arranged by SPV	5.17%	112.00	48.00
	Total	100.00%	2164.00	2164.00

^{*80 %} of eligible project cost of Rs 2000.00 Lakh

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name of IA:- MIDC	Email:- didci@maharashtra.gov.in	
Name of State Government:- DC MSME Govt of	Email:- dicluster@maharashtra.gov.	
MAHARASHTRA		
Name of SPV & Address:- M/S Farmate Processed	Email:- aakashagn@gmail.com	
Cluster Foundation Gut No 345/1, At post Sillod		
Tq Sillod – 431112 Dist. Aurangabad, Maharashtra.		
9422208599		

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	vikrant Anilsing Gourthakur	5.00
2	sanjay Suryabhan Mote	5.00
3	Chandrahas Laxmandas Patel	5.00
4	Prakash Vasantrao Anvikar	5.00
5	Sarika Aakashchandra Gourthakur	5.00
6	Aakash Agencies	5.00
7	Pratibha Fruts Canning	5.00
8	Aakash Warehouses	7.50
9	Pendgaon aakashagro farmer producer Co LTD	2.00
10	Pishor aakashagro farmer producer co ltd	2.00
11	Aakashagro Farmer Producer Co Ltd	5.00
12	Meghdan Agri Solutions Farmer Prducer Co Ltd	2.00
13	Nevpur aakashagro Farmer producer Co Ltd	2.00
14	Krishiparv Agro Farmer Producer Co Ltd	2.00
15	Aakash Agri Solutions Private Limited	7.50
16	Growintic Farmer Producer Co Ltd	1.00
17	Amit Agencies	1.00
18	Siddarth Fruits	1.00
19	Vedant Agro Agencies	2.00
20	Saheli Foods	2.00
21	Guruganesh Fruits	2.00
22	Heera Fruits	2.00
23	Farmark Processed Vgetable Cluster Foundation	5.00
24	Shrivijay beej bhandar	2.00
25	Shrikant Shivajirao Jadhav	5.00
26	Umshankar Sitaram Agrawal	2.00
27	Rajesh Fruits	5.00
28	saibaba Fruits	5.00

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium

45 0 0

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

Food Processing Clusters play the important role in Food Supply Chain Management. Consequent on post COVID-19 scenario worldwide, Supply Chain Disruption is seen and witnessed in almost every sector of the industry from Global to Local. Food Processing Industry sector is equally affected. At the same time it is important to notice Uprising Demand in respect of supply of Hygienic Processed food, Raw Fruit Juices well packaged and Supply of Indian origin seasonal fruits through -out the year.

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

Gaps identified related to technology, quality, energy consumption pattern, pollution emission, process modification, marketing, export, Certification, Packaging, E commerce platform and traceability, skill development etc.

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level	
1	Mechanical Harvesting	SMART FARM MANAGEMENT	
2	Washing Sorting and Grading	Sorting (Color , weight and appearnace) Waxing	
3	Dicing Slicing	Precooling, cold storage, Value Addition -1, Pulping, Low temperature drying, Packaging, certification, Traceability	

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

No

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	98	250
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in crore)	6.80	25.00
3	Employment (Numbers)	1800	3200
4	Export (Rs. in crore)	0	5.00

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

Yes proposed CFC is technically feasible and viable because advance practices that has been done at CFC. Even there is potential to establish the rural to global value chain. It is important to note that it

will work on both the ends like smart farm practices and development of harvesting methods will increase yield and value addition will enhance the net gain from the out put.

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

Proposed technology in the CFC is able to fulfill the needs of cluster up to next 10 to 12 years. Again on the demand basis capacity can be enhanced by enhancing shift with same CFC infrastructure.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

Harvesting planning enables the enterprises to plan the CFC utilization schedule again there is e communication through the digital app that will ensure the overlapping of CFC utilization. In the routine it will utilize more than 60 capacity

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

Yes both the things are ascertained user charges are very marginal as compare to the pricing getting after vale addition. Logistic and inventory management is smart arrangement to enhance the net gains of the micro enterprise.

26. Proposed faculties:

- Common Facility centre for processing (High end value addition)
- Lab testing and traceability of the product processed.
- Export facilitation Centre. (EFC)
- Training and incubation centre for Agriculture & Food processing.
- Enterprise Development Centre. (EDC)

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Description	No.	Cost
1.	Cold Storage (For Keeping fresh & processed produce)	5	170.00
2.	Pre-Cooling chambers	10	151.25
3.	Ripening Chambers	5	56.00
4.	Individual Quick Freezing (IQF)	1	340.92
5.	Receiving Holding, Ante Room & Docking Station	set	157.04
6.	Vacuum Frying	-	120.36
7.	Freeze/Low Temperature dried Fruit Chips	1	148.09
8.	Infused fruits and fruit leather	1	35.39

9.	Fresh pomegranate Arils and well mixed fruit cups and	1	51.47
	fruit		
10.	Common Processing Center	1	73.40
11.	Shelf Life Treatment Tanks	1	27.14
12.	Plastic Crates	8000	21.40
13.	Pallets	500	18.05
	Total		1370.51

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) English translated copy of Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines mentioning duration of lease vetted by State Govt/IA/MSME-DI, Mumbai
- (ii) Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.
- (iii) Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA. But This SPV has been open a/c in Axis Bank.
- (iv) SPV shareholding pattern as per MSE-CDP guidelines
- (v) Certificate for Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- (vi) Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- (vii) Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Food Processing (Fruits) Cluster, Sillod, Aurangabad, Maharashtra at a total project cost of Rs. 2164.00 Lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1600.00 Lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 150.00 Lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 366.00 Lakh and Bank Loan of Rs 48.00 Lakh.

Agenda No 58.3.3: Proposal for final approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Textile & Garment digital Printing Cluster, Miraj, Dist. Sangli, Maharashtra.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 78th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 23.12.2021/24.12.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 11.02.2022, Government of Maharashtra forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Not Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Not Provided
(x)	Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank should be submitted.	Provided
(xi)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal	Not Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- 1. Name of the Cluster & Location: Textile & Garment Digital Printing, Sangli, Maharashtra.
- **2. Sector:-** Hosiery
- **3. Nature of activity:-** Textile printing is the process of applying color to fabric in definite patterns or designs. In properly printed fabrics the colour is bonded with the fiber, so as to resist washing and friction. Textile printing is related to dyeing but in dyeing properly the whole fabric is uniformly covered with one colour, whereas in printing one or more colours are applied to it in certain parts only, and in sharply defined patterns.
- **4. Product / Services provided:-** Printed Fabric like, Shirt, T-Shirt, Ladies Kurtis, Gents Kurta, Dupatta, Printed Bedsheets, Printed Pillows, Designer Sarees, Curtains etc.
- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 146 Nos. (141 Micro Units & 05 Small Units)
- **6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST units-10, Women Enterprises-13

7. No. of SPV units:- 45

8. Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV)

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
1.	Land	172.00	0.00
2.	Building		172.00
3.	Plant & Machinery	1687.55	1687.55
4.	Misc. Fixed Assets	73.91	73.91
5.	Preliminary	0.00	15.00
6.	Pre-operative expenses	15.00	
7.	Contingencies	37.19	37.19
8.	Margin money for working capital	14.15	14.15
Total		1999.80	1999.80

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
(i)	Government of India grant	80.00%	1599.84	1599.84
(ii)	Government of Maharashtra	7.50%	149.99	149.99
(iii)	SPV Contribution	10.00%	199.98	199.98
(iv)	Bank loan to be arranged by SPV	2.50%	49.99	49.99
	Total	100.00%	1999.80	1999.80

^{* 80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1999.80 Lakh as per MSE-CDP guidelines.

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name of IA:- MIDC, Mumbai	Email:- ceo@midcindia.org
Name of State Government:- DC (Industries),	Email:- dicluster@maharashtra.gov.in
Govt. of Maharashtra	
Name of SPV & Address:- Majeka Textile and	Email:- textileprintingsangli@gmail.com
Garment Digital Printing Forum, S.No 6692,	
Nadives, Mali Galli, Pangle Wada, Miraj,	
Sangli	

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	Tanveer Garments	2.00
2	Raval Textiles	2.00

3	Satish Texcom	8.00
4	Swara Textiles	2.00
5	Ghorpade Weaving Mills	2.00
6	Khot Garment	3.00
7	Sharad Garment	2.00
8	Mane Textile	1.00
9	Sahara Garment	8.00
10	Shri Ganesh Textiles	1.00
11	Aadhesh Textiles	8.00
12	Shardul Texile	8.00
13	Hindavi Fashion	8.00
14	Vishwvijay Garment	1.00
15	Sairaj Garment	1.00
16	Rajvardhan Garment	1.00
17	Manthan Garment	1.00
18	Aarohi Apparels	8.00
19	Shubham Apparels	1.00
20	Shalan Textiles	1.00
21	Mohan Textiles	1.00
22	Surekha Textiles	1.00
23	Sumeet Textiles	1.00
24	Yadav Drycleaners	1.00
25	Morya Sadi Center	1.00
26	Pariwar Mahila Garment	1.00
27	A T Traders	1.00
28	Aaravabhi Enterprises	1.00
29	Nihal Enterprises	1.00
30	Diamond Garments	1.00
31	Akshay Textile	1.00
32	Dhaga	1.00
33	Mangal Industries	1.00
34	Smita Enterprise	1.00
35	Kamal Textiles	1.00
36	Dhanashri Enterprise	1.00
37	Gitanjali Textiles	1.00
38	Kavita Textiles	1.00
39	Manisha Industries	2.00
40	Sonali Industries	2.00
41	Gauri Textiles	2.00
42	Kavita Enterprise	2.00
43	Surekha Industries	2.00
44	Kusum Textiles	2.00
45	Sarika Enterprise	1.00

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium

96 05 0

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

Miraj,(In Dist. Sangli) is a large hub of garment textile industry but still digital Printing facility is not available in this area. Digital printing facility can provide small batch size work which is very useful. Entrepreneurs are using readymade printed fabric as a raw material Currently, MSEs are going to Surat, Ahmedabad, Chennai or Mumbai for this facility due to unavailability of digital printing facility at local level.

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

It provides more choices, features and flexibility than older methods such as offset or flexographic printing. Today, we expect printed materials to be accurate and up to date $\hat{a} \in$ clients need printers to be able to produce cost effective, high quality, short run color printing in the fastest possible time frame.

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Fabric purchased- Drafting-cutting- stitching-button stitching-hand work- Regular Washing- ironing- packing-	Design Development- Fabric Stable Process-Digital Printing Process- Colour Fixation-Unwanted Colour Removing - Washing-Drying- Chemical Finishing- Fabric Shrinkage Process- Fabric Inspection

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

No

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	146	250
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in crore)	45.89	70.00
3	Employment (Numbers)	625	1200
4	Export (Rs. in crore)	0.00	1.00

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

The proposed facilities in CFC are found technically feasible and viable for all the stakeholders. In supply chain backward linkages (Raw material suppliers, logistics) and in forward linkages (Finished good transporters, Garment Industry) has been well established because of good road and rail connectivity as well as airport at Kolhapur and Belagavi. Road Infrastructure (State Highway-National highways) close to CFC would enhance productivity of the micro units.

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

The proposed machinery (Facilities) for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfil the existing near future needs of the cluster members in order to meet the quality of textile printing to fulfil changing and demanding fashion trends in textile sector. This CFC would provide opportunity for cluster members to explore and capture global textile and RMG sectors.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

SPV members will be able to make use of CFC facilities to the tune of 60-65, in the first year however partial operationalization of the installed facilities in CFC would be given priority and services will be offered to micro units. And also determined to enhance to 80 percent in coming 5 years. CFC in this area would generate employment and encourage self-employment for upcoming generation.

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

The technical viability and commercial viability has been prima-facie assessed taking into consideration Govt. norms fixed by the Govt. to assess Economical viability of the project. The norms like ROCE, IRR, BEP, NPV have been found in the desirable range and limits.

26. Proposed faculties:

- A. Common New Design Development Centre
- B. Common Pre Treatment Facility
- C. Digital Printing Facility
- D. Common Post Treatment Facility
- E. Common Embroidery Facility
- F. Skilled Development Centre

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Description	No.	Cost				
1.	Common Design Development & Training Centre						
1.1	Desktop (computer) with touch Pad	10	27.72				
1.2	HP Laptop 15-DK0271 TX 2 2.95						
1.3	Epson L3110 Multi-function Color Printer	3	0.71				
1.4	HP Laser Jet M1005 Multifunction Printer	2	0.59				
1.5	Design Centre Richphil Software & Machine	1	199.44				
2	Common Pre Treatment Facility						
2.	Stenter	1	129.80				
3	Digital Printing Facility						
3.1	Cotton Fabric Digital Printing Machine	1	507.40				
3.2	Polyester Fabric Digital Printing Machine	1	501.50				
4	Common Post Treatment Facility						
4.1	Thermic Fluid Heating System	1	21.24				
4.2	3 Bowl Calendar Machine	1	30.27				
4.3	Loop ager Machine	1	66.80				
4.4	Hydro Extractor	1	11.25				
4.5	Fabric Washing 1 24.21						
4.6	Fabric Winch Washing 1 14.87						
4.7	Fabric Inspection Machine	1	2.95				

Grand T	otal	1761.46		
Total		73.91		
2.	Internal Electrification	1	70.91	
1.	Fire Fighting equipment's	1	3.00	
Misc F	ixed Assets		1687.55	
6.2	Steam Boiler	28.32		
6.1	ETP	49.09		
6.	Utilities	-	,	
5.1	Embroidery Machine	68.44		
5	Common Embroidery Facility			

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Clarification on duration of registered land sale/lease deed as per MSE-CDP guidelines.
- (ii) Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- (iii) Certificate for Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- (iv) Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- (v) Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Textile & Garment digital Printing Cluster, Miraj, Dist. Sangli, Maharashtra, at a total project cost of Rs. 1999.80 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1599.84 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 149.99 lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 199.98 lakh and Bank loan 49.99 lakh.

Agenda No 58.3.4: Proposal for final approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Precision Metatech Tools Cluster, Bhosari, District Pune, Maharashtra.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 78th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 23.12.2021/24.12.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide later dated 02.03.2022, Government of Maharashtra forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Not Provided
(x)	Documents related to loan agreement /sanction of loan from the bank should be submitted.	Provided
(xi)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal	Not Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- 1. Name of the Cluster & Location: Metatech Tools Cluster, Bhosari, Pune, Maharashtra
- 2. Sector: Mechanical
- **3. Nature of activity:-** Various types of cutting tools for the operations on High end technologies Machines, customized requirement of tool making for specific operations by automobile OEMs. Polycrystalline diamond tools and Poly cubic boric Nitride tools used for ferrous and Non-ferrous alloys Material. High accuracy micro tools required for Child parts for electronics as well as electronic vehicle manufacturing. Precision tools manufacturing for Medicinal implants Aerospace Industry, Import substitution in defense
- **4. Product / Services provided:- •**Specialized cutting tools like, Reamer, Drill, Endmill, Profile Endmill, Ball nose cutter, Micro drill, Combination Profile cutter, Tripning tools, Slitting cutter, T-slot Cutter, Back Chamfer Tool, Step Drill, Lugged Carbide Profile Tool, Brazed carbide profile cutter, Lug cum brazed step profile cutter, Milling Cutter, Profile Inserts, Grooving Tools, Turning and milling profile insert, Milling cartage, VSR bite, Threading Tools, firtree cutter, etc

- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 70 Nos. (65 Micro Units & 5 Small Units)
- **6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST units-03, Women Enterprises-10
- 7. No. of SPV units:-30
- **8.** Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV)

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
1.	Land & Building	0.00	0.00
2.	Plant & Machinery	2085.16	2085.16
3.	Misc. Fixed Assets	6.72	6.72
4.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	12.10	12.10
5.	Contingencies on Plant and Machineries & Building	104.26	104.26
6.	Margin money for working capital	2.76	2.76
	Total Project Cost	2211.00	2211.00

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal Report
(i)	Government of India grant	80.00%	1768.80	1768.80
(ii)	Government of Maharashtra	6.79%	149.91	149.91
(iii)	SPV Contribution	10.00%	221.10	221.10
(iv)	Bank loan to be arranged by SPV	3.21%	71.19	71.19
	Total	100.00%	2211.00	2211.00

^{* 88.44%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 2000.00 Lakh as per MSE-CDP guidelines.

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name	of IA:-	DC	(industries),	Government	of	Email:-dicluster@maharashtra.gov.in
Maharasl	htra, Mun	ıbai				-
Name of	f State	Gover	nment:- DC	MSME Govt	of	Email:- dicluster@maharashtra.gov.in
Maharasl	htra					
Name of	SPV & A	Addres	s:- M/S Prec	itool India		Email:- mpbirangal@gmail.com
forum P	vt Ltd J	18 Bh	osari ,MIDC,	Pune-411026)	

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	Global Tools	10.00
2	Ramshette Industries	2.00
3	Vivek Enterprises	5.00
4	Sai Profile	2.00
5	Divansh Enterprises	5.00
6	Sai Krupa Steel	3.00
7	S.D. Enterprises	2.00
8	Impact Precision	2.00
9	Mascot Solutions	2.00
10	Machine Art	2.00
11	Yelmesh Engg.	2.00
12	Sai Enterprises	5.00
13	Rama Industries	4.00
14	Arhan Industries	4.00
15	Progressvie Engg.	5.00
16	Prashant Engg.	5.00
17	Virendra Engg.	5.00
18	Swami Industries	2.00
19	Sakshi Enterprises	2.00
20	Shiva Enterprises	4.00
21	Jupiter Enterprises	2.00
22	Aru Industries	4.00
23	Nana Industries	4.00
24	Abhijeet Tools Center	2.00
25	Omkar Engg.	2.00
26	R.S. Enterprises	2.00
27	Ajit Industries	2.00
28	Talent Engg.	2.00
29	Subhlaxmi Enterprises	5.00
30	HRS Enterprises	2.00

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium

35 05 0

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

Cutting Tools manufacturing enterprises engaged in catering to T2, T1 and OEM constitutes 30-35 percent requirement. Invariable cutting tools requirement based on various operations to be performed on precision jobs makes this industries invaluable and found with the scope of getting enhanced in tierization and value chain. At present OEM and other sector likes Medical implants, Aeronautical industries and defense procurement emphasis on new product development , import substitution.

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

Common Facility Center - State of Art HI-end technology to leverage MSEs to become Cost Competitive and enhance the capabilities in order to achieve high precision, zero PPM, High Quality components to meet the requirement of international standards. Readily available skilled work force, scope for Re-engineering and import substitution with the establishment of New Development Center and Design Center also Export Facilitation Center to explore avenues abroad.

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Cutting - Turning - Shank Rough Grinding - Shank Lapping - Flute Cutting - Flute	R & D Center (New product development) - Carbide, PCD, PCBN tip cutting - Silver vaccum brazing machine - PCD , PCBN grinding machine - PCD, PCBN face with radius or chamfer or profile formation m

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

No

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	70	120
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in crore)	78.19	98.90
3	Employment (Numbers)	875	1200
4	Export (Rs. in crore)	0	2.0

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

The activities proposed CFC are technically feasible and viable in line with the existing backward linkages and forward linkages as the raw material suppliers and freight and forward services are available (effective Supply Chain exist in the Cluster) Individual MSEs production capabilities would enhances in view of introduction of HI-end Technology CFC as the Value Chain improvement with cost competitiveness would generate more business and export and give rise to new product development

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

Setting up of HI-end Technology would fulfill the requirement of MSEs in terms of reducing production cycle time in their respective enterprises also attainment of precision would enhance the possibilities of Export and conducive environment for new product development, innovative designs, re-engineering, value engineering.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

In present circumstances the SPV members as well as the non members in the cluster have agreed to make us of the proposed CFC facility to the extend 85 percent after the establishment of CFC from first year 60 percent to 85 percent in 3 years. As the proposed facilities are required for all 156 MSEs presently are the part of the cluster.

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

Technical viability have been ascertained in the context of utilization of machinery, present technology and the challenges faced by MSEs in absence of proposed machinery in their respective enterprises. User charges would be moderate to the members and non members as the facilities would required to the resulted in cost competitiveness with zero PPM and high quality components.

26. Proposed faculties:

- Design and New Product Development Centre
- Common Presicion Machining Centre
- > Common Vacuum Brazing Facility
- Common Skilled Development Centre
- Common Inspection Facilities
- Export Facilitation Centre

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Description	No.	Cost
1.	Rotary Tools Wire Erosion Machine 1		464.32
2.	Indexable Insert Grinding Machine	1	420.18
3.	Micro Tools Grinding Machine	1	412.54
4.	Robocut Electric Discharge Machine	1	117.51
5.	CNC Optical profile Grinding Machine	1	351.04
	Total		1765.59
	Common Vaccum Brazing Facility		
6.	Vaccum Silver Brazing Machine	1	77.58
	Common Inspection Facility		

7.	Non-Contactable Measuring Machine	1	167.47
	Common design and new product development		
8.	Simulation and analyzing operating system	1	65.96
	Utilities		
9.	Air Compressor	1	8.56
	Misc. Fixed Assets		
10	Fire Fighting system	-	3.89
11	Computer, Laptop and printer	-	2.83
Total 2091.88			

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be (in English language)
- (ii) Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- (iii) Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- (iv) Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Metatech tools Cluster, Bhosari, Dist. Pune, Maharashtra, at a total project cost of Rs. 2211.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1768.80 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 149.91 lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 221.10 lakh and Bank loan 71.19 lakh.

