

No. I(1115)/CDD/TEAC/2020-pt.
Office of the Development Commissioner (MSME)
(Infrastructure and Common Facilities Division)

Minutes of the 75th meeting of Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) of Micro and Small Enterprises Cluster Development Programme (MSE-CDP), held on 28.04.2021.

In Chair : Additional Secretary & Development Commissioner (MSME)
Date : 28.04.2021
Venue : Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi (through Video Conferencing)


75th meeting of the Techno Economic Appraisal Committee (TEAC) of Micro and Small Enterprises Cluster Development Programme (MSE-CDP) was held on 28.04.2021 at New Delhi through Video Conferencing under the Chairmanship of AS&DC (MSME) to consider technical suitability & viability of various project proposals for Common Facility Centre (CFC) and Infrastructure Development (ID) and to recommend the same for approval of National Level Steering Committee (NLSC).

The list of participants is enclosed at **Annexure-A**.

The following CFC and Infrastructure Development (ID) Project proposals were taken up for discussion and the Committee decided as given below:

Agenda Item No.	Details of the Project																						
75.1.1	<p>Setting up of Common Facility Centre in Honey, Spices and Food Processing Cluster, Tuensang, Nagaland</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 5%;">S. No.</th> <th style="width: 55%;">Particulars</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Percentage</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Proposed C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">775.87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Nagaland</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.86</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16.39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(iii)</td> <td>SPV Contribution</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10.09</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88.87</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: center;">881.13</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The committee directed State Government / MSME-DI, Dimapur to revisit and modify the DPR with more focus on the tangible outcomes of setting up of CFC, adequacy of Plant and Machinery, machinery utilization analysis especially where more machines for the same/similar process have chosen, costing of plant and machinery, process flow diagram etc. Also, confirmation that there are no such similar projects under SFURTI or schemes of other Ministries, details of SPV as Section 8 Company, details of location of CFC. Further the CFC proposal need to be shared with Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA) & Spices Board India to confirm feasibility of the project. Subsequently the proposal to be resubmitted before TEAC.</p>			S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	88.05	775.87	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Nagaland	1.86	16.39	(iii)	SPV Contribution	10.09	88.87		Total	100	881.13
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed C																				
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	88.05	775.87																				
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Nagaland	1.86	16.39																				
(iii)	SPV Contribution	10.09	88.87																				
	Total	100	881.13																				

(Signature)
 04/05/2021

75.1.2	<p>Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Talkatora, Lucknow District, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="414 353 1287 607"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Percentage</th> <th>Approved C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td>66.14</td> <td>622.66</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh</td> <td>33.86</td> <td>318.76</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>941.42</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The committee recommended the proposal to be placed before National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) for consideration and approval subject to submission of following requisite documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency. ii. Project Appraisal Report. iii. Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost. iv. Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC guidelines. v. Implementing Agency should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainage built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/ Implementing Agency. vi. Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of estimates of component costs as per format provided. vii. Cost of land filing & leveling should be funded by State share. viii. Copy of order of constitution of State Level Project Steering Committee. 	S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	66.14	622.66	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	33.86	318.76		Total	100	941.42
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C														
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	66.14	622.66														
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	33.86	318.76														
	Total	100	941.42														
75.1.3	<p>Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Sitapur District, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="414 1429 1287 1682"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Percentage</th> <th>Proposed C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td>66.14</td> <td>440.53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh</td> <td>33.86</td> <td>225.53</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>666.06</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The committee directed State Government/MSME-DI, Kanpur to split the proposal into two separate project proposals with separate DPRs as the present DPR contains proposals of two different Industrial Estates of the same district and after that send for consideration of TEAC in next meeting.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	66.14	440.53	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	33.86	225.53		Total	100	666.06
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Proposed C														
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	66.14	440.53														
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	33.86	225.53														
	Total	100	666.06														