Agenda No.58.3.5: Proposal for Final approval for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenwalle, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended during 74th meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 01.02.22 (received on 11.02.22), MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval.
- (iii) Vide letter dated 07.05.21, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docun	nents required	Status
(i)	Appraisal Report of Detailed Project Report.	Provided.
(ii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.	Provided.
(iii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., If the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the panchanama report that the land has been taken possession by TSIIC, Dy. Zonal Manager. State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc.
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided
(v)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana/TSIIC.	Provided
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost.	Provided
(vii)	Approval of Competent Authority for Flatted Factory Complex (FFC) layout and building plan.	Provided
(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	Provided
(ix)	Number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of	Not Provided.

	each unit along with their names/sector	
(x)	Undertaking from State Government that more	Provided.
	than 50% of units will be allotted for	
	accommodating Micro/SC/ST/Women	
	Enterprises, as the case may be, for availing more	
	than 60% Gol grant.	
(xi)	The provision for vertical expansion minimum of	Not Provided.
	G+2 construction should be made in the building	
	for Flatted Factories.	
(xii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded	Not Provided.
	by State share.	

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.)
State	:	Telangana
District	:	Rangareddy
Industrial Estate	:	Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenvalle

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description				
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Yes.				
Total Area of Flatted Factory Complex / area (acre)	7.60				
Area to be developed (acre)	5.70				
Number and sizes of plots to be developed					
Implementing period	24 mont	hs			
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district.	Up-gradation of Industrial Park at Autonagar, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy, Telangana.				
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 2 nos. Ongoing Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 11 nos.				

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.
Track Record of the IA	IA has prior experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record is satisfactory.	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted.	Provided.
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	at project site. The area identified for the development of the Flatted Factory Complex is well connected by all modes of transport i.e. road, rail and airport. Site is well connected by road network. The nearest railway station to the site is	
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)		mentioned in the panchanama report that the land has been taken possession by TSIIC, Dy. Zonal Manager. State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	State level committee has been constituted.	Provided
Whether confirmation received form IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by State Govt. / IA.	Provided

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Basis of elements of project cost	Estimates have been prepared based on TS SSR – 2020-21 for the development of Flatted Factory Complex at Industrial Park at Chandenvalle.	Provided
Tangible Outcomes of the project	The development of infrastructure in the I Chandenvalle will attract new units and investments of about Rs. 39.00 crore by th two years. The FFC is expected to genera of 234 and indirect employment of 46 employment potential (both direct and including with aggregate estimated turnover of about	FFC is expected to induce e new units within the next the both direct employment 8 and the estimated total direct) would be about 702
Justification of the Proposal	The development of Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenvalle for MSEs in Technical Textile Sector, Electrical Vehicle sector ancillaries is expected to induce investments through new entrants. In addition, the FFC is expected to generate both direct employment of 234 and indirect employment of 468 and the estimated total employment potential (both direct and indirect) would be about 702 with aggregate estimated turnover of about Rs. 52 crore.	-

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP / Recommended by SIDBI
1.	Land Development and other	overhead infrastrı	ucture	
(i)	Cost of construction of Flatted Factory including land filling / levelling including boundary ball / fencing	710.00	725.00	700.00
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	101.85	102.54	100.00
(iii)	Roadside greenery and social forestry	10.00	10.00	10.00
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks & pump house	100.02	100.00	100.00
(v)	Water harvesting	10.00	10.00	10.00
(vi)	Drainage	64.58	69.00	60.00
(vii)	Power (Substation and distribution network including streetlight etc.) Generation of non-conventional energy	246.67	250.00	250.00
(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00	20.00	10.00

	Sub Total	1253.12	1286.54	1240.00				
2.	Administrative and other se	Administrative and other services complex						
(i)	Administrative Office Building	21.00	29.17	20.00				
(ii)	Telecommunication/Cyber Centre/Document Centre	21.00	27.68	20.00				
(iii)	Conference Hall / Exhibition centre	35.00	29.17	29.17				
(iv)	Bank / Post Office	21.00	17.77	17.77				
(v)	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	35.00	29.17	29.17				
(vi)	First Aid Centre, Creche, Canteen Facilities	21.00	21.04	20.00				
	Sub Total	154.00	154.00	136.11				
3	Effluent Treatment Facilities	82.00	80.50	80.00				
4.	Contingencies & pre-operative expenses	20.00	20.00	20.00				
	Total project cost	1509.12	1541.04	1476.11				

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1185.36	1180.89	1180.88*
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Telangana	323.76	360.15	360.16
	Total	1509.12	1541.04	1541.04

^{*80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1476.11 lakh.

6. Observations:

- As per MSE-CDP guidelines under Infrastructure Development component Second/subsequent Project in a district will be considered only if earlier approved Project is completed in all respects. However, the project of up-gradation of Industrial Park at Autonagar, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy is already going on under MSE-CDP in the same district. Therefore, the second project for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenwalle, Ranga Reddy District may be considered only after completion of the first project (up-gradation of Industrial Park at Autonagar, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy) in all respects and completion report is submitted. The funding pattern and other conditions would also be as per the guidelines en-force at that time.
- (B) (i) Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the panchanama report that the land has been taken possession by TSIIC, Dy. Zonal Manager. State Government to clarify whether the

- land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc.
- (ii) Details of number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit along with their names/sector required.
- (iii) Confirmation required regarding the provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.
- (iv) Documents regarding cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.

7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the proposal for **Final Approval** subject to above observations for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Chandenwalle, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1541.04 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1180.88 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 360.16 lakh.

Agenda No.58.3.6: Proposal for Final approval for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Jadcherla, Mahbubnagar District, Telangana.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended during 74th TEAC meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 01.02.22 (received on 11.02.22), MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval.
- (iii) Vide letter dated 01.04.21, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docum	nents required	Status
(i)	Appraisal Report of Detailed Project Report.	Provided.
(ii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.	Provided.
(iii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., If the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	The document issued by Tahasildar, Balanagar mentions that the land acquired in favour of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Therefore, the land document in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., is required. English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided.
(v)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana/TSIIC.	Provided.
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost.	Provided.
(vii)	Approval of Competent Authority for Flatted Factory Complex (FFC) layout and building plan.	Provided.
(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	Provided.
(ix)	Number of vertical floors, number of	Not Provided.

	proposed units to be accommodated floorwise and area of each unit along with their	
	names/sector	
(x)	Undertaking from State Government that more than 50% of units will be allotted for accommodating Micro/SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be, for availing more than 60% Gol grant.	Provided.
(xi)	The provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.	Not Provided.
(xii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.	Not Provided.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.)
State	:	Telangana.
District	:	Mahabubnagar
Industrial Estate	:	Flatted Factory Complex at Jadcherla

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Yes.
Total Area of Flatted Factory Complex / area (acre)	811
Area to be developed (acre)	2.85
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	Unit Size (in sq. ft.) – 883 No. of units - 26
Implementing period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district.	Nil.
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 2 nos. Ongoing Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 11 nos.

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks	
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.	
Track Record of the IA	IA has prior experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record is satisfactory.		
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted.	Provided.	
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Yes. All the basic facilities are available at project site. The nearest railway station to the site is Gollapalli station located at about 3 km. The nearest airport to the project site is the Rajiv Gandhi International Airport at Hyderabad located at 73 km from the project site. The nearest ports to the project site are Krishnapatnam port and Kakinada Deep Water Port. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited and Transmission corporation of Telangana limited are responsible for undertaking bulk supply and distribution in the area. A 132/33 KV Sub Station exists near the project site.		
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes.	The document issued by Tahasildar, Balanagar mentions that the land	
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes.	acquired in favour of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Therefore, the land document in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., is required. English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	State level committee has been constituted.	Constituted
Whether confirmation received form IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by State Govt. / IA.	Provided.
Basis of elements of project cost	Estimates have been prepared based on TS SSR – 2020-21 for the development of Flatted Factory Complex at Industrial Park at Jadcherla, Mahabubnagar District.	Provided.
Tangible Outcomes of the project	The development of infrastructure in the (FFC) at Green Industrial Park, Jadcherla is expected to induce investments of Rs units within the next two years. The FFC both direct and indirect employment. The estimated to be about 312 (nos.). The indit to be created by the cluster would be about 2024. Thus, the estimated total employ about 936 (nos.) with aggregate estimate 52.00 crore.	will attract new units. FFC . 39.00 crore by the new C is expected to generate total direct employment is rect employment expected out 624 (nos.) by the year
Justification of the Proposal	TSIIC has already allotted about 500 acres of plotted land in Green Industrial Park (GIP)Jadcherla for 92 industrial units. The current major industrial activities in this GIP are Pharmaceutical formulations, Ayurvedic Medicines, General Engineering, Institutional area, Plastic and Food / Agri processing units. Since most of the allotted units are medium and large-scale industries, there is a potential for micro and small industries in their allied activities. More than 50 units in the region (Jadcherla & Mahbubnagar area who have established units in IP & GIP Jadcherla) have expressed interest in setting up their units within the proposed FFC which are related General Engineering sector and other allied sectors. The average built space required per unit is in the range of 800-1000 s. ft.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommen ded by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
1.	Land Development and other over	head infras	structure		
(i)	Cost of construction of flatted factory including land filling / levelling including boundary ball / fencing	700.00	735.23	735.23	700.00*
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	89.00	75.00	75.00	75.00
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	103.42	103.42	103.42	103.42
(v)	Water harvesting	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
(vi)	Drainage	53.40	57.00	57.00	57.00
(vii)	Power (Substation and distribution network including streetlight etc.) Generation of non-conventional energy	245.27	248.00	248.00	248.00
(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00	20.00	20.00	10.00
	Sub Total	1221.09	1258.65	1258.65	1213.42
2.	Administrative and other service	ces comple	ex		
(i)	Administrative Office Building	21.00	29.92	22.00	20.00
(ii)	Telecommunication/Cyber Centre/Document Centre	21.00	28.40	22.00	20.00
(iii)	Conference Hall & Exhibition centre	35.00	29.92	29.92	29.92
(iv)	Bank / Post Office	21.00	18.23	18.23	18.23
(v)	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	35.00	29.92	29.92	29.92
(vi)	First Aid Centre, Creche, Canteen Facilities	21.00	21.60	20.28	20.00
	Sub Total	154.00	157.99	142.35	138.07
3	Effluent Treatment Facilities	65.40	79.00	79.00	79.00
4.	Contingencies & pre-operative expenses	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
	Total project cost	1460.49	1515.64	1500.00	1450.49

^{*}Cost of the Component – 'Construction of Flatted Factory including land filling/levelling including boundary ball / fencing is Rs. 7.00 crore maximum' as per guidelines. Therefore, the eligible project cost has been revised accordingly. The variation of 10% max. is admissible in component-wise cost, subject to keeping overall project cost unchanged is applicable for final expenditure.

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1161.19	1160.40	1200.00	1160.39*
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Telangana	299.30	355.24	315.64	355.25
	Total	1460.49	1515.64	1515.64	1515.64

^{*80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1450.49 lakh.

6. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) The document issued by Tahasildar, Balanagar mentions that the land acquired in favour of APIIC Ltd., Hyderabad. Therefore, the land document in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., is required. English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.
- (ii) Details of number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit along with their names/sector required.
- (iii) Confirmation required regarding the provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.
- (iv) Documents regarding cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.

7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for Final Approval subject to above observations for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Jadcherla, Mahbubnagar District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1515.64 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1160.39 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 355.25 lakh.

Agenda No.58.3.7: Proposal for Final approval for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Madikonda, Warangal District, Telangana.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended during 74th TEAC meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 01.02.22 (received on 11.02.22), MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval.
- (iii) Vide letter dated 01.04.21, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docun	nents required	Status
(i)	Appraisal Report of Detailed Project Report.	Provided.
(ii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.	Provided.
(iii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., If the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	Land document submitted is panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that land is handed over by MRI Hanamkonda to the APIIC Ltd officials on 24.10.09. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided.
(v)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana/TSIIC.	Provided.
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost.	Provided.
(vii)	Approval of Competent Authority for Flatted Factory Complex (FFC) layout and building plan.	Provided.

(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of	Provided.
	GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	
(ix)	Number of vertical floors, number of proposed units	Not Provided.
	to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit	
	along with their names/sector	
(x)	Undertaking from State Government that more than	Provided.
	50% of units will be allotted for accommodating	
	Micro/SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be,	
	for availing more than 60% Gol grant.	
(xi)	The provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2	Not Provided.
	construction should be made in the building for	
	Flatted Factories.	
(xii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by	Not Provided.
	State share.	

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.)	
State	:	Telangana.	
District	:	Warangal Urban	
Industrial Estate	:	: Flatted Factory Complex at Madikonda	

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Yes.
Total Area of Flatted Factory Complex / area (acre)	101.33
Area to be developed (acre)	5.74 (out of allotted area of 6.65 acre, setbacks and buffer are removed).
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	Unit size (in sq. feet) – 883 No. of units - 26
Implementing period	24 months
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district.	New Industrial Estate at Madikonda Village, Warangal District. The project already completed.
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID)

Projects – 2 nos.			
Ongoing	Infrastructure	Development	(ID)
Projects –	11 nos.		

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks	
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.	
Track Record of the IA	IA has prior experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record is satisfactory.		
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted.	Provided.	
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Yes. All the basic facilities are available at project site. The nearest railway station to the site is Kazipet railway station located at about 8 KM. The nearest airport to the project site is the Rajiv Gandhi International Airport at Hyderabad located at 165 KM southwest of project site. Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited and Transmission Corporation of Telangana Limited are responsible for undertaking bulk supply and distribution in the area. A 33/11 kv sub station is located at a distance of 3.5 KM east. Water for the proposed FFC can be sourced from Mission Bhagiratha Pipeline. The site is accessible only via kutcha single lane internal road which is not in existence at present.		
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes.	Land document submitted is panchanama report in	
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes.	local language alon with translated copy. is mentioned in the report that land handed over by MR Hanamkonda to the APIIC Ltd officials of	

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
		24.10.09. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	State level committee has been constituted.	Provided.
Whether confirmation received form IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by State Govt. / IA.	Provided.
Basis of elements of project cost	The estimates are based on Telangana State Standard Schedule of Rates (SSR) – 2020-21 for development of FFC at Madikonda.	Provided.
Tangible Outcomes of the project	The development of infrastructure in the Fl Madikonda will attract new units. FFC M induce investments of Rs. 39.00 crore by next two years. The FFC is expected to indirect employment. The total direct employment about 312 (nos.). The indirect employment the cluster would be about 624 by the year attotal employment potential would be about estimated turnover of about Rs. 58.50 crore.	Iadikonda is expected to the new units within the generate both direct and byment is estimated to be expected to be created by 2024. Thus, the estimated 1936 nos. with aggregate
Justification of the Proposal	The development of Flatted Factory Complex will attract new units. TSIIC has recently setup about 364 units at Madikonda MSE Industrial Park (Phase – 1) with an investment of about Rs. 546 Crore. The land has been allotted in the Textile park for setting up of individual units (processing), the Textile Association in order to minimize the cost have adopted shed like model ranging from 2000 to	

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
	3000 Sq. ft. The association has informed that they were not able to accommodate all its members in the allotted land parcel in phase – 1 and hence are seeking additional built up space for 26 members (micro units) in the proposed FFC which are related to Textile Sector.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP
1.	Land Development and other overhead infrastructure				
(i)	Cost of construction of flatted factory including land filling / levelling including boundary ball / fencing	700.00	748.00	748.00	700.00
(ii)	Cost of laying roads	98.80	103.50	103.50	100.00
(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
(iv)	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	76.85	76.85	76.85	76.85
(v)	Water harvesting	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
(vi)	Drainage (Internal)	59.25	76.00	76.00	60.00
(vii)	Power (Substation and distribution network including streetlight etc.) Generation of non-conventional energy	251.45	248.00	248.00	248.00
(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00	20.00	20.00	10.00
	Sub Total	1216.35	1292.35	1292.35	1214.85
2.	Administrative and other services	s complex			
(i)	Administrative Office Building	21.00	29.74	29.74	20.00
(ii)	Document Centre	21.00	28.22	28.22	20.00
(iii)	Conference Hall / Exhibition centre	35.00	29.74	29.74	29.74
(iv)	Bank / Post Office	21.00	18.12	18.12	18.12
(v)	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	35.00	29.74	29.74	29.74
(vi)	First Aid Centre, Creche, Canteen Facilities	21.00	21.44	21.44	20.00
	Sub Total	154.00	157.00	157.00	137.60
3	Effluent Treatment Facilities	61.40	80.00	80.00	80.00
4.	Contingencies & pre-operative expenses	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00

Total project cost	1451.75	1549.35	1549.35	1452.45
1 0	, ,		I .	

*Cost of the Component – 'Construction of Flatted Factory including land filling/levelling including boundary ball / fencing is Rs. 7.00 crore maximum' as per guidelines. Therefore, the eligible project cost has been revised accordingly. The variation of 10% max. is admissible in component-wise cost, subject to keeping overall project cost unchanged is applicable for final expenditure.

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE- CDP
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1153.04	1161.95	1161.95	1161.95*
(ii)	Government of Telangana / IA	298.71	387.40	387.40	387.40
	Total	1451.75	1549.35	1549.35	1549.35

^{*80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1452.45 lakh.

6. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Land document submitted is panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that land is handed over by MRI Hanamkonda to the APIIC Ltd officials on 24.10.09. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
- (ii) Details of number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit along with their names/sector required.
- (iii)Confirmation required regarding the provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.
- (iv) Documents regarding cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.

7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for Final Approval subject to above observations for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Madikonda, Warangal District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1549.35 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1161.95 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 387.40 lakh.

Agenda No. 58.3.8: Proposal for Final approval for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram, Siddipet District, Telangana.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended during 74th TEAC meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
 - (ii) Vide letter dated 01.02.22 (received on 11.02.22), MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval.
 - (iii) Vide letter dated 10.05.21, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docun	nents required	Status		
(i)	Appraisal Report of Detailed Project Report.	Provided.		
(ii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.	Provided.		
(iii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., If the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that the Government land was handed over to Manager (AM), TSIIC, Siddipet. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.		
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided.		
(v)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana/TSIIC.	Provided.		
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost.	Provided.		
(vii)	Approval of Competent Authority for Flatted Factory Complex (FFC) layout and building plan.	Provided.		

(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	Provided.
(ix)	Number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floorwise and area of each unit along with their names/sector	Not Provided.
(x)	Undertaking from State Government that more than 50% of units will be allotted for accommodating Micro/SC/ST/Women Enterprises, as the case may be, for availing more than 60% Gol grant.	Provided.
(xi)	The provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.	Not Provided.
(xii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.	Not Provided.

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.)
State	:	Telangana.
District	:	Siddipet
Industrial Estate	:	Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars	Description			
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Yes.			
Total Area of Flatted Factory Complex / area (acre)	4.76			
Area to be developed (acre)	4.76			
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	No. of u	e (in sft.) – 883 nits – 26 d land use breakup: Land use Flatted Factory Complex including admin. Facilities CFC / Utilities Parking Road Network Open Space Total Area	0.33 1.23 1.87 0.29 1.04 4.76	% of total area
Implementing period	24 mont	hs		

Other ID projects sanctioned in same district.	New Industrial Estate at Mandapally, Siddipet District, Telangana.
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 2 nos. Ongoing Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 11 nos.

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	
Track Record of the IA	IA has prior experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record is satisfactory.	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted	Provided.
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Yes. All the basic facilities are available at project site. The site is located at about 20 km to the north of Hyderabad ORR, SH-1 meets ORR at Shamirpet Junction. The nearest railway station to the project site is the Rajiv Gandhi International Airport at Hyderabad located at 70 km south of project site. The nearest port to the project site are proposed Machilipatnam port and Kakinada Deep Water Port. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited and Transmission Corporation of Telangana Limited are responsible for undertaking bulk supply and distribution in the area. A 132/33 KV substation exists in 4 km from the project site at Tuniki Bollaram village. Skilled and unskilled labour can be sourced from nearby villages.	
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes.	translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that the Government land was handed over to Manager (AM), TSIIC, Siddipet. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
		and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted		Provided.
Whether confirmation received form IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by State Govt. / IA.	Provided.
Basis of elements of project cost	The estimates are based on Telangana State Standard Schedule of Rates (SSR) – 2020-21 for the development of Flatted Factory Complex at Industrial Park, Tuniki Bollaram, Siddipet District, Telangana	Provided.
Tangible Outcomes of the project	The development of infrastructure in the Flatted Factory Complex Tuniki Bollaram will attract new units and FFC is expected to induction investments of about Rs. 18.20 crore by the new units within the new two years. The FFC is also expected to generate both direct are indirect employment and the estimated total employment potenti (both direct and indirect) would be about 780 nos. with aggregates estimated turnover of about Rs. 39.00 crore.	
Justification of the Proposal	The development of Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram for MSEs in agro and food processing based sector is expected to induce investments through new entrants to the agriculture and allied industrial segments in the region. In addition, the development of FFC is expected to generate direct & indirect employment opportunities.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	IA (during	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI/ As per MSE-CDP
1.	Land Development and other overhead	infrastructur	e	
(i)	Cost of construction of flatted factory including land filling / levelling including boundary ball / fencing	710.00	753.50	700.00*

(ii)	Cost of laying roads	51.80	39.00	39.00
(iii)	Road side greenery / Avenue Plantation	10.00	10.00	10.00
(iv)	Water supply System	98.81	98.81	98.81
(v)	Water harvesting structures	10.00	10.00	10.00
(vi)	Storm Water Drainage	27.02	31.50	31.50
(vii)	Power Supply	287.32	248.00	248.00
(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00	20.00	10.00
	Sub Total	1204.95	1210.81	1147.31
2.	Administrative and other services co	omplex		
(i)	Administrative and Services Centre	21.00	30.30	20.00
(ii)	Telecommunication/Cyber Centre/Document Centre	21.00	28.76	20.00
(iii)	Conference Hall & Exhibition centre	35.00	30.30	30.00
(iv)	Post Office/Bank	21.00	18.46	18.46
(v)	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	35.00	30.30	30.30
(vi)	First Aid Centre, Creche, Canteen Facilities	21.00	21.86	20.00
	Sub Total	154.00	159.98	138.76
3	Effluent Treatment Plant	75.00	77.00	77.00
4.	Contingencies & pre-operative expenses	20.00	20.00	20.00
	Total project cost	1453.95	1467.79	1383.07

^{*}Cost of the Component – 'Construction of Flatted Factory including land filling/levelling including boundary ball / fencing is Rs. 7.00 crore maximum' as per guidelines. Therefore, the eligible project cost has been revised accordingly. The variation of 10% max. is admissible in component-wise cost, subject to keeping overall project cost unchanged is applicable for final expenditure.

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	As per MSE- CDP / Recommended by SIDBI
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	1118.10	1106.46	1106.45*
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Telangana	335.85	361.33	361.34
	Total	1453.95	1467.79	1467.79

^{*80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1383.07 lakh.

6. Observations:

(A) As per MSE-CDP guidelines under Infrastructure Development component - Second/subsequent Project in a district will be considered only if earlier approved Project is completed in all respects. However, the project for setting up of new Industrial Estate at Mandapally, Siddipet District is already going on under MSE-CDP

in the same district. Therefore, the second project for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram, Siddipet District may be considered only after completion of the first project (new Industrial Estate at Mandapally, Siddipet District) in all respects and completion report is submitted. The funding pattern and other conditions would also be as per the guidelines en-force at that time.

- (B)— (i) Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that the Government land was handed over to Manager (AM), TSIIC, Siddipet. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
 - (ii) Details of number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit along with their names/sector required.
 - (iii) Confirmation required regarding the provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.
 - (iv) Documents regarding cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.

7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the proposal for Final Approval subject to above observations for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Tuniki Bollaram, Siddipet District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1467.79 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1106.45 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 361.34 lakh.

Agenda No.58.3.9: Proposal for Final approval for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana.

Background

- (iv) Proposal was recommended during 74th TEAC meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 25.02.21 subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (v) Vide letter dated 01.02.22 (received on 11.02.22), MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval.
- (vi) Vide letter dated 07.05.21, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad has submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docun	nents required	Status
(i)	Appraisal Report of Detailed Project Report.	Provided.
(ii)	Copy of order of constitution of State Level Steering Committee to coordinate and monitor progress.	Provided.
(iii)	Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency i.e. TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad with clear title, complying zoning regulations and non agricultural conversion etc., If the land document is in local language, English version duly vetted by MSME-DI may be submitted.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that Government assigned land in Sy. No. 727, 735, 736, 737,756 & 757 measuring Acs. 82-10 Gts. was handed over to Manager (AM), TSIIC Ltd., on 21.08.19. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
(iv)	Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost.	Provided.
(v)	TSIIC should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainages built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Telangana/TSIIC.	Provided.
(vi)	Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of component-wise cost estimates of project cost.	Provided.
(vii)	Approval of Competent Authority for Flatted Factory Complex (FFC) layout and building plan.	Provided.
(viii)	Certificate from State Government for compliance	Provided.

	of GFR/CVC guidelines in prescribed format.	
(ix)	Number of vertical floors, number of proposed	Not Provided.
	units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of	
	each unit along with their names/sector	
(x)	Undertaking from State Government that more	Provided.
	than 50% of units will be allotted for	
	accommodating Micro/SC/ST/Women	
	Enterprises, as the case may be, for availing more	
	than 60% Gol grant.	
(xi)	The provision for vertical expansion minimum of	Not Provided.
	G+2 construction should be made in the building	
	for Flatted Factories.	
(xii)	Cost of land filing & levelling should be funded	Not Provided.
	by State share.	

Details of the proposal are as under:

1. Pre-registration Information

Name of Organization	:	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.)
State	:	Telangana.
District	:	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri
Industrial Estate	:	Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur

2. Basic Information about Proposal:

Particulars		Descr	iption	
Whether appraised DPR with approved layout plan received or not	Yes.			
Total Area of Flatted Factory Complex / area (acre)	6.17			
Area to be developed (acre)	6.17			
Number and sizes of plots to be developed	No. of u	e (in sq. feet) – 883 nits – 26 revised DPR, propos	ed breakup of	land use is as
	S. No.	Land use	Area in acres	% of total area
	1.	Flatted Factory Complex	0.33	5
	2.	CFC / Utilities	0.78	13
	3.	Road Network	1.24	20
	4.	Parking	0.68	11
	5.	Open Space	0.79	13
	6.	Future Expansion Area	2.35	28
		Total Area	6.17	100
	Out of 6	.17 acre land, the FFO	building is ei	nvisaged with a
	total built up area of around 44000 sft. (Ground Floor			round Floor –
	15220 sft. First and second floor – 14390 sft. Each) covering			Each) covering
	a plot area of 0.33 acres. It is proposed to allocate to approx. 26 units with an average			1.1
	space al	location of 883 sft pe	prox. 26 units or r unit spread ac	with an average cross ground (7

	units), first (9 units) and second (10 units) floors.		
Implementing period	24 months		
Other ID projects sanctioned in same district.	Up-gradation of Industrial Park at Bhongir, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri, Telangana.		
Performance of ID projects in State	Completed Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 2 nos. Ongoing Infrastructure Development (ID) Projects – 11 nos.		

3. Details about Proposal:

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
Implementing Agency (IA)	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad.	As per MSE-CDP guidelines.
Track Record of the IA	IA has prior experience in development of Industrial areas. Track record is satisfactory.	
Appraisal by SIDBI (Observations and recommendations). Attach SIDBI report.	Submitted.	Provided.
Whether sufficient facilities available at site. (Proximity to railway stations / state highways, availability of water supply, adequate power supply, telecom facilities, dwelling places of workers)	Yes. All the basic facilities are available at project site. The region is well connected to other parts of the country. The nearest airport is the Rajiv Gandhi International airport at Hyderabad located at about 35 km from project site. The estimated power demand for the FFC is 4.5 MVA. The power demand is proposed to be sourced from the new HT yeard / DTRs. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited and Transmission Corporation of Telangana Limited are responsible for undertaking bulk supply and distribution in the area. The water demand for FFC includes the industrial water requirement (units in the FFC building) and domestic water requirement, common utilities / amenities requirement, fire fighting demand, requirement for green area maintenance etc.	
Whether land is in possession in the name of IA with Clear Title	Yes.	Land document submitted is a panchanama report in
Whether Zoning regulations and non-agricultural conversion etc complied with)	Yes.	local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that Government assigned land in Sy. No. 727, 735, 736, 737,756 & 757 measuring Acs. 82-10 Gts. was handed over to

Description	Proposal by Implementing Agency (IA)	Remarks
		Manager (AM), TSIIC Ltd., on 21.08.19. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
Whether State Level Committee to coordinate and monitor progress has been constituted	State level committee has been constituted.	Provided.
Whether confirmation received form IA that it will meet the cost in excess of approved project cost and any escalation in cost	Yes, Escalation (if any) in the project cost shall be met by State Govt. / IA.	Provided.
Basis of elements of project cost	The estimates are based on Telangana State Standard Schedule of Rates (SSR) – 2020-21 for the development of Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana.	Provided.
Tangible Outcomes of the project	The development of infrastructure in the Fl at Dandumalkapur will attract new units. expected to induce investments of Rs. 26.00 within the next two years. The FFC is also both direct and indirect employment. The to is estimated to be about 260 and indirect en be created by the cluster would be about Thus, the estimated total employment pot 780 with aggregate estimated turnover of ab	FFC Dandumlkapur is crore by the new units expected to generate otal direct employment employment expected to 520 by the year 2024. Sential would be about
Justification of the Proposal	The development of Flatted Factory Complex for MSEs in General Engineering Sector is expected to induce investments through new entrants to the engineering and allied industrial segments in the region. In addition, the development of FFC is expected to generate direct & indirect employment opportunities. Based on the existing profile of the industries in the region and sector, an attempt has been made to quantify the expected benefits of the FFC.	

4. Proposed Project Cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Propose d by IA (during 74th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE-CDP	
1.	Land Development and other overhead infrastructure					
(i)	Cost of construction of flatted factory including land filling / levelling including boundary ball / fencing	700.00	674.50	674.50	674.50	
(ii)	Cost of laying roads (Laying of 5.5 M wide roads – CC Roads)	71.20	73.00	73.00	73.00	
(iii)	Road side greenery / Avenue Plantation	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
(iv)	Water Supply System	121.45	117.50	117.50	110.00	
(v)	Water harvesting structures	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
(vi)	Storm water drainage	53.40	52.00	52.00	52.00	
(vii)	Power supply	245.27	246.00	246.00	246.00	
(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00	20.00	20.00	10.00	
	Sub Total	1221.32	1203.00	1203.00	1185.50	
2.	Administrative and other se	rvices coi	mplex			
(i)	Administrative and Services Centre	21.00	30.12	30.12	20.00	
(ii)	Telecommunication/Cyber Centre/Document Centre	21.00	28.58	28.58	20.00	
(iii)	Conference Hall & Exhibition centre	35.00	30.12	30.12	30.00	
(iv)	Post Office/Bank	21.00	18.35	18.35	20.00	
(v)	Raw material storage facility, marketing outlets	35.00	30.12	30.12	30.12	
(vi)	First Aid Centre, Creche, Canteen Facilities	21.00	21.71	21.71	20.00	
	Sub Total	154.00	159.00	159.00	140.12	
3	Effluent Treatment Facility	67.23	78.00	78.00	78.00	
4.	Contingencies & pre-operative expenses	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	
	Total project cost	1462.55	1460.00	1460.00	1423.62	

5. Proposed means of finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars		Proposed by IA (during 74 th TEAC)	As per revised DPR submitted to SIDBI	Recommended by SIDBI	As per MSE- CDP
(i)	Grant-in-aid	from	1153.68	1137.57	1137.57	1138.89*

	Govt. of India				
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from	308.87	322.43	322.43	321.11
	Govt. of Telangana				
	Total	1462.55	1460.00	1460.00	1460.00

^{*80%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 1423.62 lakh.

6. Observations:

- (A) As per MSE-CDP guidelines under Infrastructure Development component Second/subsequent Project in a district will be considered only if earlier approved Project is completed in all respects. However, the project for up-gradation of Industrial Park at Bhongir, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District is already going on under MSE-CDP in the same district. Therefore, the second project for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, may be considered only after completion of the first project (up-gradation of Industrial Park at Bhongir, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri) in all respects and completion report is submitted. The funding pattern and other conditions would also be as per the guidelines enforce at that time.
- (B) (i) Land document submitted is a panchanama report in local language along with translated copy. It is mentioned in the report that Government assigned land in Sy. No. 727, 735, 736, 737,756 & 757 measuring Acs. 82-10 Gts. was handed over to Manager (AM), TSIIC Ltd., on 21.08.19. Therefore, State Government to clarify whether the land document is in the name of TSIIC Ltd., Hyderabad as per MSE-CDP guidelines and whether land require non agriculture conversion etc. State Govt. to submit supported documents also.
 - (ii) Details of number of vertical floors, number of proposed units to be accommodated floor-wise and area of each unit along with their names/sector required.
 - (iii) Confirmation required regarding the provision for vertical expansion minimum of G+2 construction should be made in the building for Flatted Factories.
 - (iv) Documents regarding cost of land filing & levelling should be funded by State share.

7. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the proposal for Final Approval subject to above observations for construction of Flatted Factory Complex at Dandu Malkapur, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1460.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1138.89 lakh and State Government contribution of Rs. 321.11 lakh.

Agenda No.58.3.10 (S): Proposal for final approval for establishment of Marketing Hub (Women Entrepreneurs International Trade & Technology Centre) at Nandigama Village, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District, Telangana.

Background

- (i) Proposal was recommended during the 77th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 30.06.21, subject to submission of requisite documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 07.03.22, MSME-DI., Hyderabad has forwarded the SIDBI appraisal report and revised DPR along with their recommendation for final approval. MSME-DI, Hyderabad has also submitted the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval:

Docum	nents required	Status
(i)	As agreed during the meeting, Govt. of	Vide letter dated 08.07.21, Principal
	Telangana shall contribute 10% of project cost. Commitment letter from State	Secretary, Govt. of Telangana has committed to release the state's share of
	Government with respect to the State share	10% of the total project cost.
	contribution.	10% of the total project cost.
(::)		Tand dament related to an Assessment
(ii)	The name of the SPV is Women Entrepreneurs International Trade and	Land document submitted is an Agreement for sale and transfer of title & ownership.
	Technology Centre (WE ITTC) as per Focus	ALEAP vide agreement to sale dated
	report of MSME-DI, Hyderabad /DPR.	05.01.22 has agreed to transfer the title &
	However, the land document is in the name	ownership of the above plot no. 24&25,
	of Association of Lady Entrepreneurs of	aggregating 2052 sq. yards to WE ITTC
	Andhra Pradesh. Therefore, a registered land	(SPV) at a total sale consideration of Rs.
	document in the name of SPV is required.	98.50 lakh. Registered land document
	document in the name of \$1 \tau is required.	executed in the name of SPV is required.
(iii)	Project Appraisal Report.	SIDBI appraisal Provided.
(iv)	Details of shareholding pattern of SPV	Provided. However, unit address of SPV
	members with unit details (name and	members is not mentioned in shareholding
	address) required.	pattern of SPV and it is not signed by SPV.
(v)	Commitment letter from SPV for their	The commitment letter from SPV submitted
	contribution.	for their contribution of Rs. 200.00 lakh.
(vi)	Commitment letter from State Government /	Commitment letter from SPV is received.
	SPV to meet the escalation cost, if any, over	
	and above the approved project cost	
	required.	
(vii)	Certificate from State Government for	Provided
	compliance of GFR/ CVC guidelines.	
(viii)	Certificate from State Government stating	Provided
	that more than 50% units in the cluster are	
	Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the	
	case may be for availing more than 60% GoI	
(:- \)	grant.	Ni-4 Durai da d
(ix)	Details of project specific bank account /	Not Provided.
	Mandate form of Implementing Agency	
(**)	required.	Dustridad
(x)	Name of Implementing Agency is not	Provided
	mentioned in DPR. However, as per focus	

	report the implementing agency is	
	Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure	
	Corporation (TSIIC). Letter from State	
	Govt. nominating Telangana State Industrial	
	Infrastructure Corporation (TSIIC) as	
	implementing & fund receiving agency is	
	required.	
(xi)	Documents regarding newspaper	Not Provided. Document regarding wide
	advertisement/wide publicity may be	publicity may be submitted.
	provided.	
(xii)	No. of cluster units shown in online portal	Not Provided. No. of cluster units shown in
	differs that of figures in DPR which require	online portal is 200 nos. and that in DPR is
	clarification.	2000 nos., which may be clarified.
(xiii)	Copy of signed minutes of State level	Not Provided.
	steering committee.	

Details of Cluster

- 1. Name of the cluster: Marketing Hub & Exhibition Centre (Women Entrepreneurs International Trade & Technology Centre)(WE ITTC) at Nandigama Village, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District, Telangana.
- **2. Location :** Nandigama Village, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District, Telangana.
- **3. Product / Services provided :-** The proposed Marketing Hub & Exhibition Centre is being set up by WE ITTC with an aim to have a one stop marketing and service centre, to facilitate export led economic development for women entrepreneurs in the State of Telangana with export-oriented products, particularly focused on the following sectors:

Garments, Food processing, Packaging & printing, Pharmaceuticals, Information Technology, Biotechnology, Biodegradable, Handicrafts and handlooms, Electronics, Building Materials, Diagnostics, Solar and allied services and other Green initiative industries.

4. Number of units in cluster (Micro, Small & Medium) :

No. of units in the cluster: 2000 Nos.

(a) Micro – 1800 (b) Small – 200

5. Name of the SPV and its address: Women Entrepreneurs International Trade and Technology Centre (WE ITTC) registered under section 8 company. Sy. No. – 342, ALEAP Industrial Estate, Near Pragathi Nagar, Opp. JNTU, Hyderabad, Pin

Sy. No. – 342, ALEAP Industrial Estate, Near Pragathi Nagar, Opp. JNTU, Hyderabad, Pin – 500090, Telangana.

6. **No. of SPV members units:** 50 nos. BDS Provider – 1 No. (ALEAP India)

- 7. Implementing Agency: Telangana State Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (TSIIC Ltd.), Hyderabad
- 8. Turnover of cluster during last three years:

Year	Amount(Rs. in crore)
2017-18	200
2018-19	250
2019-20	300

9. **Export, if any:** 10% (approx.)

10. **Details of land & building:**

S. No.	Particulars	Description		
(i)	Land & building	Land: Plot no. 24 & 25, aggregating 2052 sq. yards land is available @ Nandigama, Sanga Reddy District, Telangana		
		Building & Civil works including GST (includes boundary wall, foot paths & land scaping, overhead tank, sump, borewell, underground drainage, internal water supply, sanitary arrangements and electrification)		

11. Facilities proposed:

Main facilities being proposed:

Ground Floor

- Exhibition catalogue display area.
- Counseling room.
- Consultancy & certification room.
- Canteen with the small kitchen along with generator room, security, power distribution, washrooms and fire fighting etc.

1st Floor

- Admin block.
- · Audio Visual Center.
- Auditorium for 20 people.
- Conference Hall.
- B to B.
- Library.
- E-commerce facility.
- I.T. Facilities.

2nd Floor

- Conference Hall 200 capacity
- Training halls
- Design center includes value addition.

12. Expect outcomes / deliverables (with respect to cluster units):

S. No.	Particulars	Before Intervention	After Intervention
(i)	No. of units (Nos.)	2000	2200
(ii)	Employment (Nos.)	20000	25000
(iii)	Production (MT)	10000	12000
(iv)	Export (Rs. in crore)	10	20
(v)	Investment (Rs. in crore)	100	120
(vi)	Turnover (Rs. in crore)	100	120
(vii)	Profit (Rs. in crore)	5	6

13. Proposed component-wise project cost:

(Rs. in lakh)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Proposed by	As per revised DPR	As per SIDBI/MSE-
		IA (during 77th TEAC)	submitted to	CDP
		//til IE/IC/	SIDBI	CDI
1.	Land Cost	98.00	98.50	98.50
2.	Land Development & Other Overhead	40.56	0.00	0.00
	Infrastructure			
3.	Building & Civil works including	404.39	577.62	400.00
	GST (includes boundary wall, foot			
	paths & land scaping, overhead tank,			
	sump, borewell, underground			
	drainage, internal water supply,			
	sanitary arrangements and			
	electrification)			
4.	Plant & Machinery	281.00	196.90	196.90
5.	Furniture, Interiors & Miscellaneous	128.05	128.05	128.05
	Fixed Assets			
6.	Statutory, Electricity & Other Deposits	5.00	0.00	0.00
7.	Preliminary & Pre-operative Expenses	43.00	148.93	148.93
	Total	1000.00	1150.00	972.38

14. Proposed means of Finance:

(Rs. in lakh)

Particulars	Proposed by IA	As per revised	As per
	(During 77th TEAC)	DPR	SIDBI/MSE-CDP
		submitted to	
		SIDBI	
Government of India grant	700.00	680.00	680.00*
State Government contribution	100.00	97.00	97.00
SPV Contribution	102.00	195.38	195.38
Additional Contribution from	98.00	177.62	177.62
ALEAP / SPV			
	1000.00	1150.00	1150.00

^{*69.93%} of eligible project cost of Rs. 972.38 lakh.

15. List of Proposed Plant & Machinery/ Equipment:

(Rs. in lakh)

S.No.	Description	Total Cost
1.	Kitchen Equipment	3.00
2.	Maintenance Equipment	3.00
3.	Security and Firefighting Equipment	4.00
4.	Audio Visual Equipment	2.41
5.	Electrical (Generator, Solar, etc)	15.00
6.	Communication Equipment	5.00
7.	Digital Colour Xerox Printer	24.78
8.	Computers, Laptops, Internet Facilities, Telephones, etc	25.00
9.	Permanent Marketing Screens at Exhibition Halls	31.81
10.	Air-conditioning	45.00
11.	STP	18.40
12.	Lifts	19.50
_	Total	196.90

16. **Observations:**

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- (i) Registered land document executed in the name of SPV is required.
- (ii) List of SPV members and shareholding pattern submitted. However, unit address of SPV members is not mentioned in shareholding pattern of SPV and it is not signed by SPV. Revised list with unit address signed by SPV required
- (iii) Details of project specific bank account / Mandate form of Implementing Agency required.
- (iv) Document regarding wide publicity may be submitted.
- (v) No. of cluster units shown in online portal is 200 nos. and that in DPR is 2000 nos., which may be clarified.
- (vi) Copy of signed minutes of State Level Steering Committee is required.
- (vii) The commitment letter from SPV submitted for their contribution of Rs. 200.00 lakh. Revised commitment letter from SPV for their revised contribution is required.

17. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the proposal for **Final Approval** for establishment of Marketing Hub (Women Entrepreneurs International Trade & Technology Centre) at Nandigama Village, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District, Telangana at a total project cost of Rs. 1150.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 680.00 lakh, State Government contribution of Rs. 97.00 lakh, SPV contribution of Rs. 195.38 lakh and additional contribution of Rs. 177.62 lakh from ALEAP /SPV.