75.1.4	<p>Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Naini, Prayagraj, District, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="422 360 1283 607"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Percentage</th> <th>Approved C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td>64.74</td> <td>647.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh</td> <td>35.26</td> <td>355.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>1003.19</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>MSME-DI, Allahabad informed that a lot of work envisaged in the DPR has already been completed by the State Govt. In view of this, the committee directed to State Govt./ MSME-DI, Allahabad to rework on the proposal and resubmit modified proposal to TEAC for consideration in next meeting.</p>	S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.74	647.46	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.26	355.73		Total	100	1003.19
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C														
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.74	647.46														
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.26	355.73														
	Total	100	1003.19														
75.1.5	<p>Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Jhinhak, Kanpur Dehat District, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="422 954 1283 1200"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Percentage</th> <th>Approved C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td>64.54</td> <td>183.59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh</td> <td>35.46</td> <td>100.86</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>284.45</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>It was noted that there were only 5 functional units in the estate. After deliberations the committee rejected the proposal as number of MSME beneficiaries in the industrial estates are very few.</p>	S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.54	183.59	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.46	100.86		Total	100	284.45
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C														
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.54	183.59														
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.46	100.86														
	Total	100	284.45														
75.1.6	<p>Upgradation of Industrial Estate at Thandi Sadak, Gher Shamukhan, Farrukhabad District, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Proposed funding pattern</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Rs. in lakh)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="422 1487 1283 1733"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Percentage</th> <th>Approved C</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(i)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India</td> <td>64.54</td> <td>393.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(ii)</td> <td>Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh</td> <td>35.46</td> <td>215.96</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>609.04</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The committee recommended the proposal to be placed before National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) for consideration and approval subject to submission of following requisite documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Registered land documents in the name of Implementing Agency. ii. Project Appraisal Report. iii. Commitment letter from State Government / IA to meet the escalation cost, if any, over and above the approved project cost. iv. Certificate from State Government for compliance of GFR/CVC 	S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C	(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.54	393.08	(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.46	215.96		Total	100	609.04
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C														
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	64.54	393.08														
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttar Pradesh	35.46	215.96														
	Total	100	609.04														

- v. guidelines.
- vi. Implementing Agency should consider laying of roads with Cement Concrete in place of Bituminous and proper drainage built in a professional manner to serve the purpose for relatively longer period of time. The additional cost on this account shall be borne by the Government of Uttar Pradesh/ Implementing Agency.
- vii. Undertaking from Implementing Agency regarding basis of estimates of component costs as per format provided.
- viii. Cost of land filing & leveling should be funded by State share.
- ix. Copy of order of constitution of State Level Project Steering Committee.

75.1.7 Setting up of CFC in Gold Jewellery and Hallmarking Cluster, Haldwani, Nanital, Uttrakhand

Proposed funding pattern (Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved (Rs. in lakh)
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	77.07	882.09
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttrakhand	4.36	50.0
(iii)	SPV Contribution	18.57	212.63
	Total	100	1144.72

The committee recommended the proposal to be placed before National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) for consideration and approval subject to submission of following requisite documents related with:

- i. To revisit and modify DPR for turnover related projections and verify adequacy of the proposed Machineries as the quantity of the machine are on higher side.
- ii. The commitment from the State Govt. for higher state funding support (10%) either upfront or in the form of working capital support for initial three years after setting up of the CFC.
- iii. Enhance number of Units/Beneficiaries.
- iv. Submit documentary proof in respect of the Units/Beneficiaries (Udyam registration details).
- v. Undertaking from State Government that proposal is not a complete Manufacturing Process.
- vi. Enhanced Contribution by State Government (10%)
- vii. Transfer / procurement of land in the name of SPV (In case of leased premises, the lease should be legally tenable in the name of SPV, for a fairly long duration i.e. at least 15 years. In case of leased land, the lease period should be at least for a period of 30 years).
- viii. Formation of SPV as Section 8 Company, details of SPV members in prescribed format defining its Roles & Responsibilities. Location of CFC to be in notified Industrial area.
- ix. Submission of shareholding pattern.
- x. Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- xi. Uploading of SPV member's details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- xii. Opening of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- xiii. Appraisal of modified DPR.

75.1.8

**Setting up of CFC in Organic Jaggery & Khandsari Cluster,
Haridwar, Uttrakhand**

Proposed funding pattern

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved (Rs. in lakh)
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	77.94	1514.34
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttrakhand	13.35	259.52
(iii)	SPV Contribution	8.71	169.29
	Total	100	1943.15

The committee recommended the proposal to be placed before National Level Steering Committee (NLSC) for consideration and approval subject to submission of following requisite documents related with:

- i. Adequacy of proposed Machineries to be revisited. The possibilities of having testing facilities for food items in PPP mode need to be explored.
- ii. The commitment from the State Govt. for higher state funding support upfront or in the form of working capital support for initial three years after setting up of the CFC
- iii. Enhance number of Units/Beneficiaries.
- iv. Submit documentary proof in respect of the Units/Beneficiaries.
- v. Undertaking from State Government that proposal is not a complete Manufacturing Process.
- vi. Comments of Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA) about feasibility of proposal.
- vii. Transfer / procurement of land in the name of SPV (In case of leased premises, the lease should be legally tenable in the name of SPV, for a fairly long duration i.e. at least 15 years. In case of leased land, the lease period should be at least for a period of 30 years).
- viii. Formation of SPV as Section 8 Company, details of SPV members in prescribed format defining its Roles & Responsibilities. Location of the CFC to be in notified industrial area or Institutional area.
- ix. Submission of shareholding pattern.
- x. Compliance of all requirements/provisions/columns of online application as per guidelines including conditions imposed by TEAC while recommending the proposal for approval by NLSC.
- xi. Uploading of SPV member's details on MSE-CDP Portal.
- xii. Opening of Project specific Bank Account in Public Sector Banks and Regional Rural Banks by IA.
- xiii. Appraisal of DPR.

75.1.9	Setting up of CFC in PET Yarn Weaving Cluster, Bhagwanpur, Haridwar, Uttrakhand		
	Proposed funding pattern		
			(Rs. in lakh)
S. No.	Particulars	Percentage	Approved C
(i)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of India	82.98*	1800.00
(ii)	Grant-in-aid from Govt. of Uttrakhand	1.15	25.00
(iii)	SPV Contribution	15.87	344.07
	Total	100	2169.07
* GoI grant is 90% of Project Cost (Keeping ceiling of Project cost as Rs 20.0 Cr)			
Committee directed State Government/MSME-DI, Haldwani to resubmit the proposal to TEAC with following modification/ submission of the information on:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Reduce number of proposed Machineries ii. Enhance number of Units/Beneficiaries iii. Submit documentary proof in respect of the Units/Beneficiaries. iv. Compliance of the project to Govt. of India and State Govt. Policy/orders/ advisory on Single use plastic it's and related products manufacturing. v. Undertaking from State Government that proposal is not a complete Manufacturing Process. vi. Enhanced Contribution by State Government. 			

The Chairman, TEAC concluded the deliberations with the following directions and observations:

- i. Outcomes of the projects should be quantifiable and invariably the same should be quantified in DPR of the project. The projects should be highly MSE centric and maximization of the number of MSEs of the cluster covered and their involvement in the projects must be aimed. Schemes of the Ministry such as Lean, Design and ZED should be popularised in the clusters
- ii. ID projects may focus on components like Flatted Factory Complexes, CETPs, common compressed gas/air, Steam, Solar energy should etc.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Handwritten Signature)
04/05/2021

Annexure – A

List of Participants:

S.No	Name & Designation	Office
	S / Shri / Ms	
1.	Devendra Kumar Singh, Additional Secretary & Development Commissioner (MSME)	In Chair
2.	Sudhir Garg, Joint Secretary (ARI)	M/o MSME
3.	Piyush Srivastava, Additional Development Commissioner	O/o DC (MSME)
4.	Minhaj Alam, Joint Secretary	M/o Food Processing Industries
5.	D Chandra Sekhar, AIA	MSME-DI, Hyderabad
6.	U.C. Shukla, Director	O/o DC(MSME)
7.	Syam Lal, Under Secretary	Department of Commerce
8.	Representative from MoT	O/o DC-Handlooms/ M/oTextiles
9.	Vikas Gupta, Joint Director	MSME-DI, Haldwani
10.	T.H Baite, Joint Director	MSME-DI, Dimapur
11.	V.K. Verma, Joint Director	MSME-DI, Kanpur
12.	Neeraj Arora, Deputy Director	O/o DC(MSME)
13.	Anupam Divedi, Deputy Director	DIC, Government of Uttarakhand
14.	Satya Narayan, Dy. CEO	KVIC
15.	Pratyush Mishra	SIDBI, New Delhi
16.	Rajesh Kumar	NSIC, New Delhi.
17.	S.K. Pandey, Assistant Director	MSME-DI, Kanpur
18.	S. C. Kandpal, Assistant Director	MSME-DI, Haldwani
19.	Dheer Singh, Assistant Director	O/o DC (MSME)
20.	Vaibhav Khare, Assistant Director	MSME-DI, Allahbad
21.	Charanjeet Singh, Assistant Director	O/o DC(MSME)