Agenda No 58.3.11: Proposal for Final Approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Chennai, Tamilnadu.

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 76th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 15.06.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 04.02.2022, TANSIDCO forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Not Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Provided
(x)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.	Not Provided
(xi)	Hard copy of Detailed Project Report.	Provided
(xii)	Copy of Focus report signed by Director, MSME-DI, Chennai	Provided
(xiii)	Copy of News Paper advertisement in respect of the proposal	Provided
(xiv)	Copy of SLSC Minutes recommending the proposal for consideration of TEAC.	Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- 1. Name of the Cluster & Location: Gold Jewellery Cluster, Chennai, Tamil Nadu
- 2. Sector: Metallurgy
- **3. Nature of activity: -** Gold Jewellery Making activities which include gold melting, sheeting and wire drawing, casting, polishing and electroplating, enamel painting and machine cutting, testing.
- **4. Product / Services provided:- •** Gold Testing Facility Gold Melting Facility Sheet Wire Drawing Facility Chain Making Facility CNC for Bangle Ring Facility Polishing Facility Finishing Facility Gold Refining Facility Lazer Welding Facility Lazer Marking Facility Lazer

Cutting Facility • Casting Facility • Training Facility • R D and Product Design (CAD CAM) Facility • Diamond Checking Facility

- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 1389 Nos. (All Micro)
- **6. Category wise unit in cluster:-** SC/ST units-00, Women Enterprises-02
- **7. No. of SPV units:-** 64
- **8.** Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV)

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal report
(i)	Land & Site Development	30.00	0.00
(ii)	Building & Civil Work	31.22	61.22
(iii)	Plant & Machinery including electrification	862.35	862.35
(iv)	Miscellaneous Fixed Assets	39.15	39.15
(v)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	20.54	20.54
(vi)	Contingency (2% on building & 5% on P&M)	43.74	43.74
(vii)	Margin money for working capital	5.00	5.00
	Total Project Cost	1032.00	1032.00

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by SIDBI/MSE- CDP
(i)	Government of India grant	79.98%	825.42	825.42*
(ii)	Government of Tamil Nadu contribution	10.00%	103.20	103.20
(iii)	SPV Contribution	10.02%	103.38	103.38
	Total	100.00%	1032.00	1032.00

^{*79.98%} of project cost 1032.00 Lakh

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name of IA:- Tamilnadu Small Industries Development Corporation Limited (TANSIDCO)				Email:- dgmadt.sidco@gmail.com	
Name of State Government:- Tamil Nadu			nent:-]	Email:- sindsec@tn.gov.in	
Name	of	SPV	&	Address:-CHENNAI	Email:-

AAPARANAM MAKERS

No.5 Muthiah Mudali Street Old Washermanpet, Chennai, 600021.

Location of CFC:- No. 1-B, Edapalayam Street, Park Town, Chennai-600003.

9840487868, 9884074093, 9962346005, 928347052

4,9710199771

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	R.S Gold Smith	1.21
2	Sri Raghavendra Jewel Works	1.21
3	Santhosh Diamond Jewel Manufacturer	0.19
4	Sri Veei Jewellers	0.24
5	Bhagavan Gold Works	1.45
6	Vijaya kumar Jewellers	1.21
7	Ems Jewellers	1.21
8	Pm Jewellery Works	1.21
9	M.S. Jewel Works	0.97
10	Gurunathan Gold Smith	1.21
11	Murugaa Moulding Work	0.24
12	ASM Gold Crafts	0.48
13	RR Gold	0.97
14	EMS Jewel Industries	0.24
15	D S Jewel Manufacturing	0.24
16	Isha Jewel Tech	0.24
17	Sri Kaligambal Jewellery	0.48
18	Dilli Achari Enterprises	0.48
19	Rama Gold Smith	1.93
20	Gnanaprakash Gold Smith	0.24
21	M.D Gold Works	0.48
22	M.S. Gold Crafts	0.24
23	S K Gold Smith	0.73
24	Jayam Jewel Tech	1.21
25	Siva Sangari Gold Smith	0.73
26	Geetha Jewellery	0.73
27	Prathik Gems Jewel Pvt Ltd	1.21
28	Mgn Jewellers	1.21
29	Sri Murugan Jewel Makers	0.73
30	Msp Nagai Thozhilagam	0.73
31	Sri Maasi Gold House	0.24
32	Jyothi Jewellery	0.48
33	Durga Gold Smith	0.48
34	D Veerasanlingam Gold Works	0.97
35	Vasudevan Gold Smith	0.24
36	Natarajan Huf	0.73

37	D V S Gold And Silver Smith	0.48
38	V.M.T.V Jewellers	1.21
39	Babu Jewellery	0.97
40	S K J Jewels Works	1.21
41	Paramasivam	0.73
42	Ramuachary Sons	0.73
43	Sree Raaj Mahall Jewellery	0.24
44	Sri Sorna Sai Jewellery	1.69
45	Sundaram Gold Works	0.73
46	Jayavel Gold Smith	0.97
47	Selva Vinayaga Machine Works	0.73
48	Amma Gold Smith	1.21
49	Nandhini Jewellers	1.21
50	T A Gold WORK	0.73
51	Amirtha Gold Smith	1.45
52	S Mani And Sons	1.21
53	Swamy Thulabharam	0.73
54	Sri Venkateswara Works	0.24
55	Siva Jewel Works	1.21
56	G Rajandran Gold Smith	1.21
57	R N K Jewel Works	0.48
58	CKC Venkateswara Jewels	0.73
59	Dhinesh Gold House	1.21
60	Visweshwara Jewel Makers	0.97
61	K Kalaiselvi Punitha Nagai Thozhilagam	1.21
62	V V Jewel Works	0.24
63	T Thangavel Achary Jewel Works	0.97
64	VRB Jewel Works	0.24

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium 104 0 0

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

• The cluster members do not use modern and sophisticated technology. • Many units still following traditional methods • Household type of venture • Unorganised sector • Obsolete machineries tools with cluster members – Need for technology infusion. • Limited market. Mostly doing job work to Traders and Wholesalers Retailers and for few end consumers. • Over dependence on Traders • Poor Backward and Forward integration • Poor R D activity – No new design development by cluster units

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

Awareness on the Modern Jewellery making Technology. Lack of Credit for Investment in Latest Technology Machineries Lack of knowledge on latest trends in design and machineries Poor Backward and Forward integration Poor Marketing tie-ups Poor R D activity – No new design development by cluster units Large scale production of similar products not possible Lack of inhouse testing facilities Lack of training to work with modern machines Need for CAD CAM facilities for creating new designs

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	All Basic operations	Gold melting for bulk order works
2	Tool and die operation for preparation of base materials	Chain making (continuous length)
3	Handmade Jewellery items	Bangle making (continuous length)
4	Stone setting, enamel engraving work	Lazer Cutting Lazer welding works
5	Gold Quality assessment	Casting working
6	Hook attachment for Chains	Hook making
7	Pendent attachment for Chains	Coin making (bulk)

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

No

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	1389	1600
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in crore)	106.80	150.00
3	Employment (Numbers)	10000	12000
4	Export (Rs. in coroe)	0	0

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

The proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable. Backward and forward linkages are detailed in the Concept Note attached with this document.

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

All the machineries proposed for the CFC are with latest technology and are adequate to fulfil the existing and near future needs of the cluster.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

The SPV members are having he capacity to utilise 60 of the proposed production capacity of CFC.

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

User charges for various facilities have been arrived to cover the operating expenses of the CFC and with a small margin for the CFC. The Charges arrived are reasonable and are slightly less than the market rates. The cost of machinery has been arrived based on quotations obtained from machinery supplier taking into account all the incidental cost such as freight, packing, installation and other duties and taxes.

26. Proposed faculties:

- Gold Testing Facility
- Gold Melting Facility
- Sheet & Wire Drawing Facility
- Chain Making Facility
- Polishing Facility
- Finishing Facility
- Gold Refining Facility
- Lazer Welding Facility
- Lazer Marking Facility
- Lazer Cutting Facility
- Casting Facility
- Training Facility
- R & D and Product Design (CAD / CAM) Facility
- Diamond Checking Facility

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakhs)

					(NS. III lakiis)
Sl. No	Machine Name	No	Power	Unit Price	Total Price
A	GOLD CHECK FACILITY				
1	Gold- X-Ray Assaying Machine	1	70W, 1-Phase	9.25	9.25
1	Gold XRF Machine	1	70W, 1-Phase	40.58	40.58
2	Micro Weighing Balance	1	10W, 1-Phase	18.71	18.71
	Electronic Weighing Balance - 3.0		1011,111,000	10171	101,1
3	Kg	2	10W, 1-Phase	0.98	1.96
	Electronic Weighing Balance - 1.0		10 ,, 1 1 11450	0.50	1.50
4	Kg	2	10W, 1-Phase	0.98	1.96
	Electronic Weighing Balance - 0.5		10 W, 1-1 Hase	0.76	1.70
5	Kg	2	10W, 1-Phase	0.98	1.96
	Sub Total		10 W, 1-1 Hase	0.76	74.42
В	MELTING FACILITY				74.42
		1	1 5 V.W. 1 Dhaga	0.29	0.29
1	Coil Heating Machine – 1 Kg	1	1.5 KW, 1-Phase	0.38	0.38
2	Induction Heating Machine – 5 Kg	1	3.5 KW, 3-Phase	5.1	5.1
3	Induction Heating Machine – 2 Kg	11	2.5 KW, 1- Phase	2.76	2.76
4	Heating Burnout Furnace Setup	1	4 KW 3-phase	1.81	1.81
5	Cublation Furnace	1	2.5kw 1-phase	0.96	0.96
6	Scrubber for Furnace	1	1.5kw 3 phase	4.25	4.25
7	Fuming Cupboard	1	1.5 kw 1-phase	2.66	2.66
	Sub Total				17.92
C	SHEETING & DRAWING				
C	FACILITY				
	Sheet, Wire Drawing & Half		2 HP 1-phase		
1	Round, Nice Wire Drawing- Roller				
	Machine	2		1.79	3.58
2	Gold Wire Threading Machine	1	0.5KW 1-phase	6.38	6.38
3	Gold Screw Machine	1	0.5KW 1-phase	6.38	6.38
	Sub Total		1		16.34
4	CHAIN MAKING FACILITY				
<u>a.</u>	Curb Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
b.	Cable Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
c.	Ball Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
d.	Box Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
e.	Diamond Cut Chain	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
f.	Diamond Step Cut Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
	Millennium Twist Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
g.		1	1HP, 1-Phase		
h.	Rope Chain Machine			7.44	7.44
i.	Round Ball Chain Machine	1	1HP, 1-Phase	5.31	5.31
j.	Chain Soldering Machine	11	1.50 kW, 1-Phase	0.43	0.43
k.	Chain – Joint Machine	1	1KW, 1-Phase	2.55	2.55
1.	Hammering Machine for Chain	1	1 HP, 1-Phase	4.25	4.25
m.	Chain Hook Machine	1	1.50 kW, 1-Phase	2.55	2.55
5	Coin Die Punching Machine	1	4KW, 1-Phase	11.91	11.91
6	Hand Cutting Machine	1	1 HP, 1-Phase	2.44	2.44
7	CNC For Bangle and Rings	1	2HP, 1-Phase	74.4	74.4
8	CNC Horizontal Ball Cutting	1	2HP, 1-Phase	3.21	3.21

Sl. No		No	Power	Unit Price	Total Price
	Machine				
9	CNC Vertical Ball Cutting		2HP, 1-Phase		
_	Machine	1		3.21	3.21
10	Pressing & Die Work Machine				
	A. Hand Ball Press	1	4KW, 1-Phase	0.77	0.77
	B. Die Hand Press – Number 2		4KW, 1-Phase		
	Pres	1		0.77	0.77
	C. Pneumatic Press	1	4KW, 1-Phase	7.44	7.44
11	Gold Pipe Making Machine	1	2 KW, 1-Phase	7.44	7.44
12	Tube Forming Machine	1	2 KW, 1-Phase	7.44	7.44
13	Gold Wire Threading Machine	1	1hp 1-phase	4.89	4.89
14	Dies – Different Designs set	1		25.51	25.51
15	Universal Bench	5	3HP 3-Phase	1.382	6.91
	Sub Total				216.04
D	POLISHING FACLITY				
1	Drum Polish with Different Pins		1.5 kw 1-phase		
1	with 2kg	1	1	0.23	0.23
2	Magnetic Polish with Different		1 kw 1 - Phase		
2	Pins	1		0.6	0.6
3	Ultrasonic Unit	1	600 W	0.96	0.96
4	Double Head Buffing Motor with		0.5 HP		
4	Suction	2		1.38	2.76
5	Steam Bath	2	1.5 kw 1-phase	1.53	3.06
	Universal Bench with Fore dam for		1.5 kw 1-phase		
6	Filing	4		1.3825	5.53
_	Sand Blaster with Compressor		1.5 kw 1-phase		
7	Motor (Wet)	1	1	2.55	2.55
	Sand Blaster with Compressor		1.5 kw 1-phase		
8	Motor (Dry)	1	1	0.85	0.85
	Electro Plating (GPC) With		1.5 kw 1-phase		
9	Rhodium	1	r	1.59	1.59
10	Enamel Plating Machine	1	1.5 kw 1-phase	8.5	8.5
11	Rhodium Machine	1	3kw 1 phase	1.59	1.59
	Sub Total		1		28.22
E	FINISHING FACILITY				
1	Universal Cutting Machine	1	2HP, 1-Phase	3.93	3.93
2	Horizontal Cutting Machine	1	2HP, 1-Phase	3.72	3.72
3	Vertical Cutting Machine	1	2HP, 1-Phase	3.72	3.72
4	Microscope with CCTV Attached	1	1hp 1-Phase	5.42	5.42
-	Sub Total		F =		16.79
F	REFINING				
	Aqua Regia System with Scrubber				
1	& Cooling Condenser complete		7kw 1 phase		
1	setup	1		18.2	18.2
	Sub Total				18.20
G	COMMON LASER FACILITY				
			2 KW 1 Phase x		
1	Laser Welding	2	2	18.07	36.14

Sl. No	Machine Name	No	Power	Unit Price	Total Price
2	Laser Marking	1	1kw 1 phase	26.57	26.57
3	Laser Cutting Machine	1	100kw 1 phase	81.17	81.17
	Sub Total				143.88
Н	COMMON CASTING FACILITY				
1	Vulcaniser	1	1kw 1 phase	0.45	0.45
2	Wax Injector Machine	1	1kw 1 phase	2.02	2.02
3	Investment Mixer	1	2kw 1 phase	7.61	7.61
4	Burn-Out Furnace (Dewaxer)	1	2kw 1 phase	1.81	1.81
5	Vacuum Casting Machine	1	2.5 KW 3 Phase	40.39	40.39
6	Casting Accessories Like Dies, Crucible, Wax, Investment Powders	aat			11.60
7	Water Jet Machine	set	Ohn 2 mhaaa	1.01	11.69
/		1	2hp 3 phase	1.91	1.91
I	Sub Total COMMON TRAINING FACILITY				65.88
	Projector	1	800 w 1 Phase	0.65	0.65
	Work Bench For Training	12	800 w 1 Phase	0.42	5.04
	Computer	10	800 w 1 Phase	0.8	8.00
	Writing Board	2	800 w 1 Phase	0.2	0.40
	Subtotal				14.09
J	SUPPORT EQUIPMENTS				
	QC & Microscope	5	200 w 1 Phase	0.2	1.00
	Air Conditioner	15	1.5Ton 16A 1 Phase	0.45	6.75
	UPS FOR Select Equipment	1	800 w 1 Phase	0.08	0.08
	UPS/Online Power Backs up	1	800 w 1 Phase	0.16	0.16
	Voltage Stabilizer with Accessories 150kv	1	800 w 1 Phase	0.12	0.12
	Electric Generator(diesel)	1	100KVA/80KW 3 Phase	9	9.00
	Sub Total				17.11
K	COMMON CAD-CAM FACILTIY				
1	Jewel Design - Jewel Cad	2	software	7.31	14.62
2	Jewel Design - Jewel Studio	1	software	5.85	5.85
3	3D CAM Printing	1	1.5 kw 1 Phase	95.66	95.66
4	3d CAM Scanner	1	1.5 kw 1 Phase	95.66	95.66
	Sub Total				211.79
L	COMMON CALIBRATION FACILITY				
1	Calibration Facility	1	2 kw 3-Phase	12.76	12.76
	Sub Total				12.76
M	DIAMOND TESTING FACILITY				
	As per Quotation			8.91	8.91
	Sub Total				8.91

Sl. No	Machine Name	No	Power	Unit Price	Total Price
	FINAL TOTAL				862.35

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- 1. Clarification on duration of registered land sale/lease deed as per MSE-CDP guidelines.
- 2. Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- 3. Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- **4.** Share holding Pattern as per MSE-CDP Guidelines.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Chennai, Tamil Nadu at a total project cost of Rs. 1032.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 825.42 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 103.20 lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 103.38 lakh.

Agenda No 58.3.12 (S): Proposal for Final approval for Setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

Background:

- (i) Proposal was recommended in the 76th Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) held on 15.06.2021 subject to submission of following documents prior to final approval.
- (ii) Vide letter dated 08.02.2022, TANSIDCO forwarded the following documents and requested to consider the proposal for final approval.

S. No.	Documents required	Status
(i)	Registered land sale/lease document in the name of SPV as per MSE-CDP guidelines.	Provided
(ii)	Project Appraisal Report.	Provided
(iii)	Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company	Not Provided
(iv)	Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be.	Not Provided
(v)	Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.	Not Provided
(vi)	Certificate for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines to be submitted by State Government	Provided
(vii)	Hard copy of online application, as per new guidelines	Provided
(viii)	Submission of shareholding pattern	Not Provided
(ix)	Compliance of all requirements/provisions/ columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC	Provided
(x)	Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.	Not Provided
(xi)	Hard copy of Detailed Project Report.	Not Provided
(xii)	Copy of Focus report signed by Director, MSME-DI, Chennai	Provided
(xiii)	Copy of News Paper advertisement in respect of the proposal	Not Provided
(xiv)	Copy of SLSC Minutes recommending the proposal for consideration of TEAC.	Provided

Details of the proposal are as under:

- 1. Name of the Cluster & Location:- Gold Gem and Jewellery Cluster, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu
- **2. Sector:-** Metallurgy
- **3. Nature of activity:-** Compilation of all activities and services relating to manufacturing of Gold ornaments.

- **4. Product / Services provided:-** Testing fire Assaying of Gold Jewellery, Melting Refining of Gold, Jewel Component making, Jewel Link Manufacturing, Jewel Casting, Jewel Stone Setting, Jewel Polishing Plating, Finishing QC of Gold jewellery, Gem Laboratory Structured Training in Jewel Making.
- **5. Number of units in cluster:-** 1500 Nos. (All Micro)
- 6. Category wise unit in cluster:- SC/ST units-00, Women Enterprises-05
- **7. No. of SPV units:-** 60
- **8.** Number of cluster units agreed to join as members of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV):- (There is no ceiling on maximum number of members but should be a min. of 20 MSEs in SPV. However, in special cases where considerations of investments, technology or small size of cluster, 10 MSEs may be considered in SPV)

9. Proposed project cost:-

(Rs. in lakh)

Sr. No	Particulars	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal report
(i)	Land & Premises	124.84	124.84
(ii)	Building	65.16	66.46
(iii)	Plant & Machinery	1183.20	1233.70
(iv)	Miscellaneous Fixed Assets	85.61	85.61
(v)	Preliminary	4.39	14.39
(vi)	Pre-operative Expenses	10.00	
(vii)	Contingency @ 5% on Plant & Machinery	50.50	0.00
(viii)	Contingency @ 2% for building	1.30	0.00
(ix)	Working Capital Margin	5.00	5.00
	Total Project Cost	1530.00	1530.00

10. Proposed means of finance:-

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed by IA	Recommended by Appraisal report
(i)	Government of India grant	77.17%	1180.70	1180.70
(ii)	Government of Tamil Nadu contribution	10.00%	153.00	153.00
(iii)	SPV Contribution	12.83%	196.30	196.30
	Total	100%	1530.00	1530.00

^{*77.17%} of project cost 1530.00 Lakh

11. Details of Implementing Agency / State Govt./ SPV:-

Name	of	IA:-	Tamilnadu	Small	Industries	Development	Email:- dgmadt.sidco@gmail.com
Corpor	atio	n Limi	ted (TANSII	OCO)			

Name of State Government:- Tamil Nadu	Email:- sindsec@tn.gov.in
Name of SPV & Address:-Coimatore Goldsmith's Jwellery Private Limited. 44, 1 st Floor, Dharmaraja Kovil Street, Coimbatore, U.P. 641001. 9894087871	

12. Whether share holding pattern of SPV members has been provided? {No single unit should hold more than 10 percent in the equity capital (or equivalent capital contribution) of the SPV}

S. No.	Name	Share (%)
1	Sri Anjali Chain Cutting works	1.67
2	Kamal And Co	1.67
3	Sri Ragavendra Machine Cutting Works	1.67
4	Thiru Aala Chain Designer	1.67
5	Aag Silvers	1.67
6	Rekha Jewellers	1.67
7	PKS Jewel Crafts	1.67
8	Vignesh Jewel Manufacturers	1.67
9	Rps AND Sons Jewel Manufacturers	1.67
10	Ssvv Jewel Works	1.67
11	Mr And Son Jewel Manufacturers	1.67
12	Sri Tharun Jewellers	1.67
13	Kishore And Company	1.67
14	Lvs Jewels	1.67
15	Nirmala Jewellers	1.67
16	Kmj Jewellers	1.67
17	Best Gold Refiners	1.67
18	MD Gold Jewellery Manufacturers	1.67
19	SM Jewellers	1.67
20	Selvi Jewellers	1.67
21	Hari Shree Jewellers	1.67
22	SVS Jewel Tech	1.67
23	PN Jeweller	1.67
24	Yoga Shri Ornaments	1.67
25	Mithran Jewel Manufacturers	1.67
26	Sri Venkadeswara Die Works	1.67
27	Chinnappa Gold Castings	1.67
28	Sri Ranga Jewellers	1.67
29	Thulja bhavani Jewels	1.67
30	JIT Brothers	1.67
31	Nam Jewel Craft	1.67
32	Dsp Ornaments Manufacturer	1.67
33	Kma Ornaments Manufacturing	1.67
34	Suresh Designer	1.67
35	Sreyasam	1.67

36	viji sannakambi shop	1.67
37	Mdr Jewellers	1.67
38	Siva Bangle	1.67
39	Aditya Jewells	1.67
40	Dharani Co	1.67
41	Swasthik Jewels	1.67
42	Surabi Gold	1.67
43	Punitham Jewells	1.67
44	Dharshan Jewel Crafters	1.67
45	Alagu Sahana Works	1.67
46	Balu Pattarai	1.67
47	Ds Jewellers	1.67
48	Smm Jewellers	1.67
49	Sr Letter Designer	1.67
50	Pms Son Jewels Manufacturers	1.67
51	Acsm Jewel	1.67
52	Sm Jewllary	1.67
53	Atchaya Gold Jewels	1.67
54	Shanmuga Jothi Nagai Tholilagam	1.67
55	R K Jewls	1.67
56	Sri Vignesh Jeweller	1.67
57	Mohan Jewel Works	1.67
58	Dev's Jewellers	1.67
59	Annamalaiyar Moulding Works	1.67
60	Coimbatore Jewellery Association	1.72

13. How many cluster units, other than SPV members, are willing to utilize the services of CFC.

(a) Micro (b) Small (c) Medium

0 0

14. Main finding and critical gap identified

Yes

Technological advancement is low. Units are depending on outside agencies for job works. Lack in quality assurance, due to lack of testing facility. Growth is limited mainly due to non - availability of working capital, raw material (i.e Gold) Fluctuation in the price of raw material (i.e Gold) affects regular job orders. Units are not aware of the market potential. Units are largely depend on the middle man to get job works. Lack of Technological up gradation and Innovations.

15. Whether all the problems of the cluster have been addressed i.e. information on nature of critical gaps identified?

Yes

The Cluster units are not aware of the market potential. Ths units are not into direct exports but cluster unit products are exported by the middlemen (i.e Traders) Illiteracy is the major concern in the jewellery cluster units. Documentation Compliance like tax invoice, E waybill, etc.. are very poor. Poor technological advancements and innovations. No Guarantee about the regular and continuous flow of job works. Lack of Market potential and export order. Shortage of skilled labour.

16. Activity/Process at Unit/CFC level

S.N	Activity/Process at unit level	Activity/Process at CFC level
1	Weighing of Gold and Ornaments using unbranded weighing scale	Weighing of Gold and Ornaments using branded, automated and Highly accurate weighing scales.
2	Manual Refining of Gold using conventional method.	Refining of Gold activity to be carried out by using hi tech and advanced gold refining machines
3	Outsourcing of testing activity.	Testing to be done by using Hi tech and advanced XRF machine.
4	Outsourcing of fire assay activity.	Assaying to be done in the CFC by using advanced Hot plate, scrubber and furnace equipment.
5	Manual melting of gold by using traditional method.	Melting to be done in the CFC by using Hi Tech Melting furnace with different Melting capacities.
6	Only small quantum of bullion and coin making at the unit level.	Large quantum of bullion and coin to be made by using Hi tech Hydraulic Press machines
7	Only small level of wire drawing done at the unit level and that too manually and to some extent motorized.	Large quantity of wire drawing to be done at the CFC by using different levels of Hi tech rolling machines.
8	Dies and stamping activity are generally outsourced at the unit level.	Different varieties of Dies and Stamping to be used in the CFC.
9	Most of the units are carrying out only specified components making like chains, bangles, rings etc	All the Components are to be compiled in the CFC by using latest and Hi Tech machines.
10	Cluster units are not equipped with 3D Studio and 3D Printer.	3D Studio and 3D Printer facilities to be installed in the CFC.
11	Most of the Cluster units are not Carrying out Casting activity.	Casting Facilities to be provident by installing Hi tech Wax injectors.
12	Most of the units are using traditional method for Soldering and Marking activities.	Hi Tech Laser Soldering and Marking machine to be installed in the CFC.
13	Cutting and Sizing of Gold done at the unit level manually or by using cutting equipments.	CNC Machine to be installed in the CFC for Cutting and Sizing of gold.
14	Uncomfort and Unstructured way of processing at the unit level.	25 Numbers of Universal Workbenches with all finishing techinques to be errected in the CFC to ensure comfort

		way of working.
15	Setting and Filling activities are done manually by using basic tools and equipments in the unit level.	Setting and Filling activity to be carried out by installing Modernized Machines and tables.
16	Polishing activity are usually outsourced at the unit level.	Polishing activity to be done by using Different kinds of Ultrasonic Cleaner and Polishing machines
17	Plating activity are usually outsourced at the unit level.	Plating activity to be done by using different capacity of plating plants.
18	Magnetic Scanning activity is not usually done at the unit level.	Magnetic Scanner to be installed in the CFC level.
19	Electro forming activity is not usually done at the unit level.	Gold electro forming machine to be installed in the CFC level.
20	Self quality control is done at the unit level.	Quality control is done by employing Quality Control Personnel and Testing equipment like Microscopes etc.
21	Research and Development is not done at the unit level.	A Separate team would be setup to carryout Research and Development activity.

17. Is there any possibility with the proposed machinery to perform complete activities to manufacture a product (some activities are supposed to be performed at CFC level as well as Unit level, in such a case it would be a complete and independent manufacturing unit and cannot be a part of CFC).

Yes

18. Tangible outcomes (No. of unit, Employment Turnover & Export)

S. No.	Particulars	Before CFC	After CFC
1	No. of cluster units (Numbers)	1500	2500
2	Cluster Turnover (Rs. in crore)	120.00	360.00
3	Employment (Numbers)	5000	7500
4	Export (Rs. in crore)	0	3.60

19. Is there any proposed activity for CFC which is being performed in cluster units? If yes, how many units are there and what is its production capacity (with due justification)? No

20. Is there any adverse effect on existing cluster units due to proposed CFC? If yes, up to what extant?

No

21. Whether the proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable? Backward linkages (like raw material availability etc.) and forward linkages (gap between production capacity of the cluster and particular proposed activity of CFC) may be judged.

Yes

The proposed activities in CFC are technically feasible and viable. Cluster units would bring the Raw material (i.e. Gold) into the CFC and get it converted to Jewellery through the CFC. It considerably saves their production cost and reduces the working capital cycle.

22. Whether proposed machinery for CFC is advanced (at present) and adequate to fulfill the existing & near future needs of the cluster?

Yes

All the machineries proposed for the CFC are of latest technology and would take care of the present needs of the Cluster units as well as their future needs.

23. Whether SPV members will be able to utilize 60% of proposed production capacity of CFC (justification if necessary)?

Yes

Yes, The SPV members will able to utilise 60 of the proposed production capacity of CFC.

24. Whether similar facilities (as proposed) are available in public or private sector in nearby area?

No

25. Whether Technical viability, user charges and cost of machinery are ascertained?

Yes

The user charges proposed by the CFC are reasonable to cover the operating expenses of the CFC which are less than the prevailing market rates. User Charges for each services for the members and non members as well as prevailing market rates are clearly depicted in the DPR. Refer Sheet Nos.188-210 of the DPR.

26. Proposed faculties:

- Gold & Diamond Weighing Facility.
- Gold & Silver Refining Facility
- Non Destructive Testing (XRF) Facility
- Fire Assaay facility
- Melting Facility
- Bullion and coin making
- Wire draw & sheet draw facility
- Component making
- 3D Studio facility
- 3D Printer & Casting
- Laser Facility
- CNC (Master Facilty)
- Craftsmanship Benches Facility
- Stone setting facility
- Gold plating & Polishing facilty

- Gold Electro Forming facility
 Quality check facility
 R&D facility
 Training facility (Benches, Gem Training, CAD)

27. List of Plant & Machinery

(Rs. in lakh)

33.15 64.54. 14.86
33.15 64.54. 14.86
64.54. 14.86
14.86
10.75
40.75
20.56
105.07
47.20
0.00
250.22
14.63
162.10
0.00
18.29
5.19
59.00
42.12
0.00
3.00

Sl.No	Plant & Machineries	Cost	Total Cost
17	Gold Electro Forming Section		170.34
18	Quality Check Section		5.00
19	Research and Development		10.00
	Training on Goldsmith Technology	23.60	0.00
	Training on Computer Aided Courses	11.53	
20	Training on Gem Identification	4.02	
	Sub-Total	39.15	39.16
Total	Cost		1183.19

28. Observations:

Following documents are required to be submitted prior to issuance of final approval letter:

- 1. Details of SPV registered as Section 8 Company.
- 2. Certificate from State Government that more than 50% units in the cluster are Micro / SC/ST / Women Enterprises, as the case may be
- 3. Details of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks or Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- 4. SPV shareholding pattern
- 5. Uploading of SPV members details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- 6. Hard copy of Detailed Project Report.
- 7. Copy of News Paper advertisement in respect of the proposal.

29. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may consider the proposal for **Final Approval** for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Gold Jewellery Cluster, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu at a total project cost of Rs. 1530.00 lakh with GoI assistance of Rs. 1180.70 lakh, contribution from State Government of Rs. 153.00 lakh and SPV's Contribution of Rs. 196.30 lakh.

Agenda No.58.4.1:Extension of Time for Setting up of Common Facility Center (CFC) in Plastic Packaging Cluster, Karnal, Haryana.

S. No.	Description	Status
1.	Name of the proposal	Setting up of CFC in Plastic Packaging Cluster, Karna
	and location	Haryana
2.	Approval accorded	46 th SCM held on 09.02.18
3.	Final approval order	11.04.18
	issued on	
4.	Name of the IA	Bureau of Industrial Policy and Promotion (BIPF
		Chandigarh (then Investment Promotion Centre)
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)
		Govt. of India Grant : 1050.00
		Govt. of Haryana Grant : 371.54
		SPV contribution : 221.54
		Total : 1643.08
6.	Project Costs and	(Rs. in lak
	component wise	(i) Land and its Development 221.64
	details	(ii) Building and other Civil Constructions 331.14
		(iii) Plant & Machinery (including 918.32
		electrification)
		(iv) Misc. fixed assets 13.00
		(v) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, 89.87
		maximum 2% of project cost
		(vi) Contingency (2% building and 5% on 46.67
		plant and machinery)
		(vii) Margin money for Working Capital 22.44
		Total 1643.08
7.	Total GoI grant	Rs. in lakh
	released	1 st installment on 06.09.19 420.00
		2 nd installment on 19.11.20 315.00
		Total 735.00
8.	Project validity	10.04.20
9.	Time extension	30.06.21 – (On file and ratified in 54 th NLSC held of
	granted earlier	02.03.21)
10.	Time extension sought	30.06.22
	up to	
11.	Reason for delay	Due to pandemic COVID-19 and frequent lockdowns.
12.	Present status	Building for the CFC is 90% completed.
		• SPV contributed its entire share of Rs. 221.54 lakh.
		• The tenders for all machinery approved as per the fin
		approval letter has been finalized.
13.	Proposal for Steering	Committee may accord time extension upto 31.03.2022.
	Committee	

Agenda No.58.4.2:Extension of Time for Setting up of Common Facility Center (CFC) in Plywood Cluster, Yamunanagar, Haryana.

S. No.	Description	Status	
1.	Name of the proposal	Setting up of CFC in Plywood Cluster,	Yamunanagar,
	and location	Haryana	_
2.	Approval accorded	43 rd SCM held on 09.03.17	
3.	Final approval order	04.05.17	
	issued on		
4.	Name of the IA	Bureau of Industrial Policy and Promo	, , , , ,
	25	Chandigarh (then Investment Promotion Centre	
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh	1)
		Govt. of India Grant : 1319.00	
		Govt. of Haryana Grant : 150.00 SPV contribution : 171.00	
		Total : 1640.00	
6.	Project Costs and	10tai . 1040.00	(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	(i) Land and its Development	113.20
		(ii) Building and other Civil Construction	-
		(iii) Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	ng 1410.43
		(iv) Misc. fixed assets	10.00
		(v) Preliminary & Pre-operative expense	s, 10.00
		maximum 2% of project cost	
		(vi) Contingency (2% building and 5% of plant and machinery)	on 29.07
		Total Project Cost	1640.00
7.	Total GoI grant		
	released	R	s. in lakh
		1 st installment on 08.08.19	527.60
		2 nd installment on 31.07.20	395.70
		Total	923.30
8.	Project validity	03.05.19	
9.	Time extension granted	• 04.05.20- (On file and ratified in 50 th N	LSC held on
	earlier	02.07.19)	
		• 31.03.21- (On file and ratified in 54 th N	ILSC held on
1.0		02.03.21)	
10.	Time extension sought up to	30.06.22	
11.	Reason for delay	Due to pandemic COVID-19 and frequent lock	downs.
12.	Present status	• Building for the CFC is 100% completed.	
		• SPV contributed its entire share of Rs. 171	.00 lakh.
		The SPV have already finalised the tender	
		945.60 lakh against the total value of mad	
		1410.43 lakh. The machinery have been	installed and
		CFC is partially operational.	

		• The tenders for the balance machinery worth of Rs. 464.83 lakh have already been published and would be finalized soon.
13.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Committee may accord time extension upto 30.06.2022.

Agenda No.58.4.3:Extension of Time for Setting up of Common Facility Center (CFC) in Engineering Cluster, Yamunanagar, Haryana.

S. No.	Description	Status	
1.	Name of the proposal	Setting up of CFC in Engineering Cluster, Y	amunanagar,
	and location	Haryana	
2.	Approval accorded	40 th SCM held on 02.07.16	
3.	Final approval order	06.10.16	
	issued on		
4.	Name of the IA	Bureau of Industrial Policy and Promot	
	0.77	Chandigarh (then Investment Promotion Centre	
5.	Means of Finance	Govt. of India Grant : (Rs. in lakh)	
		Govt. of India Grant : 1199.45 Govt. of Haryana Grant : 150.00	
		SPV contribution : 208.00	
		Total : 1557.45	
6.	Project Costs and	1000 1 1007110	(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	(i) Land and its Development	50.00
	•	(ii) Building and other Civil Constructions	
		(iii) Plant & Machinery (including	
		electrification)	2 1293.36
		(iv) Misc. fixed assets	10.00
		(v) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	30.00
		maximum 2% of project cost	
		(vi) Contingency (2% building and 5% or plant and machinery)	n 15.87
		(vii) Margin money for Working Capital	6.00
		Total Project Cost	1557.45
7.	Total GoI grant		<u> </u>
	released	R	s. in lakh
			479.78
		2 nd installment on 27.09.21	359.835
			339.615
8.	Project validity	05.10.18	
9.	Time extension granted	• 05.10.18 to 31.03.2020 (On file & ratified	d in the 50 th
	earlier	SCM held on 02.07.19)	th.
		• 31.06.2020 to 31.12.2021 (On file & ratified	ed in the 54 th
10	Trime and the state of the stat	SCM held on 02.03.21)	
10.	Time extension sought	30.06.22	
11.	up to Reason for delay	There was a significant delay in implement	tation of the
11.	Reason for delay	project due to complete application process a	
		change of Land use permission.	10001pt 01
12.	Present status	The SPV have already finalised the te	nders worth
		Rs.L229.24lakhs against the total value of	
		Rs.1282.00 lakhs. The contract agreemen	nt with the

		machinery suppliers has already been signed. The tenders for the all the plant & machinery have already been finalised.
13.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Committee may accord time extension upto 30.06.2022.

Agenda No.58.4.4:Extension of Time for Setting up of Common Facility Center (CFC) in Stainless Steel Utensils Cluster, Kundli, Sonepat, Haryana.

S. No.	Description	Status		
1.	Name of the proposal	Setting up of CFC in Stainless Steel Utensi	ls Cluster,	
	and location	Kundli, Sonepat, Haryana		
2.	Approval accorded	33 rd SCM held on 14.06.13		
3.	Final approval order	09.09.13		
	issued on			
4.	Name of the IA	Bureau of Industrial Policy and Promotion Chandigarh (then Investment Promotion Centre)	, , , ,	
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)		
		Govt. of India Grant : 1022.54		
		Govt. of Haryana Grant : 150.00		
		SPV contribution : 530.78		
		Total : 1703.32		
6.	Project Costs and	(R	s. in lakh)	
	component wise	(i) Land and its Development	283.50	
	details	(ii) Building and other Civil Constructions	216.27	
		(iii) Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	1076.91	
		(iv) Misc. fixed assets	20.00	
		(v) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	34.06	
		(vi) Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	58.16	
		(vii) Margin money for Working Capital	14.42	
		Total Project Cost	1703.32	
7.	Total GoI grant		•	
	released		n lakh	
		1 st installment on 28.08.19 40	9.01	
		Total 40	9.01	
8.	Project validity	08.09.15		
9.	Time extension granted earlier	 09.09.15 to 31.05.17 (during 41st SCN 24.09.16) 01.06.17 to 31.03.18 (On file and ratif 44th SCM held on 05.09.17) 01.04.18 to 31.01.20 (On file and ratif 50th SCM held on 02.07.19) 	ied during	
		• 01.02.20 to 31.07.21 (On file and ratif 54 th SCM held on 02.03.21)	ied during	
10.	Time extension sought up to	30.06.22		
11.	Reason for delay	• Due to the interest liability accrued on the p	lot allotted	

		 to the SPV. Since, it was significant liability, members of SPV were not able to bear it and a request in this regard was made to State Government for waiver of the Interest Liability which was approved in March 2019. SPV started tendering of machines post March 2019 only, Further; SPV members have tendered and finalized machinery worth Rs.1058.88 lakhs. For the remaining machinery, SPV of the Cluster had already published the tenders and which will be finalised in the months of December 2O21. Since for the installation of machines, the SPV required the transformer for the electricity connection. The tender for the transformer has been finalised in the month of August 2O21 and order has been placed to the suppliers. Due to pandemic COVID-19 and frequent lockdowns.
12.	Present status	 The SPV has till date contributed Rs.550.00 lakh. The SPV have already finalised the tenders worth of Rs.1058.88 lakh against the total value of machinery Rs.862.61 lakhs. The contract agreement with the machinery suppliers has already been signed. The details of the machinery finalised in the tenders, received at CFC site & physically verified by the MSME-DI & District MSME Centre, Karnal. Further, the tenders for the balance machinery worth of Rs. 163.00 lakh have already been published and would be finalized shortly.
13.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Committee may accord time extension upto 30.06.2022.

Agenda No.58.4.5(S): Extension of Time for Up-gradation of Battal Ballian Industrial Estate, Phase-I, Udhampur, Jammu & Kashmir.

S. No.	Description		Status		
1.	Name of the proposal	Up-grad	Up-gradation of Battal Ballian Industrial Estat		e, Phase-I,
	and location	Udhampur, Jammu & Kashmir			
2.	Approval accorded	44 th SCM held on 05.09.17			
3.	Final approval order	06.10.20	017		
	issued on				
4.	Name of the IA		mall Scale Industries Develop (SICOP)	ment (Corporation
5.	Means of Finance		(Rs. in		
				30.44	
		State		32.62	
	D i C i		Total: 91	3.06	
6.	Project Costs and		L	<u>(þ</u>	Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	(i)	Land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing		100.00
		(ii)	Laying roads		200.00
		(iii)	Road side greenery & social fore	stry	10.00
		(iv)	Water supply including overhead and pump houses	l tanks,	102.00
		(v)	Water harvesting		10.00
		(vi)	Drainage		59.96
		(vii)	Power distribution, Street light arrangements, etc.		256.07
		(viii)	Administrative and Other Service Complex	es	149.43
		(ix)	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses		16.00
		(x)	Other (Construction of one no. T facilities)	oilet	9.60
			Total Project Cost		913.06
7.	Total GoI grant				
	released	at			in lakh
			allment on 06.08.18		00.00
		2 nd ins	tallment on 25.09.19		8.98
			Total	34	8.98
8.	Project validity	05.10.20	019		
9.	Time extension granted earlier				
10.	Time extension sought up to	31.08.20	022		
11.	Reason for delay		to Covid-19 pandemic and no er State share.	n-releas	e of funds
12.	Present status	66.17%	work completed and State Govt	. has red	quested for

				release of 3 rd instalment of GoI grant.
13.	Proposal	for	Steering	Committee may accord time extension upto 31.08.2022
	Committee	e		-

Agenda No.58.4.6(S): Extension of Time for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Lassipora Phase-I, Pulwama District, Jammu & Kashmir.

S. No.	Description	Status						
1.	Name of the proposal	Up-grac	lation of Industrial E	Estate	at	Lassipo	ra Pł	nase-I,
	and location	Pulwam	a District, Jammu & K	ashmi	r			
2.	Approval accorded	45 th SC	M held on 22.11.17					
3.	Final approval order	08.01.20	018					
	issued on							
4.	Name of the IA		tate Industrial Deve	lopme	ent	Corpora	tion	(J&K
		SIDCO)	Ltd.		ı	Т	1	
5.	Means of Finance					(Rs. in l		
			of India Grant		:	799.9		
		State	Govt./IA contribution		:	222.9		
	D 1 0		<u>']</u>	Total	:	1022.9		
6.	Project Costs and		L			`		lakh)
	component wise details	(i)	Land filling / level boundary wall and fend	_	i	ncluding	99.	.99
		(ii)	Laying roads				201	.77
		(iii)	Road side greenery &	socia	l fo	restry	13.	.45
		(iv)	Water supply including and pump houses	ig ove	erhe	ead tanks	112	2.83
		(v)	Water harvesting				10.	.10
		(vi)	Drainages				60.	.74
		(vii)	Power distribution, arrangement etc.	St	ree	t light	252	.00
		(viii)	Administrative and complex	othe	er	services	150	0.08
		(ix)	Effluent Treatment Fa	cilitie	S		80.	.00
		(x)	Contingencies & expenses	P	re-	operative	29.	.79
		(xi)	Other (Sanitary conve	nience	es e	etc.)	12.	.20
			Total Project Cost				1022	2.95
7.	Total GoI grant							
	released					Rs. in lak		
			allment on 25.09.19				9.84	
		2 nd ins	tallment on 27.01.21				9.95	
		0.5.0	Total			459).79	
8.	Project validity	07.01.20						
9.	Time extension granted earlier	31.08.20	O21 (on file)					
10.	Time extension sought	30.06.20	022					

	up to				
11.	Reason for delay	The project got badly affected due to post 5 th August 2019			
		turmoil, hostile weather in the valley and repeated lockdown			
		due to COVID-19 pandemic.			
12.	Present status	More than 80% and the balance is expected to be completed			
		in full by June 2022			
13.	Proposal for Steering	Committee may accord time extension upto 30.06.2022			
	Committee				

Agenda Item No. 58.4.7 (S): Time Extension for setting up of new Industrial Estate at Tuli, Mokokchung District, Nagaland.

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal and	New Industrial Estate at Tuli, Mokokchung District,				
	location	Nagaland.				
3	Approval accorded	52 nd SCM 27.02.20	held on 22.01.20.			
_	Final approval order issued on					
4	Name of the Implementing Agency	_	Industrial Development Coope imapur, Nagaland.	eration Ltd		
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh) ndia Grant : 777.92			
		State Gov	t. contribution : 194.48			
		Total: 972.40				
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh) Approved		
	component wise details	S. No.	S. No. Particulars			
		1.	Land Development and other Infrastructure	overhead		
		(i)	Land filling, site development & compound wall	98.00		
		(ii)	Laying of Internal Roads	196.00		
		(iii)	Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00		
		(iv)	Water supply (OHT)	105.00		
		(v)	Water harvesting	10.00		
		(vi)	Drainage	60.00		
		(vii)	Power and Electricals	247.00		
		(viii)	Other (Sanitary Conveniences etc.)	10.00		
			Sub Total	736.00		
		2.	Administrative and Other Services	s Complex		
		(i)	Administrative Office building	18.40		
		(ii)	Telecommunication /Cyber Centre/ Documentation Centre	15.00		
		(iii)	Conference Hall / Meeting Hall	30.00		
		(iv)	Bank & Post Office	15.00		
		(v)	Raw material storage facility, Marketing outlets	40.00		
		(vi) First Aid Centre, Crèche, Canteen facilities		20.00		
			Sub Total	138.40		
		3.	Effluent Treatment Facilities	78.00		
		4.	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses	20.00		

		Total 972.40
7	Total GoI grant released	Installment & Date (Rs. in lakh)
		1 st on 16.03.21 : 200.00
8	Project validity	26.02.22
9	Time Extension sought	26.02.23
	by State Govt. up to	
10	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.
		➤ Nation-wide lockdown.
11	Present status	➤ The project is under progess.
12	Proposal for Steering	Steering Committee may accord Time extension.
	Committee	

Agenda No. 58.4.8: Extension of Time for Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point-IV, Ludhiana, Punjab

S. No.	Description	Status			
14.	Name of the proposal	Up-gradation of Industrial Focal Point-IV, Ludhia	ana, Punjab		
	and location				
15.	Approval accorded	43 rd SCM held on 09.03.17			
16.	Final approval order issued on	10.11.17			
17.	Name of the IA	Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Chandigarh	n (PSIEC),		
18.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)			
		Govt. of India Grant : 376.20			
		PSIEC contribution : 293.94			
10	D :	Total : 670.14	No. 1 . 1 . 1 1 N		
19.	Project Costs and component wise details		Rs. in lakh)		
	component wise details	(i) Land Development (including leveling / fencing etc.)	90.00		
		(ii) Cost of laying roads	200.00		
		(iii) Road side greenery & social forestry	10.00		
		(iv) Water supply (including overhead tanks and pumps houses)	115.00		
		(v) Water Harvesting	10.00		
		(vi) Drainage	62.00		
		(vii) Other (sanitary conveniences)	10.00		
		(viii) Administrative and other services complex (including raw material storage, creche, office building etc.)	160.00		
		(ix) Contingencies and pre-operative expenses	13.14		
		Total Project Cost	670.14		
20.	Total GoI grant				
	released	Rs. in	akh		
			9.58		
			3.63		
			53.21		
21.	Project validity	09.11.2019	gond x = 2 = 2		
22.	Time extension granted earlier	• 10.11.19 to 15.11.20- (On file and ratified in held on 22.01.20)	52 nd NLSC		
23.	Time extension sought up to	04.12.2021 (ex-post facto approval)			
24.	Reason for delay	Due to getting approval for re-allocation of work.COVID-19 and frequent lockdowns.			
25.	Present status	Project is completed			
26.	Proposal for Steering Committee	Committee may accord time extension upto 04.1 post facto approval)	2.2021 (ex-		

$\label{eq:Agenda No.58.4.9} \textbf{ (S): Extension of Time for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Focal Point,} \\ \textbf{Pathankot, Punjab.}$

S. No.	Description	Status					
1.	Name of the proposal	Up-grad	lation of Industrial Estate at Foc	al I	Point,	Pathankot,	
	and location	Punjab					
2.	Approval accorded	51 st SCI	M held on 12.09.19				
3.	Final approval order	21.01.20	020				
	issued on						
4.	Name of the IA	Punjab	Small Industries & Export C	orpo	oratio	n (PSIEC)	
		Ltd., Ch	nandigarh				
5.	Means of Finance				,	in lakh)	
			of India Grant	:		734.41	
		Contri	bution from PSIEC	:		314.75	
			Total	:		049.16	
6.	Project Costs and						
	component wise details	(i)	Cost of land filling/ leveling in boundary wall and fencing	ıclu	ding	100.00	
		(ii)	Cost of laying roads			201.14	
		(iii)	Water supply including overhea	ad t	anks	109.98	
		(iv)	Water harvesting			8.48	
			Drainage/ Sewerage			59.96	
		(v) (vi)		ts e		150.00	
		(vii)	Effluent Treatment Facilities (improvement of existing STP)	Cos	st of	400.00	
		(viii)	Contingencies & Pre o expenses (@2% approx.)	pera	ative	19.60	
			Total Project Cost			1049.16	
7.	Total GoI grant		•			<u> </u>	
	released				F	Rs. in lakh	
		1 st inst	allment on 08.05.20			200.00	
			Total			200.00	
8.	Project validity	20.01.20	022				
9.	Time extension granted earlier						
10.	Time extension sought up to	30.09.20	022				
11.	Reason for delay	>	COVID-19 and frequent lockdov	vns.			

12.	Present status		
13.	Proposal for Sto	eering	Committee may accord time extension upto 30.09.2022
	Committee		

Agenda Item No. 58.4.10 :Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Gate & Grill Cluster, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal and location	setting up of Common Facility Centre Gate & Grill Cluster, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded	35 th SCM held on 15.17.14.				
3	Final approval order issued on	14.03.14.				
4	Name of the IA	Tamilnadu Small Industries Development Corporation Limited (TANSIDCO), Chennai				
5	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)				
		Govt. of India Grant	: 197.93			
		Govt. of Tamil Nadu contribution	: 29.69			
		SPV Contribution	: 57.25			
		Total	: 284.87			
6	Project Costs and		(Rs. in lakh)			
	component wise details	S. Particulars No.	Approved Cost			
		1. Land and its development:	20.00			
		2. Building and other civil constructions	16.07			
		3. Plan & Machinery (including electrification)	135.29			
		4. Misc. fixed assets	89.19			
		5. Margin money for working capital	7.07			
		6. Preliminary and Preoperative expenses	5.70			
		7. Contingency (2% building and 5% plant and machinery)	11.55			
		Tota	al 284.87			
7	Total GoI grant released	Installment & Date (Rs. in 1^{st} on 07.10.16 : 79.3 2^{nd} on 26.04.17 : 59.3 3^{rd} on 28.11.18 : 39.5	38			
8	Previous time extensions accorded	 40th SCM held on 23.06.16 43rd SCM held on 09.03.17 46th SCM held on 09.02.18 				
9	Project validity	30.06.2018				
10	Time Extension sought up to	31.03.2022				
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Due to heavy cost escalation for the purchase of the SPV not able to collect the additional grant. 				

12	Present status	 Construction of building 100% completed. Out of 17 no of machineries, the SPV has purchased only 13 machineries
13	Proposal for Steering Committee	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto 31.03.22.

Agenda Item No. 58.4.11: Time Extension for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at K. Pudur, Madurai, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description		Status				
1	Name of the proposal and location	up-grad Nadu	lation of industrial Estate at K. Pudur,	Madurai, Tamil			
2	Approval accorded	48 th S0	CMheld on 12.11.18.				
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.1	19.				
4	Name of the IA		Tamilnadu Small Industries Development Corporation Limited (TANSIDCO), Chennai				
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)				
		Govt.	of India Grant	: 219.60			
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	: 248.40			
			nt to be collected from allottees by DICO(IA)	: 52.00			
			Total	: 520.00			
6	Project Costs and	(Rs. in lakh					
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost			
		1.	Land development				
			a) Clearing crub jungle for road side				
			b) Earth work exaction for road high berms cutting	12.00			
			c) Entrance Arch, Name board and direction board etc.				
		2.	Cost of laying concrete roads				
			a) Upgradation of road	67.00			
			b) Repairing of existing culverts	07.00			
		3.	Strom Water Drain				
			a) Desilting of existing drain	26.00			
			b) Repairing of RRC Drain	20.00			
		4.	Road side greenery & social forestry	2.50			
		5. Water supply arrangements		10.00			
		6.	water harvesting	2.00			
		7.	Power (Substation) – Street	16.00			
		8.	Administrative and other services comp	olex			

				a) Conference Hal / Exhibition Centre		
				b) Construction of Canteen, first aid centre, crèche		50.00
			9.	Contingencies &Pre operative expenses		44.50
				То	tal	230.00
7	Total GoI grant released	1	nstallr st 19.0 2 nd 26.0	08.19	5.55	lakh)
8	Previous time extensions accorded	5	54 th held 02.03.2021			
9	Project validity	3	31.07.2	021		
10	Time Extension sought up to	3	30.04.2	022		
11	Reason for Delay	➤ Heavy rain and also shortage of labours				
12	Present status	➤ 65% of the infrastructure works are completed.				
13	Proposal for Steering Committee)	> Stee	ring Committee may accord Time extens	on	upto 30.04.22.

Agenda Item No. 58.4.12: Time Extension for Setting up of New Industrial estate at MarikunduTheni, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description	Status						
1	Name of the proposal	Setting	g up of New Industrial estate at marikundu	theni, Tamil				
	and location	Nadu						
2	Approval accorded		CM held on 27.02.19.					
3	Final approval order issued on	21.07.	19.					
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	ration Limited				
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)					
		Govt.	of India Grant :	369.60				
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution :	480.40				
			Total :	850.00				
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)				
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost				
		1	Land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing	100.00				
		2	Laying roads	200.00				
		3	Roadside greenery and social forestry	10.00				
		4	Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses	57.00				
		5	Water harvesting	5.00				
		6	Drainage	60.00				
		7	Power distribution, street light arrangements etc	54.00				
		8	Administrative and other services complex	110.00				
		9	Contingencies ⪯ operative Expenses	97.50				
			Total	693.50				
7	Total GoI grant released	Install	ment & Date (Rs.	in lakh)				
8	Previous time extensions accorded	-						
9	Project validity	22.07.2						
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.06.2	2022					
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Heavy rain and also shortage of labours 						

12	Present status	> 26.94 % of the infrastructure works are completed.						
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto						
	Committee	30.06.22.						

${\bf Agenda\ Item\ No.\ 58.4.13(S)\ :} Time\ Extension\ for\ Up-gradation\ of\ Industrial\ Estate\ at\ Nanjaiuthukuli, Erode, Tamil\ Nadu$

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	up-gradation of industrial Estate at Nanjaiuthukuli, Erode,				
	and location	Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded	48 th SCM held on 12.11.18.				
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.19.				
4	Name of the IA	Tamilnadu Small Industries Development Corporation Limited (TANSIDCO), Chennai				
5	Means of Finance					
		Govt.	94.20			
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	112.80		
		Amou	23.00			
			Total	230.00		
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)		
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost		
		1.	Land development			
			a) Clearing crub jungle for road side			
			b) Earth work exaction for road high berms cutting	12.00		
			c) Entrance Arch, Name board and direction board etc.			
		2.	Cost of laying concrete roads			
			a) Upgradation of road	67.00		
			b) Repairing of existing culverts	07.00		
		3.	Strom Water Drain			
			c) Desilting of existing drain	26.00		
			d) Repairing of RRC Drain	20,00		
		4.	Road side greenery & social forestry	2.50		
		5.	Water supply arrangements	10.00		
		6.	water harvesting	2.00		
		7. Power (Substation) – Street 16.00		16.00		
		8. Administrative and other services complex				
			c) Conference Hal / Exhibition Centre	50.00		

			d) Construction of centre, crèche	f Canteen, first aid		
		9.	Contingencies & P.	re operative expenses	44.50	
				Total	230.00	
7	Total GoI grant released			in lakh)		
		1 1 1 1 1 9.0	1 st 19.08.19 34		68	
8	Previous time	55 th held 09.06.2021				
	extensions accorded					
9	Project validity	31.10.2021				
10	Time Extension sought	30.04.2	2022			
	up to					
11	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.				
➤ Heavy rain and also shortage of la						
12	Present status	> 75% of the infrastructure works are completed				
13	Proposal for Steering	> Stee	ering Committee r	nay accord Time e	xtension upto	
	Committee	30.0	04.22.		_	

Agenda Item No. 58.4.14(S) :Time Extension for Setting up of Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Nanjikottai, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	_	g up of up-gradation of Industrial Estate at	Nanjikottai,
	and location		avur, Tamil Nadu	
2	Approval accorded	1	CM held on 12.11.18.	
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.		
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	ration Limited
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Govt.	of India Grant :	105.00
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution :	151.50
			ant to be collected from allottees by SIDCO (IA)	28.50
			Total :	285.00
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1	Land Development and other overhead infrastructure	14.00
		2	Cost of laying roads	139.00
		3	Road side greenery & social forestry	3.00
		4	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	8.00
		5	Water harvesting	2.00
		6	Drainage	55.00
		7	Power (Sub-station and distribution network work including street light etc.)	10.00
		8	Contingencies, Service Tax and Tamil Nadu Welfare	54.00
			Total	285.00
7	Total GoI grant released	1 st 06.0	8.2019 - 23.	in lakh) .33 5.71
8	Previous time extensions accorded		LSC held on 09.06.2021	2
9	Project validity	31.10.2		
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.04.2	2022	

11	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.		
		➤ Lack of raw Materials		
		Shortage of labours from the periods of March 2020		
		October 2020		
12	Present status	> 91% of the infrastructure works are completed.		
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto		
	Committee	30.04.2022.		

Agenda Item No. 58.4.15(S): Time Extension for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Ranipet, Vellore, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	1 0	dation of industrial Estate at Ranipet,	Vellore, Tamil
	and location	Nadu		
2	Approval accorded		CM held on 12.11.18.	
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.	19.	
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	oration Limited
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)	
		Govt.	of India Grant	342.60
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	516.90
		1 1	ant to be collected from allottees by SDICO(IA)	95.50
			Total	955.00
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1.	Land Development and other over hea infrastructure	nd
			a) Boundary wall	15.50
			b) Conveyance of dumped surplus earth from road berms	7.00
			c) Entrance Features	10.00
			Cost of up-gradation of the existing roads	408.00
			Road side greenery and social forestry	10.00
			Water Supply arrangements	40.00
			Water Harvesting arrangements	10.00
			Drainage	102.00
			Power (sub-station and distribution network)	30.00
			Other (Sanitary conveniences)	25.00
			Administrative and other service complex	0.00
			Administrative office building	20.00
			Conference Hall/ Exhibition Centre	30.00

		Raw materials storage facility, marketing outlets	40.00
		First Aid Centre, Crèche, Canteen facilities	25.00
		GST@18%	139.00
		Tamil Nadu Manual worker Welfare Board Fund @1 %, contingencies & pre-operative expenses	43.42
		Total	954.92 Round of 955.00
7	Total GoI grant released	Installment & Date 1 st 19.08.19 33.56 2 nd 16.04.21 81.	n lakh) 98
8	Previous time extensions accorded	55 th held 09.06.2021	
9	Project validity	31.10.2021	
10	Time Extension sought up to	31.07.2022	
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Lack of raw Materials Shortage of labours from the periods of M October 2020 	Iarch 2020to
12	Present status	➤ 35% work completed.	
13	Proposal for Steering Committee	Steering Committee may accord Time ex 31.07.22.	tension upto

$\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Agenda Item No. 58.4.16(S):} \textbf{Time Extension for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Dindigul,} \\ \textbf{Tamil Nadu}$

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	up-gra	dation of Industrial Estate at Dindigul, Tar	mil Nadu
	and location	th		
2	Approval accorded	48 th SCM held on 12.11.18.		
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.		
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	ration Limited
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)	
		Govt.	of India Grant :	192.00
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution :	172.50
			int to be collected from allottees by SIDCO (IA)	40.50
			Total :	405.00
6	Project Costs and		<u> </u>	(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1	Land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing:	21.00
		2	Laying roads	172.00
		3	Road side greenery & social forestry	3.00
		4	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	28.00
		5	Water harvesting	2.00
		6	Drainage	63.00
		7	Power distribution, Street light arrangements, etc.	14.00
		8	First aid centre, Creche Canteen	25.00
		9	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses:	77.00
			Total	405.00
7	Total GoI grant released	1 st 25.0	7.2019 - 36	in lakh) .05 7.24
8	Previous time extensions accorded		LSC held on 09.06.2021	
9	Project validity	31.10.2	2021	
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.04.2	2022	

11	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.
		➤ Heavy rain and also shortage of labours
12	Present status	> 55% of the infrastructure works are completed
13	Proposal for Steering Committee	➤ Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto 30.04.2022.

Agenda Item No. 58.4.17(S):Time Extension for Up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Hosur (New), Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	up-gradation of Industrial Estate at Hosur (New), Krishnagiri		, Krishnagiri
	and location	District, Tamil Nadu		
3	Approval accorded	48 th SCM held on 12.11.18. 21.01.19		
3	Final approval order issued on	21.01.	19	
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	ration Limited
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)	
		Govt.	of India Grant :	139.20
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution :	220.80
		1 1	ant to be collected from allottees by SIDCO (IA)	40.00
			Total :	400.00
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1	Land & Site Development	
		2	Cost of Land filling/ leveling including construction boundary wall and fencing	15.00
		3	Cost of Strengthening and Relaying roads including culverts	237.00
		4	Road side greenery & social forestry	3.00
		5	Water supply arrangements	21.00
		6	Water harvesting	2.00
		7	Drainage & construction of Storm Water Drain	36.00
		8	Power (Substation) – Street	14.00
		2.	Administrative and other services comple	ex
		9	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses:	72.00
			Total	400.00
7	Total GoI grant released	1 st 25.0	07.2019 - 36	in lakh) 5.05 7.24
8	Previous time extensions accorded		LSC held on 09.06.2021	

9	Project validity	31.10.2021		
10	Time Extension sought	30.04.2022		
	up to			
11	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.		
		➤ Heavy rain and also shortage of labours		
12	Present status	> 75% of the infrastructure works are completed		
13	Proposal for Steering Committee	Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto 30.04.2022.		

Agenda Item No. 58.4.18(S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Safety Match O. Mettupatty, Satur District Virudhnagar, Tamil Nadu.

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Safety Match O. Mettupatty, Satur, Virudhnagar, Tamil Nadu 35 th SCM held on 15.17.14.		
	and location			
2	Approval accorded			
3	Final approval order issued on	28.03.	14.	
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corp SIDCO), Chennai	oration Limited
5	Means of Finance			(Rs. in lakh)
		Govt.	of India Grant	: 204.64
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	: 36.00
		SPV (Contribution	: 42.50
		Bank	Loan/ Other	: 63.75
			Total	346.89
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1.	Land and its development:	6.80
		2.	Building and other civil constructions	75.00
		3.	Plan & Machinery (including electrification commissioning) (list of machinery with tentative cost of Annexed)	224.33
		4.	Misc. fixed assets	11.50
		5.	Preliminary and Preoperative expenses Maximum 2% of project cost	7.21
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% plan and machinery)	t 12.71
		7.	Margin Money of working capital	9.34
			Tota	1 346.89
7	Total GoI grant released	Install 1st28.0 2nd 19)8.17 : 81.85 <i>6</i>	
8	Previous time extensions accorded	A A A	th	-
9	Project validity	31.10.2		
10	Time Extension sought	31.03.2	2022	

	up to			
11	Reason for Delay	➤ Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.		
		➤ Due to heavy Rain.		
		➤ Due to erection and installation process is delayed.		
12	Present status	Construction of building 100% completed		
		➤ Out of 3 dipping machine 2 machines are delivered by the supplier and the balance 1 dipping machine is pending from supplier.		
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto		
	Committee	31.03.22.		

Agenda Item No. 58.4.19 (S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Textile Knitting Cluster, Tirupur, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description		Status	
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Textile Knitting		
	and location		r, Tirupur, Tamil Nadu	
2	Approval accorded		CM held on 12.11.18.	
3	Final approval order issued on	07.05.	2019	
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corp SIDCO), Chennai	oration Limited
5	Means of Finance			(Rs. in lakh)
		Govt.	of India Grant	: 833.93
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	: 300.00
		SPV	Contribution	: 400.00
			Total	: 1533.93
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
		1.	Land and its development	25.00
		2.	Building and other Civil Constructions	150.00
		3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	g 1194.57
		4.	Misc. fixed assets	65.49
		5.	Pre-operative and Preliminary expenses maximum 2% of project cost	30.00
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% or plant and machinery)	n 63.00
		7.	Margin money for Working Capital	5.87
			Tota	1533.93
7	Total GoI grant released	Install		s. in lakh) 221.15
8	Previous time	-		
	extensions accorded			
9	Project validity	06.05.2		
10	Time Extension sought up to	31.07.2	2022	
11	Reason for Delay	> Du	e to COVID – 19 Pandemic. e to Imported Machineries form Germany e to Lack of Containers.	y not received.
12	Present status	 Construction of building - 100% completed Tender finalized for 1st phase machineries. 		
13	Proposal for Steering		ering Committee may accord Time	extension upto

Committee	21.07.22
Committee	31.07.22.

Agenda Item No. 58.4.20 (S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Wooden Furniture Cluster, Salem, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	Setting	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Wooden Furniture			
	and location	Cluster, Salem, Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded		CM held on 02.07.19.			
3	Final approval order issued on	17.01.	2020			
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Cor SIDCO), Chennai	:po	ration Limited	
5	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)				
		Govt.	of India Grant	:	1067.37	
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	189.48	
		SPV (Contribution	:	189.48	
			Total	:	1446.33	
6	Project Costs and		1		(Rs. in lakh)	
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost	
		1.	Land and its Development		15.00	
		2.	Building and other Civil Constructions	s	125.50	
		3.	Plant & Machinery(including electrification)	ng	1197.00	
		4.	Misc. fixed assets		10.00	
		5.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expense maximum 2% of project cost	es,	28.00	
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% oplant and machinery)	on	62.36	
		7.	Margin money for Working Capital		8.47	
			Tot	tal	1446.33	
7	Total GoI grant released	Install			in lakh) 8.23	
8	Previous time	-				
9	extensions accorded Project validity	16.01.2	2022			
10	Time Extension sought up to	31.07.2				
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Due to Receipt of machineries form suppliers got delayed. 				
12	Present status	> Cor	nstruction of building 100% completed chnical bid opened		por aciajou.	

13	Proposal for Steering	Steering	Committee	may	accord	Time	extension	upto
	Committee	31.07.22.						

Agenda Item No. 58.4.21(S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Lorry Body Building Cluster, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Lorry Body Building				
	and location	Cluster, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded		50 th SCM held on 02.07.19.			
3	Final approval order issued on	17.01.	17.01.2020			
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Cor SIDCO), Chennai	po	ration Limited	
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)			
		Govt.	of India Grant	:	1281.54	
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	185.73	
		SPV (Contribution	:	185.73	
			Total	:	1653.00	
6	Project Costs and				(Rs. in lakh)	
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost	
		1.	Land and Building and other Civil Constructions		130.03	
		2.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)		1345.54	
		3.	Misc. fixed assets		73.03	
		4.	Pre-operative and Preliminary expenses, maximum 2% of project cos	st	30.00	
		5.	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)		69.28	
		6.	Margin money for Working Capital		5.12	
			Tot	al	1653.00	
7	Total GoI grant released	Install	ment & Date (F	Rs.	in lakh)	
8	Previous time	-				
	extensions accorded					
9	Project validity	16.01.2				
10	Time Extension sought up to	31.07.2	2022			
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Due to Shortage of Labours SPV Got approval august 2021 and finalized the tender documents Dec 2021 				
12	Present status	> Pur	chase committee meeting held on 08.10	.20)21	
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto				

Committee	31.07.22.

Agenda Item No. 58.4.22 (S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Apparel Cluster, Tirupur, Tamil Nadu.

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Apparel Cluster,				
	and location	Tirupur, Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded	51 th SCM held on 12.09.19.				
3	Final approval order	05.02.	2020			
	issued on					
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Cor	po	ration Limited	
5	Magna of Einanga	(TAN:	SIDCO), Chennai		(D. :- lalah)	
3	Means of Finance	Corre	of India Grant		(Rs. in lakh)	
				•	856.94	
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	300.00	
		SPV (Contribution	:	468.06	
			Total	:	1625.00	
6	Project Costs and				(Rs. in lakh)	
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost	
		1.	Land and its development		15.00	
		2.	Building and other Civil Constructions	S	284.11	
		3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	ng	1195.37	
		4.	Misc. fixed assets		20.00	
		5.	Pre-operative and Preliminary expense maximum 2% of project cost	es,	30.00	
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% of plant and machinery)	on	63.97	
		7.	Margin money for Working Capital		16.55	
			Tot	al	1625.00	
7	Total GoI grant released	Install	ment & Date (R	Rs.	in lakh)	
8	Previous time	-				
	extensions accorded					
9	Project validity	04.02.2				
10	Time Extension sought	31.07.2	2022			
1.1	up to		COMP. 10 P. 1			
11	Reason for Delay	> Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic.				
		> Due to Shortage of Labours				
12	Present status	Government approval got delayed.				
12	riesem status	Construction of building works - 90% completed.				

1	13	Proposal for Steering	Steering	Committee	may	accord	Time	extension	upto
		Committee	31.07.22.						

Agenda Item No. 58.4.23 (S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Print and Pack Products Cluster, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu.

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Print and Pack Products				
	and location		Cluster, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu			
2	Approval accorded	52 th SCM held on 22.01.20.				
3	Final approval order issued on	09.03.	2020			
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Cor SIDCO), Chennai	poi	ation Limited	
5	Means of Finance			((Rs. in lakh)	
		Govt.	of India Grant	:	1103.70	
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	139.52	
		SPV	Contribution	:	152.00	
			Total	:	1395.22	
6	Project Costs and			<u> </u>	(Rs. in lakh)	
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost	
		1.	Land		68.00	
		2.	Building		79.00	
		3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)		1151.18	
		4.	Misc. fixed assets		5.00	
		5.	Pre-operative and Preliminary expense maximum 2% of project cost	s,	27.90	
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)		59.14	
		7.	Margin money for Working Capital		5.00	
			Total	al	1395.22	
7	Total GoI grant released		•		in lakh) 1.48	
8	Previous time	-				
	extensions accorded					
9	Project validity	08.03.				
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.09.	2022			
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to re-tender has floated and purchase order issued to new supplier. Five colour offset machine supplier withdrawn to non-payment in time. 				
12	Present status		Construction of building -100% completed Re-tender floated on 09.10.2021 for Five colour offset			

		printing machine				
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto				
	Committee	30.09.22.				

Agenda Item No. 58.4.24 (S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Fabrication (Agricultural Implements) Cluster, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu.

S. No.	Description	Status					
1	Name of the proposal	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Fabrication					
	and location		(Agricultural Implements) Cluster, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu				
2	Approval accorded	52 th SCM held on 22.01.20.					
3	Final approval order issued on	09.03.	2020				
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Cor SIDCO), Chennai	po:	ration Limited		
5	Means of Finance			(I	Rs. in lakh)		
		Govt.	of India Grant	:	931.19		
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	121.86		
		SPV (Contribution	:	125.63		
		Bank	Loan	:	40.00		
			Total	:	1218.68		
6	Project Costs and				(Rs. in lakh)		
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost		
		1.	Land		17.00		
		2.	Building		140.81		
		3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)		975.00		
		4.	Misc. fixed assets		5.00		
		5.	Pre-operative and Preliminary expense maximum 2% of project cost	es,	24.30		
		6.	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)		51.57		
		7.	Margin money for Working Capital		5.00		
			Tot	al	1218.68		
7	Total GoI grant released	Install	ment & Date (R	Rs.	in lakh)		
8	Previous time extensions accorded	-					
9	Project validity	08.03.2	2022				
10	Time Extension sought	30.09.2	2022				
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID -19 Pandemic period Due to SPV unable to Construct building due to non-availability of material and shortage of labours. 					
12	Present status		nstruction of building - 80% completed				

		➤ Technical bid opened on 26.02.2021 and permission given				
		to place purchase order issued to the L1 suppliers.				
13	Proposal for Steering	> Steering Committee may accord Time extension upto				
	Committee	30.09.22.				

Agenda Item No. 58.4.25(S): Time Extension for Setting up of Common Facility Centre Weaving Cluster, Erode, Tamil Nadu under.

S. No.	Description	Status					
1	Name of the proposal and	Settin	Setting up of Common Facility Centre Weaving Cluster,				
	location		e, Tamil Nadu under				
2	Approval accorded	_	SCM held on 22.01.20.				
3	Final approval order issued on	12.03					
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Developme	ent	Corporation		
	DA CE	Limite	ed (TANSIDCO), Chennai				
5	Means of Finance		(Rs. in lakh)		062.10		
			. of India Grant	:	963.19		
			. of Tamil Nadu contribution	:	186.81		
		SPV	Contribution	:	718.08		
			Total	:	1868.08		
6	Project Costs and component				(Rs. in lakh)		
	wise details	S. No.	Particulars		Approved Cost		
		1.	Land and its development		19.54		
		2.	Building and other civ	vil	431.26		
		3.	Plant & Machinery (including electrification)	ng	1122.74		
		4.	Misc. fixed assets		85.59		
		5.	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses	ve	186.50		
		6.	Contingencies (2% on building as 5% on plant and machinery)	nd	22.45		
			Tot	al	1868.08		
7	Total GoI grant released	Instal	lment & Date	((Rs. in lakh)		
8	Previous time extensions accorded	-					
9	Project validity	11.03.	2022				
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.09.	2022				
11	Reason for Delay	> Du	te to COVID -19 Pandemic period te to SPV unable to Construct build ailability of material and shortage of				
12	Present status	> Co	onstruction of building- 70 % complessions of building- 70 % complessions on 19.01.2021				
13	Proposal for Steering Committee	> Ste	eering Committee may accord Time .09.22.	ех	tension upto		

$\label{eq:continuous} \textbf{Agenda Item No. 58.4.26 (S): Time Extension for New Industrial Estate at Uthangarai, Krishnagiri, District, Tamil Nadu.}$

S. No.	Description	Status				
1	Name of the proposal	New Industrial Estate at Uthangarai, Krishnagiri, District,				
	and location		Tamil Nadu			
2	Approval accorded	49 th SCM held on 27.02.19.				
3	Final approval order issued on	23.07.	19			
4	Name of the IA		nadu Small Industries Development Corpo SIDCO), Chennai	ration Limited		
5	Means of Finance			Rs. in lakh)		
		Govt.	of India Grant :	258.00		
		Govt.	of Tamil Nadu contribution :	238.00		
			Total :	496.00		
6	Project Costs and			(Rs. in lakh)		
	component wise details	S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost		
		1	Land filling/leveling including boundary wall and fencing:	100.00		
		2	Laying roads	163.85		
		3	Road side greenery & social forestry	5.00		
		4	Water supply including overhead tanks, and pump houses	47.94		
		5	Water harvesting	3.00		
		6	Drainage	60.00		
		7	Power distribution, Street light arrangements, etc.	42.00		
		8	Contingencies & Pre operative expenses:	8.44		
			Total	430.23		
7	Total GoI grant released	Install	ment & Date	Rs. in lakh)		
8	Previous time					
	extensions accorded					
9	Project validity	22.07.2				
10	Time Extension sought up to	30.06.2	2022			
11	Reason for Delay	 Due to COVID – 19 Pandemic. Heavy rain and also shortage of labours 				
12	Present status		24% of the infrastructure works are comple	eted		

13	Proposal for Steering	➤ May accord Time extension up to 30.06.2022.
	Committee	

Agenda Item No.58.5.1: Ratification of Time Extension granted for up-gradation of existing infrastructure facilities at Electronic Complex Rangreth, District Budgam, J&K.

S. No.	Description		Status				
1.	Name of the proposal and	Up-grad	ation of existing infrastruc	ture	facilities	at El	ectronic
	location	Comple	x Rangreth, District Budgan	n, J&	zΚ		
2.	Approval accorded	34 th SCI	M held on 27.12.13				
3.	Final approval order	06.03.20)14				
	issued on						
4.	Name of the IA	J&K Sta	ate Industrial Development	Corp	oration l	Ltd. (S	SIDCO),
		Jammu					
5.	Means of Finance				(Rs. in l		
			Govt. of India Grant : 800.0				
		Govt.	of J&K / SIDCO	:	386.2	23	
			Total	:	1186.	23	
6.	Project Costs and					(Rs.	in lakh)
	component wise details		Particulars			App	roved
						C	cost
		1.	Land development a	ınd	other		
			overhead infrastructure				
		i)	Cost of land filling	: /1	eveling	5	.22
			including boundary wall a				
		ii) Cost of laying roads					2.00
			, ,				
			iii) Water supply including overhead tanks and pump houses				
		iv) Drainage					4.63
		v)	Power (Sub-station and	distr	ibution	194.87	
			network including street				
				_	entional		
			energy				
		vi)	Others (Sanitary convenience	ences	s, main	19	9.00
			gates etc.)				
		2.	Administrative and oth	er s	ervices		
			complex				
		i)	Administrative office build	ding		20	0.00
		3.	Effluent Treatment Faci				0.00
		4.	Contingencies & Pre-ope				0.00
		Total 1186.23					
7.	Total GoI grant released		<u> </u>		Rs. in		
		1 st inst	allment on 23.03.16		200.		
			talment on 25.10.17		229.	66	
			Tota	al	429.	66	
8.	Project validity	31.12.17	7				
9.	Time extension accorded	31.12.21	(ex-post facto approval)				
	on file up to						

10.	Proposal	for	Steering	Steering	Committee	may	kindly	ratify	the	time	extension
	Committee	•		accorded	on file.						

Agenda No. 58.6.1: Proposal for approval to change the name / specification of approved machinery for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Plastic Products Cluster, Surendranagar, Gujarat.

Background

S.	Description	Status			
No. 1.	Name of the proposal and	CFC in Plastic Products Cluster, Surendranagar,			
1.	location	Gujarat			
2.	Approval accorded	52 nd SCM held on 22.01.20			
3.	Final approval order	27.02.2020			
	issued on				
4.	Name of the IA	The Centre for Entrepreneurship Development (CED), Gandhinagar			
5.	Means of Finance	(Rs. in lakh)			
		Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India : 341.95			
		Government of Gujarat grant : 42.74			
		SPV contribution : 42.74			
		Total : 427.43			
6.	Project Costs and	(Rs. in lakh)			
	component wise details	(i) Land and Building			
		(ii) Plant & Machinery (including electrification) 369.93			
		(iii) Misc. fixed assets 15.50			
		(iv) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses 8.50			
		(v) Contingencies (2% on building and 5% on plant and machinery)			
		(vi) Margin money for working capital 15.00			
		Total Project Cost 427.43			
7.	Total GoI grant released	Nil			
8.	Project validity	26.02.2022 (SLSC in its meeting held on 23.12.21 granted time extension upto 27.02.2023)			
9.	Work completed	-			
10.	Reason for change the name of approved machinery				
11.	Proposal for Steering Committee	To change the machinery name from "Z lion 6325 cloth brush" to "5 Axis, 5 Heads (3 Drilling and 2 Tufting)" fully automatic Brush making Machine.			

Agenda No. 58.6.2: Proposal for approval to change the specification of approved machinery for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Textile Cluster, Dahod, Gujarat.

Background

S.	Description			Status		
No.						
1.	Name of the proposal and location			ster, Dahod, Gujara	ıt	
2.	Approval accorded	52 nd S	CM held on 2	22.01.20		
3.	Final approval order	27.02.2	2020			
	issued on					
4.	Name of the IA	The Centre for Entrepreneurship Development (CED), Gandhinagar				
5.	Means of Finance				(Rs. in lakh)	
				Govt. of India :	231.42	
			rnment of Gu	ujarat grant :	66.12	
		SPV	contribution	:	33.06	
				Total :	220.00	
6.	Project Costs and				(Rs. in lakh)	
	component wise details	(i)	Land and B	uilding	38.00	
		(ii)		& Machinery electrification)	236.00	
		(iii)	Misc. fixed	assets	29.00	
		(iv)	(iv) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses		5.80	
		(v) Contingencies (2% on building and 5% on plant and machinery)		11.80		
		(vi)	Margin mo	oney for working	10.00	
			T	otal Project Cost	330.60	
7.	Total GoI grant released	Nil				
8.	Project validity			in its meeting h		
		grante	d time extens	sion upto 26.02.202	3)	
9.	Work completed	-		T		
10	Reason for change the	Nam		11	machinery-	
	name of approved	appr		Specification to l	oe changed	
	machinery		inery	G 41 G 1 50	\ \\ \/ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	
		Automatic Laser Fabric Cutting		C 1		
		Fabric Cutting Machine		Laser Power: 150W Glass Tube Working Size: 1800 mm*		
		IVIACII	11110	1500mm	1000 111111	
				Table: Auto Fee	ding Bed Table	
				(to keep fabric	_	
				cutting).	5 8	
				Compressor: Hi Blower 550w, I		

11. Proposal for Steering To change the technical specification of approve			American Photonic-Highly Reflective. Laser Type: Co2, Sealed water-cooled, 10640mm. Resetting Position Accuracy: < + 0.01mm Graphics Format Supported: BMP, HPGL(PLT), JPG, DXF, AI, DSR Power Supply:220V +-10%, 50 Hz Weight:1000kg Operating System: USB Control System. Software Supported: Corel Draw, Photoshop, Auto CAD, Tajima, Card Software. Belt: Belt & Stepper Motor. USB: USB (Offline) Controller. Engraving Speed: 0~3000mm/min System Controller: RD Controller System. Camera: CCD Top Camera Cooling Type: Water Cooling Min. Shaping Character: 1.0 * 1.0 mm
Committee machinery.	11.	Proposal for Steering Committee	To change the technical specification of approved machinery.

Agenda No.58.6.3: Proposal for approval to change the quantity of approved machinery for setting up of Common Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Lab Grown Diamond Cluster, Surat, Gujarat.

Background

S. No.	Description	Status				
1.	Name of the proposal and location	CFC in Lab Grown Diame Gujarat	ond Cluster, Surat,			
2.	Approval accorded	53 rd SCM held on 14.07.20				
3.	Final approval order issued on	28.09.2020				
4.	Name of the IA	The Centre for Entrepreneurship Gandhinagar				
5.	Means of Finance	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India Government of Gujarat grant SPV contribution	(Rs. in lakh) a : 1585.36 : 198.17 : 198.17 tal : 1981.70			
6.	Project Costs and		(Rs. in lakh)			
	component wise details	(vii) Plant & Machi- (including electrification)	•			
		(viii) Misc. fixed assets	43.75			
		(ix) Preliminary & Pre-operate expenses	39.40			
		(x) Contingencies (3% on TPM) 89.93				
		(xi) Margin money for working capital 10.00				
		Total Project (Cost 1981.70			
7.	Total GoI grant released	Rs	s. in lakh			
		1 st installment on 24.05.21	634.14			
		2 nd installment on 15.02.22	475.60			
			1109.74			
8.	Project validity	27.09.2022				
9.	Work completed	Building is ready and following installed:				
		S. No. Name of the machine	Approved Qty.			
		CVD Detector Machin	ne 1			
		2. 4P Machine	1			

		3. Laser Machine				1
		4.	4. CVD Growing Machine along			8
			with Hydrog	gen Generate	or and	
			accessories)			
10	Reason for change the	No. of	f approved	Cost (Rs.	No.	of
	name of approved machinery	machin	ery	in lakh)		nery to be
	machinery				procu	
					(highe	er version)
		Water C	Chiller - 4	10.15	Water Chiller- 2*	
		*Based	on letter		.02.22	of State
			ment, initially			
		were ap	proved in the	ımaı approva	ıı by Go)1.
		After de	eliberation SLI	PSC Commi	ttee con	sidered and
		approve	d to modify the	he quantity	of the v	vater chiller
		machine from 4 nos. to 2 nos. of higher version that			version that	
		can fulf	ill the requirer	nent of CFC	•	
11.	Proposal for Steering	To modify the quantity of approved machinery (i.e. Water Chiller) from 4 nos. to 2 nos. within the				
	Committee		,		2 nos.	within the
		approved	cost i.e. Rs.10	U.15 lakn.		

Agenda No.58.6.4: Request by Implementing agency for drop/cancellation of project of Setting up of CFC in Home Furnishing Cluster, Karur, Tamil Nadu

S. No.	Description	Status				
1.	Name of the proposal and Location	Setting up of CFC in Home Furnishing Cluster.	Karur, Tamil			
2.	Approval accorded	53 th SCM held on 14.07.20				
3.	Final approval order issued on	Not Issued				
4.	Name of the Implementing Agency	Tamil Nadu Small Industries Development Limited (TANSIDCO),	<u>-</u>			
5.	Means of Finance		n lakh)			
			proved Cost			
		Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India :	1178.99			
		Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Tamil : Nadu	150.70			
		SPV contribution :	177.51			
		Total :	1507.20			
6.	Project Cost and		(Rs. in lakh)			
	component-wise details	S. Particulars No.	Approved Cost			
		i) Land and its Development	10.00			
		ii) Building and other Civil Constructions	110.00			
		iii) Plant & Machinery(including electrification)	1266.37			
		iv) Misc. fixed assets	20.00			
		v) Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	30.00			
		vi) Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery) 65.52				
		vii) Margin money for Working Capital 5.31				
		Total	1507.20			
7.	Total GoI grant released	No GoI grant has been released till date.				
8.	Validity upto					

- ➤ Government of Tamil Nadu vide letter dated 31.01.2022 withdrawn the proposal.
- ➤ AS&DC (MSME) approved to delete the proposal from list of pending / ongoing proposal.

9. Proposal for Steering Committee:

Steering Committee may ratify the decision of deletion / withdrawal of proposal for Setting up of CFC in Home Furnishing Cluster, Karur, Tamil Nadu from list of pending / ongoing proposals.

Agenda No. 58.6.5(S): Proposal for approval on the change of plant & machineries procured in respect of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala – request from Director of Industries & Commerce, Government of Kerala.

Request of State Government:

State Government has requested for approval on the change of plant & machineries procured under Value Added Component Development Facility: Advanced Wide Belt Sander (Rough sanding) has been procured instead of Wide belt sander with spiral cutter head and High frequency press has been procured instead Rotary Composer.

About the project:

The proposal for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala was approved in 38th SCM held on 28.07.15 and Final approval for the project was issued on 30.10.15. Competent Authority has accorded time extension up to 31.12.20. M/s. Thrissur Traditional Furniture Cluster Chevoor Private Limited (TTFCC), Thrissur is the SPV for the CFC. Kerala Bureau of Industrial Promotion (KBIP), Thiruvananthapuram is the Implementing & fund receiving agency for the project. The CFC project was completed and commissioned as on 30th October 2020. The total Government of India grant released is Rs. 902.214 lakh. During the 56th meeting of National Level Steering Committee held on 10.11.21, the Committee ratified the decision of considering the plant & machinery cost as a whole and to adjust the escalated amount from the saving amount of individual machine for setting up of CFC in Furniture Cluster, Thrissur, Kerala.

The funding pattern:

(Rs. in lakh)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Amount
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India under MSE-CDP	1002.46
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Kerala	289.01
(iii)	SPV contribution	153.61
	Total	1445.08

Approved component-wise cost

(Rs. in lakh)

S.No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
(i)	Land and its Development	107.00
(ii)	Building and other Civil Constructions	132.30
(iii)	Plant & Machinery	1101.45
(iv)	Misc. fixed assets	10.00
(v)	Preliminary & Pre-operative expenses, maximum 2% of project cost	28.00
(vi)	Contingency (2% building and 5% on plant and machinery)	57.72
(vii)	Margin money for Working Capital	8.61
	Total Project Cost	1445.08

- 2. Vide letter dated 23.02.22, Director of Industries & Commerce, Government of Kerala has informed that the Purchase Committee Meeting chaired by the Director (Industries & Commerce) held on 27.02.17 has accorded approval to procure the same plant & machinery as per the approval with the latest specifications, with specific recommendation of Technical Expert, which has to be ensured by the General Manager, DIC, Thrissur. State Govt. also informed that the GM, DIC, Thrissur invited e-tender for procurement of plant & machinery based on the list of machineries with latest specifications provided by the Technical Expert. The Technical Expert had submitted a detailed justification regarding the advantages of the latest technology machineries procured, which was very essential for the successful functioning of the CFC.
- 3. State Govt. has procured following machineries in deviation to the final approval:

S. No.	Machineries to be procured as per final approval	Machineries procured by State Govt.	Qty.	Justification given by State Govt.
1.	Rotary Composer (1300 X 150 mm Hydraulic top clamps and Hydraulic side clamps)	High Frequency Press (standard pressing size 4 feet, 1250 mm x 150 mm).	1	Higher version using latest technology. Less maintenance cost, high production, low manpower to handle the sheet, sanding process lesser time required, there by running cost is reduced.
2.	Wide Belt sander with Spiral Cutter Head (1270 X 150 mm)	Advanced Wide Belt sander(Rough Sanding) (1320 mm x 127 mm)	1	Advanced Wide Belt Rough Sanding Machine is best option for sanding purpose.

Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the request of State Government to approve the change of 02 nos. of plant & machineries as above.

141

Agenda No. 58.6.6 (S): Proposal for approval on the changes in specifications of plant & machineries to be procured in respect of CFC in Cashew Nut Processing Cluster, Selsella, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya within the total sanctioned amount towards plant & machinery – request from IIE, Guwahati

Request of IIE, Guwahati:

Vide letter dated 16.02.22, IIE, Guwahati has requested for approval on the changes in specification of plant & machineries to be procured in respect of CFC in Cashew Nut Processing Cluster, Selsella, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya within the total sanctioned amount towards plant & machinery.

About the project:

The proposal for setting up of Common Facility Centre (CFC) in Cashew Nut Processing Cluster, Selsella, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya was accorded Final approval during 50th meeting of Steering Committee held on 02.07.19. Final approval letter was issued on 04.09.19. The implementing and fund receiving agency for the project is Indian Institute of Entrepreneurship (IIE), Guwahati and SPV for the project is M/s Cluster Cashew nut Producer Association, West Garo Hills. State level steering committee accorded extension upto August 2022.

The funding pattern:

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	142.38
ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Meghalaya	25.89
iii)	SPV contribution	21.10
	Total	189.37

Approved component-wise cost

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Approved Cost
(i)	Land & Site Development (land leased)	10.00
(ii)	Building & Civil Works	30.00
(iii)	Plant & Machinery	120.13
(iv)	Miscellaneous Fixed Assets	5.50
(v)	Preliminary Expenses	4.60
(vi)	Pre-operative expenses	4.80
(vii)	Provision for contingencies	6.61
(viii)	Margin money for working capital	7.73
	Total	189.37

2. In their letter dated 16.02.22, IIE, Guwahati has mentioned that they have been advised in the Purchase Committee Meeting of Selsella Cashewnut Processing Cluster, Meghalaya held on 09.03.20 chaired by Director, Commerce & Industries, Govt. of Meghalay to approach IIFPT, Guwahati and Department of Food Processing, Tura Polytechnic to get proper specifications of the machineries as approved for the cluster. Accordingly, IIFPT, Guwahati and Department of Food Processing, Tura

Polytechnic have provided the appropriate specifications on the list of machineries. The list of plant & machineries with revised specifications are given below:

	As per Final Approval letter		Changes as requested by implementing agency	Remarks
S. No.	Description		Description	
		Qty.		
A	Main Equipments			
1.	Cooking system capacity: 1000 kg raw cashew / Batch Steam cooker with steam generator wood fire complete set valves, gauges, meter, chimney and necessary fittings.	1	Cooking system capacity: 1000 kg raw cashew / Batch Steam cooker with steam generator wood fire complete set valves, gauges, meter, chimney and necessary fittings. • U shaped container made from 2 mm thick stainless steel, reinforced with stainless steel angles. • Side walls are made of 1.55 mm thick stainless steel, reinforced with 8 mm thick mild steel plates. • Jacket is made of 1.5 mm thick stainless steel sheet.	_
2.	Cashew Shell Cutting Machine – (four cutter) capacity 40-50 KG/HR Gear Motor Power – 1 HP phase – Three. Number of cutter – 4 nos. All parts in SS and AI	10	Automatic Cashew Shell Cutting Machine (Four cutters). • 4 cutters. • Capacity 40-50 kg/hr gear motor power. • Gear motor power 1.5-2 HP. • 240-440 volts motor power. • 3 phase electricity. • All parts SS and AI	Change in name of machine / specifications
3.	Cashew Shell Cutting tools and accessories	Set	Manual cashew shell cutting machine.	Machine name changed
4.	Conveyor System – To carry the material after shell cutting to scooping line.	1	Conveyor System – To carry the material after shell cutting to scooping line.	-
5.	Vibrator (Automatic Total Scooping Solution). Capacity 300 – 350 kg/hr. Vibrator (SS) – 2 nos., Shell blower – 2 nos. scooping machine – 1, nos. blower – 1 nos.	1	Vibrator (Automatic Total Scooping Solution). • Capacity 300 – 350 kg/hr. • Vibrator (SS) – 2 nos. • Shell Blower – 2 nos. • Scooping machine – 1 no. • Blower – 1 no.	-
6.7.	Electrical Oven – To dry the kernels of 500 kgs at 55-60° C in 5 to 6 hrs. No. of Trays: 100 nos. Tray size: 32" x 16" x 11/4" Humidifier with extra trays	1	Electrical Oven – To dry the kernels of 500 kegs at 55-60° C in 5 to 6 hrs. No. of Trays: 100 nos. Tray size: 32" x 16" x 11/4" Humidifier with extra trays and	-

	As per Final Approval letter		Changes as requested by implementing	
			agency	Remarks
S. No.	Description		Description	
5.110.	Description	Qty.	_	
	and trolleys	set	trolleys	
8.	Cashew Nut Peeling	1	Cashew Nut Peeling Machine	Change in
0.	Machine	-	• Capacity: 500 kg/hr.	specifications.
			• Application: Cashew Husk Peeling.	1
			• Type of machines : Automatic.	
			• Head capacity: 180-200 kg/hr.	
			Automation Grade: Automatic	
			• Air Pressure : 7 Bar.	
			• Material: Stainless Steel, Mild Steel.	
			• Voltage: 5 HP	
			• Material Grade: SS – 304	
			• Accuracy: 95%.	
			• Phase: Single.	
9.	Air Compressor	1	Air Compressor	Change in
<i>)</i> .	7 in Compressor	1	• Rotary screw air compressor.	specifications.
			• Max 30 HP.	specifications.
			• Pressure 217 PSI	
			• Flow rate 143 cfm.	
10.	Kernel Separator	1	Cashew Kernel Separator Machine.	Change in
10.	Kerner Separator	1	• Capacity: 600 kg / batch.	specifications.
			• Power: 2.5 KW.	specifications.
			• Power source :380 V, 3 phase, 50	
			Hz.	
11.	Finished Cashew Grader	1	Finished Cashew Grader	_
12	Pieces Grading Machine	1	Cashew Pieces Grading Machine-	Change in
		-	Mechanical cashew grading machine	specifications.
			is used for making cashew grades like	1
			180, 210, 240, 320 & 400.	
			• Capacity: 500-600 kg throughout	
			per hr.	
			• Application : 5+2 grades.	
			• Accuracy: 60% accuracy.	
			• 2% or less breakage.	
			• Controllable Grades 180, 210,	
			240,320,400	
			• Power: 0.25 HP.	
			• Dimensions: L*W*H:-2.5*2.5*2.5 (in	
			feet)	
			• Weight: 180 kg.	
13.	Brocks vacuum packing	1	Brocks Vacuum Packaging Machine-	Change in
	machine		• Vertical chamber type electric	specifications.
			vacuum packaging machine.	

	As per Final Approval letter	•	Changes as requested by	
			implementing	Remarks
S. No.	Description		agency Description	Kemarks
S. 1NO.	Description	Qty.	<u> </u>	
			 Automatic. 3 phase electricity connection. 10 ½" seal bar. 	
			Dry pump of 120 volt & 400 watt.Width 132" dept 913" height 165"	
14.	Cutting Table	1	 Cutting Table- Complete made of SS sheet. SS external portion with matt finish. Size 96" 48" 36" 	Change in specifications.
15.	Grading Table	7	Grading Table – • Complete made of SS sheet. • SS external portion with matt finish. Size 96" 48" 36"	Change in specifications.
16.	Moisture Meter Support Service	1	 Moisture Meter- Measurement method: Electrical resistance. Applications: Raw cashew nuts (cashew nuts with shell). Measurement range: 7-30% Repeatability: 1.8% Display: Digital (LCD, minimum displayed digit is 0.1%) Operating Temperature: Operating temperature: 0 to 40° C (no condensation). Functions: Upper limit alarm setting(7 to 30% and OFF), Moisture value bias adjustment (-9.9 to 9.9%), Auto power off (automatically turned off in approx. 5 minutes). Average value display, Continuous measurement mode, Calibration curve memory function (14 types). Power supply: 1.5 V batteries (AA alkaline) x 6 Power consumption: 0.54 W. Dimensions: 110 (W) x 210 (D) x 50 (H) mm. Mass: 0.5 kg. Accessories: Bar sensor (PU-360), Shoulder strap, 1.5 V batteries (AA alkaline) x 6, Operating manual. Support Service- 	Change in specifications.

	As per Final Approval letter		Changes as requested by implementing agency	Remarks
S. No.	Description		Description	
		Qty.		
	Water Supply system	1	Water Supply system	-
		set		
	Fire Prevention System	1	Fire Prevention System	-
		set		
	Pollution Control System	1	Pollution Control System	-
	se		-	
	Captive D. G. Set,	1	Captive D. G. Set, Transformer and	-
	Transformer and other electrical	set	other electrical	

Proposal for Steering Committee:

Committee may take a decision on the request of IIE, Guwahati to approve the changes in plant & machineries with revised specification in respect of CFC in Cashew Nut Processing Cluster, Selsella, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya within the total sanctioned amount towards plant & machinery.



भारतीय उद्यमिता संस्थान

(कौशल विकास एवं उद्यमिता मंत्रालय का एक स्वायत्त संस्थान, भारत सरकार)

लालमाटी, गुवाहाटी-781029 (असम)

Indian Institute of Entrepreneurship

(An Autonomous Organisation under the Ministry of Skill Development & Entrepreneurship, Govt. of India)
Lalmati, Guwahati-781029 (Assam)

Ref: C (22)/SBB/RRC/Cluster/2019-20/ 425 \

Date: 16/02/2022

Shri. U.C. Shukla Director, Cluster Development Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises

Sub: Approval on the list of machineries/specifications for Cashewnut processing Cluster, Selsella, Meghalaya under MSE-CDP.

Sir.

We write in reference to the Office Memorandum no. 1(1270)/CDD/CFC/Engineering/Surat/2021 dated 27th Jan 2022, to inform that we were advised in the Purchase Committee Meeting of Selsella Cashewnut Processing Cluster, Meghalaya dated 9th Mar 2020 to approach Indian Institute of Food Processing Technology (IIFPT) Guwahati and Dept. of Food Processing, Tura Polytechnic to get proper specifications of the machineries as approved for the cluster (minutes of meeting attached).

Accordingly, Indian Institute of Food Processing Technology (IIFPT) Guwahati and Dept. of Food Processing, Tura Polytechnic have provided us the appropriate specifications on the list of machineries. The copies of specification provided by the respective institutions are attached herewith for your kind reference and perusal.

We request for your kind approval on the attached list of machineries with specifications so that the procurement process could be initiated.

Thanking You,

Yours Sincerely

Dr. Sriparna B. Baruah

Sr. Faculty Member, Head, CIE

Copy to: Secretary, Trade, Commerce & Industries, Govt of Meghalaya.

: Director, Commerce & Industries, Govt. of Meghalaya

Asst. Director, MSME-DI, Guwahati.

2/2022

आई. एस. ओ. : 9001 : 2015 द्वारा प्रमाणित संगठन

An ISO 9001 : 2015 Certified Organisation

दूरभाष /Tel.: 0361-2302646,2300994,2300123,2300840 फैक्स /Fax: 0361-2300325/2305394

ई-मेल /E-mail : director@iie.gov.in वेबसाइट / Website : www.iie.gov.in

Meeting minutes for the purchase committee meeting of Cashew nut Processing Cluster, West Garo Hills, under MSE-CDP, Meghalaya

Venue: Directorate of Industries & Commerce, Shillong, Meghalaya

Date; 9th March, 2020

Members Present: Enclosed

Chair of the meeting: Shri J.L Mawlong, Director, Commerce & Industries, Govt. of Meghalaya.

Meeting Minutes:

The purchase committee meeting was held under the Chairmanship of Shri. J.L Mawlong, Director, Commerce & Industries, Govt. of Meghalaya in presence of Smti. L.L. Shangpliang, Joint Secretary, Law Department, Govt of Meghalaya, Dr. B.K. Yadav, Professor & Head of IIFPT, Guwahati, Dr. TH. Jiten Singh, HOD, Food Processing ,Tura Polytechnic, Shri. M. L. Wanshnong, Dy. Director, Industries & Commerce & Shri. Brijesh Kumar, Asst, Director, MSME-DI, Shillong, Shri. L. Ch. Marak, G.M. DCIC, Tura, Shri Hemanta Rabha, Ex-Project Head, IIE and SPV representative.

Shri Hemanta Rabha briefed about the meeting objective and the Cluster Development project of Cashew Nut in Selsela which was approved on 4th Sept,2019 by DC- MSME for setting up of CFC. He informed that as per the guidelines of the scheme a purchase committee need to be formed to deal with the procurement of machines & equipment's for CFC. He also informed that the DPR has been prepared by IIE, Guwahati and submitted DC- MSME, Govt. Of India. On the basis of the DPR for setting up of CFC for Cashew Nut processing subsequently financial appraisal done by the SIDBI the 50th Meeting of Steering Committee of MSE-CDP held on 2nd July 2019 has approved the project for the 1st time in Meghalaya. As per the DPR the following are the machines has been proposed and approved in the meeting:

SI no.	Name	Quantity
1	Cooking system.	1
2	Cashew Shell cutting Machine.	10
3	Cashew Shell cutting tools and accessories.	set
4	Conveyor system.	1
5	Vibrator.	1
6	Electrical oven	1
7	Humidifier with extra trays and trolleys.	1
8	Cashew nut peeling machine	1
9	Air compressor.	1
10	Kernel separator.	1
11	Finished cashew grader.	1
12	Piece grading machine	1
13	Brocks vacuum packing machine	1
14	Cutting table	1
15	Grading table	1
16	Moisture meter	1

В	Support Service	
1	Water Supply system	1
2	Fire prevention system	1
3	Pollution control system	1
4	Captive D.G Set transformation and other electrical	1

After thorough discussion the following decision has been taken:

- The height, length and breath for construction of CFC Shed has been discussed which should comply with FSSAI standard.
- Floating of tender and constructing the CFC building would be done by MIDC. IIE will release the fund to MIDC for the same.
- Layout and installation of machinery/equipments etc, need to be prepared in consultation with the technical person which should be a convenience working space.
- For packaging of cashew nut it was decided that packaging should be vacuumed or nitrogen packed.
- IIE will take the detail specification of machine including pollution control equipments in consultation with the Technical Experts Dr. Jiten Singh, H.O.D., Dept of Food Processing, Tura Polytechnic and also from Dr B.K. Yadav, Prof & Head, I.I.F.P.T, Guwahati.
- On the basis of machinery specification receive on Technical Expert, IIE will float tender for procurement of machinery for the CFC.
- After receiving the Quotations from different Firms/Parties, Purchase Committee will conduct for finalizing the supplier (Technical and Financial bid) as per GFR norms.
- 8. CTE and CTO to be applied and obtained from the Meghalaya State Pollution Control Board.CTE should be taken up immediately by IIE.
- 9. For electricity and water it was suggested that G.M, DCIC, Tura, SPV and the Implementing Agency to pursue the matter.
- 10. The Committee suggested for an exposure visit to Cashew Nut processing Units at Goa or any other places to be selected by IIE with 12 to 13 SPV Members along with Experts.
- 11. It was decided that IIE will hold another meeting after Quotations are received from different Firms.

As there were no more matters to be discussed, the meeting ended with a vote of thanks from the Chair.

Shri J.L.Mawlong Director of Commerce & Industries Government of Meghalaya 8 Chairman Purchase Committee Director/ Representative, IIE, Guwahati Professor & Head/Representative, Indian Institute of Food Processing Technology, Regional Centre, & Member Secretary Purchase Committee Guwahati Member Expert Purchase Committee Director/Representative MSME-DI, Guwahati/Shillory Head of Department/Representative, Food Processing & Preservation Department, Tura Member Purchase Committee Polytechnic l'echnical Member Purchase Committee Representative Law Department, Government of Meghalaya & Member Purchase Committee Member Purchase Committee Secretary/President/Representative SPV & Member Purchase Committee

Members present:

- 1. Smti. L.L. Shangpliang. Jt. Secretary, Law Department. Govt. of Meghalaya.
- 2. Dr. B.K. Yadav, Prof & Head of I.I.F.P.T., I.I.F.P.T., Guwahati. Assam.
- 3. Shri. TH. Jiten Singh, H.O.D. Food Processing, Tura polytechnic, Tura.
- 4. Shri. M.L. Wanshnong, Dy. Director, Commerce and Industries, Govt. of Meghalaya.
- 5. Shri. Brijesh Kumar, Asst, Director, MSME-DI, Shillong
- 6. Shri. L. Ch. Marak, G.M. DCIC, Tura.
- 7. Smti. Sitamaya Koch, Presient, Cashew Nut Cluster Producers Association, Selsella
- 8. Shri. Prodeep Koch, Vice President, Cashew Nut Cluster Producers Association, Selsella
- 9. Shri. Hemanta Rabha, Project Head, IIE, Guwahati.
- 10. Shri. Deebyajyoti Koch, State Coordinator, IIE, Meghalaya.
